



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



A review of sustainable environmental practices and their impact on U. S. economic sustainability

Emmanuel Adikwu Ukpoju ^{1,*}, Adedayo Adefemi ², Abimbola Oluwatoyin Adegbite ³, Obe Destiny Balogun ⁴, Bartholomew Obehioye Obaedo ⁵ and Ayodeji Abatan ⁶

¹ *Independent Researcher, Johannesburg, South Africa.*

² *Chevron Nigeria Limited, Nigeria.*

³ *IHS Towers Nigeria Plc.*

⁴ *Independent Researcher, Lima Ohio, USA.*

⁵ *Department of Building, Ambrose Alli University, Ekpoma.*

⁶ *Saltwire Network, Halifax, Canada.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 21(01), 384–392

Publication history: Received on 23 November 2023; revised on 01 January 2024; accepted on 03 January 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.21.1.2717>

Abstract

This research explores the dynamic interplay between sustainable environmental practices and economic sustainability in the United States. Through a comprehensive literature review, we investigate the multifaceted dimensions of sustainable practices, examining renewable energy adoption, waste management strategies, and sustainable agriculture. The study unveils the economic impacts, including job creation, innovation, and market opportunities. Despite initial costs and policy uncertainties, opportunities arise from consumer demand, policy interventions, technological advancements, and collaborative efforts. Future directions include technological innovation, circular economies, global collaborations, and revised economic metrics. Ultimately, this research underscores the imperative of harmonizing economic prosperity with environmental responsibility for a resilient and sustainable future.

Keywords: Sustainable environmental practices; Economic sustainability; Renewable energy; Circular economy; Job creation; Technological innovation; Global collaboration

1. Introduction

The intersection of environmental stewardship and economic sustainability has become an imperative consideration in contemporary discourse, particularly within the context of the United States. As global concerns over climate change, resource depletion, and environmental degradation escalate, the adoption and impact of sustainable environmental practices have taken center stage. This paper seeks to provide a comprehensive review of sustainable environmental practices and their discernible influence on the economic sustainability of the United States.

The backdrop against which this study unfolds is characterized by an increasing awareness of the finite nature of Earth's resources and the urgency to mitigate anthropogenic activities that contribute to environmental harm. The United States, as a global economic powerhouse, grapples with the challenge of balancing economic growth with responsible resource management and environmental preservation (Fiksel & Fiksel, 2015; Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu et al., 2023). The intricate interplay between sustainable environmental practices and economic sustainability is an evolving narrative that demands nuanced examination.

* Corresponding author: Emmanuel Adikwu Ukpoju

At its core, the term 'sustainable environmental practices' encompasses diverse initiatives and policies aimed at mitigating ecological footprints, preserving biodiversity, and promoting the responsible use of natural resources (Alshuwaikhat & Abubakar, 2008; Chambers et al., 2021). From adopting renewable energy sources to implementing waste reduction strategies, sustainable practices have permeated various sectors, influencing industries, governments, and communities. Understanding the multifaceted nature of these practices is essential to unraveling their potential impact on the nation's economic fabric.

This research paper navigates through the existing body of literature to elucidate the fundamental concepts, theories, and frameworks that underpin the relationship between sustainable environmental practices and economic sustainability. By conducting a thorough literature review, the paper aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of the current state of knowledge, identifying gaps and opportunities for further exploration. The significance of this study lies not only in the academic realm but also in its practical implications for policymakers, businesses, and society. The United States, as a signatory to various international agreements and accords addressing environmental concerns, stands at a crucial juncture in its pursuit of sustainable development. Today's decisions and actions will reverberate through future generations, shaping the nation's economic and environmental landscape trajectory.

As we delve into this exploration, it is essential to consider the potential synergies and trade-offs between economic growth and environmental preservation. While economic sustainability traditionally emphasizes the efficient allocation of resources and financial resilience, a paradigm shift is underway to incorporate ecological sustainability as an integral component. Balancing these dual objectives requires a nuanced understanding of how sustainable environmental practices can be strategically integrated into economic models without compromising long-term ecological integrity.

2. Literature Review

2.1. Overview of Sustainability and Its Relevance to the Environment

Sustainability, a term frequently invoked in contemporary discourse, encapsulates the fundamental concept of meeting the needs of the present without compromising the ability of future generations to meet their own needs (Agbedahin, 2019; Feinstein & Kirchgasser, 2015). The environmental dimension of sustainability is particularly critical, as it addresses the intricate relationships between human activities and the natural world. At its essence, sustainability implies maintaining ecological balance, preserving biodiversity, and ensuring the resilience of ecosystems (Aarts, 1999; Bengtsson et al., 2003).

The relevance of sustainability to the environment stems from the recognition that human actions, driven by economic and social pursuits, exert profound and often deleterious impacts on the planet. The depletion of finite resources, deforestation, pollution, and climate change are manifestations of unsustainable practices that jeopardize the delicate equilibrium of Earth's systems. Scholars and environmentalists argue that embracing sustainability is not just a moral or ethical imperative but a pragmatic necessity for the long-term survival of human societies.

In the context of the United States, a nation marked by industrialization and rapid economic development, the imperative to integrate sustainability into environmental practices becomes paramount (MacNeill, 1989). The intricate web of economic activities, from manufacturing to consumption, significantly influences the nation's ecological footprint. Therefore, understanding and implementing sustainable environmental practices are vital to mitigating environmental degradation and balancing economic prosperity and ecological well-being (Charfeddine & Mrabet, 2017).

2.2. Examination of Existing Literature on Sustainable Environmental Practices

A comprehensive examination of existing literature reveals a rich tapestry of research, ranging from empirical studies to theoretical frameworks, that delves into the myriad dimensions of sustainable environmental practices. Scholars have explored diverse practices, including but not limited to renewable energy adoption, waste management strategies, sustainable agriculture, and conservation efforts.

One prominent area of study revolves around the adoption of renewable energy sources as a pivotal component of sustainable environmental practices (Schroeder, Anggraeni, & Weber, 2019). Researchers have investigated the economic viability, technological advancements, and policy frameworks associated with transitioning from conventional fossil fuels to renewable alternatives. The literature suggests that a shift toward renewable energy mitigates the environmental impact of energy production and presents economic opportunities, such as job creation in

the renewable energy sector and the potential for energy independence (Babawurun, Ewim, Scott, & Neye-Akogo, 2023; Demirbas, 2005; Ewim, Abolarin, Scott, & Anyanwu, 2023; Majid, 2020; Tester, Drake, Driscoll, Golay, & Peters, 2012).

Waste management, another critical facet of sustainable environmental practices, