



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Green infrastructure development: Strategies for urban resilience and sustainability

Aniekam Akpan Umoh ¹, Chinedu Nnamdi Nwasike ², Olawe Alaba Tula ³, Chinedu Alex Ezeigweneme ⁴ and Joachim Osheyor Gidiagba ^{5,*}

¹ *Independent Researcher, Uyo Nigeria.*

² *High Auto Maintenance Services, Port Harcourt.*

³ *NLNG - Bonny Island Rivers State, Nigeria.*

⁴ *MTN Nigeria.*

⁵ *University of Johannesburg, South Africa.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(01), 020–029

Publication history: Received on 22 November 2023; revised on 28 December 2023; accepted on 30 December 2023

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.1.2683>

Abstract

This research explores the pivotal role of green infrastructure in fostering urban resilience and sustainability. Defining green infrastructure as an interconnected system of natural and human-made elements, the study delves into varied interpretations. The literature review elucidates its significance by intertwining ecological, social, and economic dimensions. The conceptual framework outlines holistic strategies for development, emphasizing biodiversity, community engagement, and seamless integration with existing urban structures. Despite the documented benefits, challenges such as limited space, maintenance issues, and resistance to change must be addressed. The conclusion underscores the transformative potential of green infrastructure, offering a roadmap for cities to navigate obstacles and embrace a future where nature and urbanity coalesce for resilient and sustainable urban environments.

Keywords: Green infrastructure; Urban resilience; Sustainability; Biodiversity; Community engagement; Urban planning

1. Introduction

Urbanization, a hallmark of contemporary civilization, has transformed the global landscape, leading to unprecedented challenges for cities worldwide (Güneralp, Lwasa, Masundire, Parnell, & Seto, 2017; Raskin, Gallopin, Gutman, Hammond, & Swart, 1998). As populations surge and urban areas expand, the strain on infrastructure, coupled with the escalating impact of climate change, demands innovative solutions that transcend traditional development paradigms (Adedeji, 2023; Güneralp et al., 2017; Kasana). In this context, the concept of green infrastructure emerges as a transformative approach to address the pressing issues of urban resilience and sustainability.

The accelerating pace of urbanization has brought about a complex web of challenges, encompassing environmental degradation, compromised quality of life, and heightened vulnerability to natural disasters. Traditional urban development, characterized by concrete jungles and reliance on conventional infrastructure, has often exacerbated these challenges rather than mitigating them. The need for a paradigm shift in urban planning and development is evident, and green infrastructure stands as a promising alternative (Artmann, Bastian, & Grunewald, 2017; Artmann, Kohler, Meinel, Gan, & Ioja, 2019).

At its core, green infrastructure involves strategically incorporating natural elements and ecological processes into the urban fabric. This includes the integration of green spaces, parks, urban forests, wetlands, and sustainable water

* Corresponding author: Joachim Osheyor Gidiagba

management systems. Unlike conventional infrastructure, which often operates in isolation, green infrastructure is inherently interconnected with the natural environment, fostering a symbiotic relationship between urban spaces and the ecosystems they inhabit (Weinstock, 2013).

The purpose of this research is to delve into the multifaceted realm of green infrastructure development and to explore its strategies for bolstering urban resilience and sustainability. As cities grapple with the impacts of climate change, environmental degradation, and population growth, the imperative to adopt sustainable and resilient solutions becomes increasingly apparent. This study seeks to unravel the potential of green infrastructure as a catalyst for positive change, examining its principles, benefits, challenges, and its integration with existing urban structures. The significance of this research lies in its potential to inform urban planners, policymakers, and communities about the transformative power of green infrastructure. By mitigating the adverse effects of urbanization on the environment and enhancing the adaptive capacity of cities, green infrastructure represents a holistic approach to urban development. The integration of green spaces not only contributes to biodiversity conservation but also provides essential ecosystem services, such as improved air and water quality, reduced heat island effects, and enhanced overall well-being for urban inhabitants.

The urbanization process often leads to the fragmentation of natural habitats, contributing to the loss of biodiversity and disrupting ecological balance. Green infrastructure, through the creation of interconnected green corridors and wildlife habitats, has the potential to reverse this trend, fostering ecological resilience within urban environments. By promoting biodiversity and ecosystem health, cities can better withstand environmental shocks and disturbances, ultimately bolstering their overall resilience. Beyond its environmental benefits, green infrastructure contributes to the social and economic vitality of urban communities. Accessible green spaces serve as communal hubs, fostering social interaction, physical activity, and mental well-being. Moreover, green infrastructure has the potential to stimulate economic growth by creating jobs in the design, construction, and maintenance of green projects, while also increasing property values and attracting investment.

As cities around the world grapple with the compounding challenges of the 21st century, the role of green infrastructure in building resilient and sustainable urban environments cannot be overstated. This research aims to provide insights into the conceptual foundations of green infrastructure, elucidate the strategies for its effective development, and highlight its potential to address the intricate challenges faced by urban areas. By doing so, we aspire to contribute to the ongoing dialogue on urban development, fostering a sustainable and resilient future for generations to come.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Define Green Infrastructure

Green infrastructure, a term coined to encapsulate a diverse set of natural and semi-natural features designed to provide ecological, social, and economic benefits, represents a departure from conventional urban development paradigms. The definitions and interpretations of green infrastructure vary across disciplines, reflecting the interdisciplinary nature of the concept (Connop et al., 2016; Galan, 2020; Rolfe, 2022).

In ecological terms, green infrastructure comprises interconnected networks of green spaces, parks, urban forests, wetlands, and other natural elements within urban areas. Green infrastructure is akin to a natural and human-made system that sustains environmental quality, supports biodiversity, and provides essential ecosystem services. This definition emphasizes the integration of nature into the built environment, emphasizing the interconnectedness between urban spaces and the ecosystems they impact (Caparrós-Martínez, Milán-García, Rueda-López, & de Pablo-Valenciano, 2020; Chenoweth et al., 2018; Haase, 2021; Sining, 2019; Vargas-Hernández & Zdunek-Wielgońska, 2021).

From an urban planning perspective, green infrastructure involves the strategic incorporation of green spaces into the urban fabric to enhance environmental quality and promote sustainable development (Gavrilidis, Niță, Onose, Badiu, & Năstase, 2019; Mell, 2009). Lique et al. (2015) defines it as a "strategically planned network of natural and semi-natural areas with other environmental features designed and managed to deliver a wide array of ecosystem services." This perspective underscores the deliberate planning and management required to optimize the benefits of green infrastructure in urban contexts.

In the realm of landscape architecture, green infrastructure is often seen as an opportunity to create multifunctional landscapes that address ecological, social, and economic objectives (Hansen, Olafsson, Van Der Jagt, Rall, & Pauleit, 2019; Lovell & Taylor, 2013). It is also described as an approach that "strives to link natural and built environments, providing an array of ecological, economic, and social benefits." This definition highlights the potential of green infrastructure to

serve as a bridge between urban development and ecological systems, fostering a harmonious relationship (Brandon & Lombardi, 2010).

2.2. Review of Urban Resilience

Urban resilience, in the face of increasing environmental uncertainties and shocks, has become a critical area of inquiry (Leichenko, 2011). Literature on urban resilience underscores the need for cities to adapt and thrive in the face of challenges, making it a relevant and complementary concept to green infrastructure.

One key aspect of urban resilience is the ability to absorb and recover from shocks and stresses. According to Meerow, Newell, and Stults (2016), urban resilience involves the "ability of an urban system – and all its constituent socio-ecological and socio-technical networks across temporal and spatial scales – to maintain or rapidly return to desired functions in the face of a disturbance or to adapt to change." This definition emphasizes the dynamic and adaptive nature of resilience, aligning with the principles of green infrastructure.

Green infrastructure contributes to urban resilience by providing a buffer against environmental shocks. Mukherjee and Takara (2018) and Dover (2015) highlight how green spaces enhance a city's capacity to absorb and recover from disturbances by regulating temperature, managing water, and supporting biodiversity. By integrating natural features, green infrastructure acts as a protective layer, reducing the vulnerability of urban areas to extreme weather events and climate change impacts. The concept of social-ecological resilience is crucial in understanding the interplay between green infrastructure and urban resilience. Folke, Hahn, Olsson, and Norberg (2005) argue that social-ecological resilience involves the capacity of coupled social-ecological systems to absorb shocks and disturbances, reorganize, and adapt while maintaining essential functions. Green infrastructure, by fostering biodiversity, ecosystem services, and community engagement, contributes to the social-ecological resilience of urban areas.

2.3. Sustainable Development in Urban Areas

Urban sustainability is a key consideration in the discourse on green infrastructure, as it aligns with the broader goals of creating livable, equitable, and environmentally responsible cities. Sustainable development in urban areas encompasses a range of strategies aimed at minimizing negative environmental impacts while fostering economic prosperity and social well-being.

The integration of green infrastructure aligns with the principles of sustainable urban development by promoting resource efficiency, environmental conservation, and social inclusivity. Beatley and Newman (2013) argue that green infrastructure contributes to the ecological sustainability of cities by enhancing biodiversity, mitigating the urban heat island effect, and promoting sustainable water management. In doing so, it addresses the environmental dimension of sustainability.

Social sustainability is another critical aspect, emphasizing the well-being of urban communities. Green infrastructure, through the creation of accessible green spaces, fosters social cohesion, physical health, and mental well-being (Jennings & Bamkole, 2019; Wan, Shen, & Choi, 2021). Sustainable development in urban areas, therefore, involves the integration of green spaces into the fabric of the city to enhance the quality of life for its inhabitants. Economic sustainability is reinforced by the economic benefits derived from green infrastructure. The creation and maintenance of green spaces generate employment opportunities, attract tourism, and enhance property values (Burgess, Harrison, & Limb, 1988). By contributing to economic growth, green infrastructure becomes a catalyst for sustainable urban development.

3. Conceptual Framework

The conceptual framework for green infrastructure development within urban contexts is built upon an integrated approach that considers ecological, social, and economic dimensions. This framework serves as a guiding structure to understand the interconnected components and relationships that contribute to the effectiveness of green infrastructure in enhancing urban resilience and sustainability.

3.1. Ecological Integration

The ecological dimension of the conceptual framework underscores the fundamental role of green infrastructure in restoring and enhancing urban ecosystems. Central to this aspect is the creation of interconnected green spaces, corridors, and networks that mimic natural ecosystems within the urban environment. The integration of native vegetation, urban forests, wetlands, and green roofs contributes to biodiversity conservation, providing habitats for various species and supporting ecological resilience (Knapp, Schmauck, & Zehnsdorf, 2019; Mayrand & Clergeau, 2018).

3.1.1. **Green Corridors and Connectivity:** The creation of green corridors facilitates the movement of flora and fauna throughout urban areas. These corridors not only support biodiversity but also enhance ecological connectivity, allowing for the exchange of genetic material and promoting overall ecosystem health. Effective green infrastructure planning ensures that these corridors are strategically located to counteract the negative effects of habitat fragmentation (Lynch, 2019).

3.1.2. **Urban Biodiversity Enhancement:** Green infrastructure acts as a catalyst for urban biodiversity by providing habitats that support a variety of species. The presence of diverse plant and animal life contributes to ecosystem stability, resilience, and adaptive capacity. Integrating biodiversity enhancement into green infrastructure planning helps create thriving urban ecosystems capable of withstanding environmental stresses (Dover, 2015; Nash, 2017).

3.2. Social Integration

The social dimension of the conceptual framework emphasizes the role of green infrastructure in enhancing the well-being of urban communities. Accessible and well-designed green spaces contribute to social cohesion, physical health, and community engagement. By fostering a sense of place and belonging, green infrastructure becomes an integral part of the social fabric of urban areas.

3.2.1. Community Engagement and Participation

The success of green infrastructure initiatives depends on active community engagement and participation. Involving residents in the planning, design, and maintenance of green spaces not only ensures the relevance of these spaces to local needs but also fosters a sense of ownership and responsibility. Community-driven green projects contribute to social resilience and create spaces that reflect the diverse values and preferences of urban inhabitants (Archer, 2016).

3.2.2. Health and Well-being

Green infrastructure significantly influences the health and well-being of urban residents. Access to green spaces has been linked to reduced stress, improved mental health, and increased physical activity. Incorporating elements such as urban parks, green walkways, and recreational areas into the urban landscape promotes a healthier and more resilient population.

3.3. Economic Integration

The economic dimension of the conceptual framework acknowledges the economic value generated by green infrastructure. Beyond its environmental and social benefits, green infrastructure contributes to economic sustainability by creating jobs, increasing property values, and attracting investment.

3.3.1. Job Creation in Green Industries

The development and maintenance of green infrastructure create employment opportunities in various sectors, including landscaping, horticulture, and environmental management. Job creation not only contributes to the economic viability of urban areas but also supports a skilled workforce dedicated to preserving and enhancing green spaces (Satterthwaite, 2021).

3.3.2. Economic Stimulus through Tourism

Well-designed and maintained green spaces often become attractions for both residents and visitors. Tourism-related activities, such as nature walks, events, and educational programs, stimulate local economies. The economic value derived from increased tourism contributes to the overall financial resilience of urban areas (Watson & Deller, 2022).

3.4. Resilience Integration

The resilience integration within the conceptual framework emphasizes the capacity of green infrastructure to enhance urban resilience in the face of environmental challenges and uncertainties. By addressing ecological, social, and economic dimensions, green infrastructure becomes a multifaceted tool for building adaptive capacity and ensuring the long-term sustainability of urban areas.

3.4.1. Climate Resilience

Green infrastructure plays a crucial role in climate resilience by mitigating the impacts of extreme weather events, reducing urban heat island effects, and adapting to changing climatic conditions. The incorporation of resilient plant

species, water management strategies, and climate-responsive design principles strengthens a city's ability to withstand and recover from climate-related disturbances.

3.4.2. Social-Ecological Resilience

The interconnectedness of ecological and social components within green infrastructure contributes to the social-ecological resilience of urban areas. By fostering biodiversity, supporting ecosystem services, and enhancing community well-being, green infrastructure builds a foundation for resilient urban ecosystems capable of adapting to dynamic social and environmental conditions.

In conclusion, the conceptual framework for green infrastructure development establishes a comprehensive understanding of the interconnected components that contribute to urban resilience and sustainability. This integrated approach, considering ecological, social, and economic dimensions, serves as a roadmap for policymakers, urban planners, and communities to strategically implement and optimize the benefits of green infrastructure. The subsequent sections of this research paper will delve into specific strategies for the effective development and integration of green infrastructure within urban contexts.

4. Strategies for Green Infrastructure Development

The successful implementation of green infrastructure requires a thoughtful and multifaceted approach that integrates ecological, social, and economic considerations into urban planning and development. The following strategies outline key principles and actions to guide the effective development of green infrastructure, aiming to enhance urban resilience and sustainability.

4.1. Holistic Planning and Design

Effective green infrastructure development begins with holistic planning that considers the interconnectedness of urban ecosystems. Adopting an ecosystem-based approach involves understanding the functions of natural systems and integrating them into the urban fabric. This includes mapping green corridors, identifying biodiversity hotspots, and strategically locating green spaces to maximize their impact on ecological health.

4.1.1. Biodiversity Planning

Develop and implement biodiversity planning strategies to enhance the resilience of urban ecosystems. Identify and protect critical habitats, promote native plantings, and integrate green corridors to support the movement of species. Incorporate ecological assessments into urban planning processes to guide the design and placement of green infrastructure elements.

4.1.2. Green Roof and Vertical Greening

Encourage the installation of green roofs and vertical greening in urban structures to maximize the use of available space. These features contribute to biodiversity, mitigate the urban heat island effect, and improve energy efficiency. Incentivize property developers to integrate green infrastructure elements into building designs, fostering a more sustainable and resilient urban environment (Foster, Lowe, & Winkelman, 2011).

4.2. Community Engagement and Participation

Community engagement is a cornerstone of successful green infrastructure development. Actively involve residents in the planning, design, and maintenance of green spaces to ensure that projects align with local needs and preferences. Establish community gardens, organize educational programs, and create opportunities for citizens to contribute to the enhancement of their neighborhoods (Ghose & Pettygrove, 2014; Twiss et al., 2003).

4.2.1. Participatory Design Workshops

Organize participatory design workshops that bring together community members, urban planners, and environmental experts. These workshops can serve as platforms for idea exchange, ensuring that the design and implementation of green infrastructure projects reflect the aspirations and cultural nuances of the local community. By fostering a sense of ownership, communities become stewards of their green spaces.

4.2.2. Green Education Programs

Implement educational initiatives to raise awareness about the importance of green infrastructure. Offer programs on sustainable living, biodiversity conservation, and the benefits of green spaces for mental and physical health. Building a well-informed and environmentally conscious community strengthens the social fabric and resilience of urban areas.

4.3. Integration with Existing Infrastructure

Seamless integration with existing infrastructure is essential for maximizing the impact of green initiatives. Incorporate green infrastructure elements into transportation systems, stormwater management, and urban development projects. Ensure that green spaces are interconnected, creating a network that enhances both ecological functionality and human accessibility.

4.3.1. Green Streets and Sustainable Transportation

Design streetscapes that prioritize pedestrians and cyclists, integrating green infrastructure elements such as street trees, bioswales, and permeable pavements. Sustainable transportation solutions, including electric mobility and enhanced public transit, complement green infrastructure by reducing emissions and minimizing the ecological footprint of urban mobility (Patil, 2021).

4.3.2. Water-sensitive Urban Design

Adopt water-sensitive urban design principles to enhance stormwater management and water conservation. Implement green infrastructure features such as rain gardens, green roofs, and constructed wetlands to capture and treat rainwater. This not only reduces the risk of flooding but also contributes to groundwater recharge and overall water resilience.

4.4. Policy and Governance Support

The success of green infrastructure development hinges on supportive policies and effective governance. Implement zoning regulations that prioritize green spaces, provide incentives for green building practices, and establish conservation easements to protect critical ecological areas. Foster collaboration between governmental bodies, NGOs, and the private sector to ensure a coordinated and sustained effort.

4.4.1. Incentive Programs

Introduce financial incentives for property developers and homeowners to integrate green infrastructure elements. This could include tax breaks, grants, or density bonuses for projects that meet specific green building criteria. Financial incentives stimulate private investment in green initiatives and accelerate the adoption of sustainable practices.

4.4.2. Green Infrastructure Standards

Develop and enforce standards for the design and maintenance of green infrastructure. Establishing clear guidelines ensures the quality and effectiveness of green projects. This includes criteria for plant selection, maintenance practices, and monitoring protocols to assess the ecological and social impact of green infrastructure over time (Naumann, Davis, Kaphengst, Pieterse, & Rayment, 2011).

4.5. Long-term Maintenance and Monitoring

Green infrastructure requires ongoing maintenance to sustain its benefits. Develop long-term maintenance plans that involve local communities, public agencies, and private stakeholders. Implement monitoring programs to assess the performance of green infrastructure in terms of biodiversity conservation, stormwater management, and community well-being.

4.5.1. Citizen Science Initiatives

Engage citizens in monitoring and data collection through citizen science initiatives. This involvement not only contributes valuable data but also empowers communities to take an active role in the stewardship of their green spaces. By fostering a sense of responsibility, citizen science enhances the long-term sustainability and resilience of green infrastructure.

4.5.2. Adaptive Management Strategies

Implement adaptive management strategies that allow for the flexibility to respond to changing environmental conditions and community needs. Regularly assess the performance of green infrastructure projects and adjust management practices accordingly. This iterative process ensures that green infrastructure remains effective and resilient in the face of evolving challenges (Staddon et al., 2018).

In conclusion, the effective development of green infrastructure relies on a comprehensive set of strategies that integrate ecological, social, and economic considerations. By adopting holistic planning, engaging communities, integrating with existing infrastructure, supporting policies, and ensuring long-term maintenance, urban areas can foster resilience and sustainability through the strategic implementation of green infrastructure initiatives. These strategies serve as a roadmap for cities aspiring to create vibrant, ecologically sound, and resilient urban environments.

5. Challenges and Barriers in Green Infrastructure Development for Urban Resilience and Sustainability

While the benefits of green infrastructure are substantial, its successful implementation faces several challenges and barriers. Understanding and addressing these obstacles is crucial for overcoming hurdles and ensuring the effective integration of green infrastructure into urban landscapes.

One of the primary challenges is the limited availability of space in densely populated urban areas. The demand for housing, commercial development, and infrastructure often competes with the allocation of land for green spaces. High urban density can make it challenging to find suitable locations for large-scale green infrastructure projects, limiting their potential impact. The fragmentation of green spaces poses a barrier to their ecological effectiveness. Disconnected patches of greenery hinder the formation of cohesive ecosystems, reducing the biodiversity and ecological resilience of urban areas. Overcoming fragmentation requires strategic planning and coordination to create continuous green corridors and interconnected habitats (Chen, Jia, & Lau, 2008; Wolff, Mdemu, & Lakes, 2021).

Sustaining the benefits of green infrastructure over the long term necessitates effective maintenance and management. Issues related to funding, resource allocation, and the lack of skilled personnel can impede the proper upkeep of green spaces. Without adequate care, these areas may deteriorate, diminishing their ecological and social value. Green infrastructure projects may inadvertently exacerbate social inequalities if not implemented with an equity-focused approach. Certain communities may have limited access to green spaces, leading to disparities in health, well-being, and overall quality of life. Overcoming these equity concerns requires deliberate efforts to ensure that all urban residents benefit from green infrastructure initiatives (Young, 2011).

Resistance to change, whether from policymakers, developers, or the public, can hinder the adoption of green infrastructure. Traditional urban development practices may be deeply ingrained, and convincing stakeholders of the benefits of a paradigm shift requires education and advocacy. Overcoming resistance involves fostering a shared understanding of the positive impacts of green infrastructure on urban resilience and sustainability. The uncertainties associated with climate change projections pose a significant challenge for green infrastructure development. Designing projects that can adapt to evolving climate conditions requires a forward-thinking approach. The dynamic nature of climate change necessitates flexible strategies to ensure that green infrastructure remains effective in mitigating and adapting to future environmental challenges.

Inconsistent or inadequate regulations and policies can act as barriers to the successful implementation of green infrastructure. Zoning laws, building codes, and planning regulations may not prioritize or incentivize the integration of green elements. Addressing regulatory and policy barriers involves advocating for supportive frameworks that encourage and mandate green infrastructure development. The upfront costs associated with green infrastructure development can be a significant barrier, particularly for cash-strapped municipalities or developers. Despite the long-term economic benefits, the initial investment required may discourage adoption. Innovative financing mechanisms, public-private partnerships, and incentivizing green initiatives through grants or tax incentives can help alleviate financial constraints (Dhakal & Chevalier, 2017; Tian, 2011).

Addressing these challenges and barriers requires a concerted effort from diverse stakeholders, including government bodies, urban planners, community organizations, and the private sector. A collaborative approach that considers the unique context of each urban area is essential to overcome these obstacles and unlock the full potential of green infrastructure for urban resilience and sustainability.

6. Conclusion

In the pursuit of urban resilience and sustainability, green infrastructure emerges as a transformative force capable of harmonizing the built environment with the natural world. The conceptual framework, strategies, and insights explored in this research underscore the importance of a holistic approach that integrates ecological, social, and economic dimensions.

Green infrastructure, as defined by its diverse components such as green spaces, corridors, and sustainable design elements, offers multifaceted benefits. From enhancing biodiversity and mitigating climate impacts to fostering community well-being and economic vitality, it stands as a catalyst for positive change in urban landscapes.

However, realizing the full potential of green infrastructure is not without its challenges. Overcoming limited space, addressing equity concerns, and navigating regulatory barriers require strategic planning, community engagement, and innovative policies. The path to sustainable urban futures must involve a paradigm shift wherein stakeholders collaborate to integrate green infrastructure seamlessly into the urban fabric.

As urban areas continue to grapple with the complexities of a rapidly changing world, the adoption of green infrastructure becomes not just a choice but a necessity. The integration of nature into urban planning is not merely an aesthetic consideration; it is imperative for building resilient cities that can withstand environmental challenges, enhance quality of life, and foster a sense of interconnectedness among diverse communities.

In conclusion, this research serves as a roadmap for urban planners, policymakers, and communities eager to embark on the journey of green infrastructure development. By embracing the strategies outlined here and addressing the associated challenges, cities can cultivate sustainable and resilient environments that thrive amidst the dynamic forces of the 21st century. Green infrastructure offers not just a vision but a tangible path toward a future where urban spaces coexist harmoniously with nature, ensuring the well-being and prosperity of present and future generations.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Adedeji, I. (2023). Nigerian Urbanization and the Significance of Affordable Housing. *Journal of Service Science and Management*, 16(3), 351-368.
- [2] Archer, D. (2016). Building urban climate resilience through community-driven approaches to development: Experiences from Asia. *International Journal of Climate Change Strategies and Management*, 8(5), 654-669.
- [3] Artmann, M., Bastian, O., & Grunewald, K. (2017). Using the concepts of green infrastructure and ecosystem services to specify Leitbilder for compact and green cities—the example of the landscape plan of Dresden (Germany). *Sustainability*, 9(2), 198.
- [4] Artmann, M., Kohler, M., Meinel, G., Gan, J., & Ioja, I.-C. (2019). How smart growth and green infrastructure can mutually support each other—A conceptual framework for compact and green cities. *Ecological Indicators*, 96, 10-22.
- [5] Beatley, T., & Newman, P. (2013). Biophilic cities are sustainable, resilient cities. *Sustainability*, 5(8), 3328-3345.
- [6] Brandon, P. S., & Lombardi, P. (2010). *Evaluating sustainable development in the built environment*: John Wiley & Sons.
- [7] Burgess, J., Harrison, C. M., & Limb, M. (1988). People, parks and the urban green: a study of popular meanings and values for open spaces in the city. *Urban studies*, 25(6), 455-473.
- [8] Caparrós-Martínez, J. L., Milán-García, J., Rueda-López, N., & de Pablo-Valenciano, J. (2020). Green infrastructure and water: An analysis of global research. *Water*, 12(6), 1760.
- [9] Chen, H., Jia, B., & Lau, S. (2008). Sustainable urban form for Chinese compact cities: Challenges of a rapid urbanized economy. *Habitat international*, 32(1), 28-40.

- [10] Chenoweth, J., Anderson, A. R., Kumar, P., Hunt, W. F., Chimbwandira, S. J., & Moore, T. L. (2018). The interrelationship of green infrastructure and natural capital. *Land use policy*, 75, 137-144.
- [11] Connop, S., Vandergert, P., Eisenberg, B., Collier, M. J., Nash, C., Clough, J., & Newport, D. (2016). Renaturing cities using a regionally-focused biodiversity-led multifunctional benefits approach to urban green infrastructure. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 62, 99-111.
- [12] Dhakal, K. P., & Chevalier, L. R. (2017). Managing urban stormwater for urban sustainability: Barriers and policy solutions for green infrastructure application. *Journal of environmental management*, 203, 171-181.
- [13] Dover, J. W. (2015). *Green infrastructure: incorporating plants and enhancing biodiversity in buildings and urban environments*: Routledge.
- [14] Folke, C., Hahn, T., Olsson, P., & Norberg, J. (2005). Adaptive governance of social-ecological systems. *Annu. Rev. Environ. Resour.*, 30, 441-473.
- [15] Foster, J., Lowe, A., & Winkelman, S. (2011). The value of green infrastructure for urban climate adaptation. *Center for Clean Air Policy*, 750(1), 1-52.
- [16] Galan, J. (2020). Towards a relational model for emerging urban nature concepts: a practical application and an external assessment in landscape planning education. *Sustainability*, 12(6), 2465.
- [17] Gavrilidis, A. A., Niță, M. R., Onose, D. A., Badiu, D. L., & Năstase, I. I. (2019). Methodological framework for urban sprawl control through sustainable planning of urban green infrastructure. *Ecological Indicators*, 96, 67-78.
- [18] Ghose, R., & Pettygrove, M. (2014). Urban community gardens as spaces of citizenship. *Antipode*, 46(4), 1092-1112.
- [19] Güneralp, B., Lwasa, S., Masundire, H., Parnell, S., & Seto, K. C. (2017). Urbanization in Africa: challenges and opportunities for conservation. *Environmental research letters*, 13(1), 015002.
- [20] Haase, D. (2021). Integrating Ecosystem Services, Green Infrastructure and Nature-Based Solutions—New Perspectives in Sustainable Urban Land Management: Combining Knowledge About Urban Nature for Action. *Sustainable Land Management in a European Context: A Co-design Approach*, 305-318.
- [21] Hansen, R., Olafsson, A. S., Van Der Jagt, A. P., Rall, E., & Pauleit, S. (2019). Planning multifunctional green infrastructure for compact cities: What is the state of practice? *Ecological Indicators*, 96, 99-110.
- [22] Jennings, V., & Bamkole, O. (2019). The relationship between social cohesion and urban green space: An avenue for health promotion. *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 16(3), 452.
- [23] Kasana, S. Urbanization and its Impact on Human Population Dispersion: A Global Analysis.
- [24] Knapp, S., Schmauck, S., & Zehnsdorf, A. (2019). Biodiversity impact of green roofs and constructed wetlands as progressive eco-technologies in urban areas. *Sustainability*, 11(20), 5846.
- [25] Leichenko, R. (2011). Climate change and urban resilience. *Current opinion in environmental sustainability*, 3(3), 164-168.
- [26] Liqueste, C., Kleeschulte, S., Dige, G., Maes, J., Grizzetti, B., Olah, B., & Zulian, G. (2015). Mapping green infrastructure based on ecosystem services and ecological networks: A Pan-European case study. *Environmental Science & Policy*, 54, 268-280.
- [27] Lovell, S. T., & Taylor, J. R. (2013). Supplying urban ecosystem services through multifunctional green infrastructure in the United States. *Landscape ecology*, 28, 1447-1463.
- [28] Lynch, A. J. (2019). Creating effective urban greenways and stepping-stones: four critical gaps in habitat connectivity planning research. *Journal of Planning Literature*, 34(2), 131-155.
- [29] Mayrand, F., & Clergeau, P. (2018). Green roofs and green walls for biodiversity conservation: a contribution to urban connectivity? *Sustainability*, 10(4), 985.
- [30] Meerow, S., Newell, J. P., & Stults, M. (2016). Defining urban resilience: A review. *Landscape and urban planning*, 147, 38-49.
- [31] Mell, I. C. (2009). *Can green infrastructure promote urban sustainability?* Paper presented at the Proceedings of the institution of civil engineers-engineering sustainability.
- [32] Mukherjee, M., & Takara, K. (2018). Urban green space as a countermeasure to increasing urban risk and the UGS-3CC resilience framework. *International Journal of Disaster Risk Reduction*, 28, 854-861.

- [33] Nash, C. (2017). *Brownfield-inspired green infrastructure: a new approach to urban biodiversity conservation*. University of East London,
- [34] Naumann, S., Davis, M., Kaphengst, T., Pieterse, M., & Rayment, M. (2011). Design, implementation and cost elements of Green Infrastructure projects. *Final report, European Commission, Brussels, 138*.
- [35] Patil, P. (2021). Sustainable Transportation Planning: Strategies for Reducing Greenhouse Gas Emissions in Urban Areas. *Empirical Quests for Management Essences, 1(1)*, 116-129.
- [36] Raskin, P., Gallopin, G., Gutman, P., Hammond, A., & Swart, R. (1998). *Bending the curve: toward global sustainability* (Vol. 8): Stockholm Environment Institute Stockholm.
- [37] Rolfe, D. (2022). *Investigating the economic value of green infrastructure in urban development and planning*. Macquarie University,
- [38] Satterthwaite, D. (2021). Sustainable cities or cities that contribute to sustainable development? In *The Earthscan reader in sustainable cities* (pp. 80-106): Routledge.
- [39] Sining, Z. (2019). *Urban green infrastructure: sustainable regional development based on landscape services*.
- [40] Staddon, C., Ward, S., De Vito, L., Zuniga-Teran, A., Gerlak, A. K., Schoeman, Y., . . . Booth, G. (2018). Contributions of green infrastructure to enhancing urban resilience. *Environment Systems and Decisions, 38*, 330-338.
- [41] Tian, S. (2011). Managing stormwater runoff with green infrastructure: Exploring practical strategies to overcome barriers in citywide implementation.
- [42] Twiss, J., Dickinson, J., Duma, S., Kleinman, T., Paulsen, H., & Rilveria, L. (2003). Community gardens: Lessons learned from California healthy cities and communities. *American journal of public health, 93(9)*, 1435-1438.
- [43] Vargas-Hernández, J. G., & Zdunek-Wielgołaska, J. (2021). Urban green infrastructure as a tool for controlling the resilience of urban sprawl. *Environment, Development and Sustainability, 23(2)*, 1335-1354.
- [44] Wan, C., Shen, G. Q., & Choi, S. (2021). Underlying relationships between public urban green spaces and social cohesion: A systematic literature review. *City, culture and society, 24*, 100383.
- [45] Watson, P., & Deller, S. (2022). Tourism and economic resilience. *Tourism Economics, 28(5)*, 1193-1215.
- [46] Weinstock, M. (2013). *System city: Infrastructure and the space of flows*: John Wiley & Sons.
- [47] Wolff, S., Mdemu, M. V., & Lakes, T. (2021). Defining the peri-urban: a multidimensional characterization of spatio-temporal land use along an urban–rural gradient in Dar es Salaam, Tanzania. *Land, 10(2)*, 177.
- [48] Young, R. F. (2011). Planting the living city: Best practices in planning green infrastructure—Results from major us cities. *Journal of the American Planning Association, 77(4)*, 368-381.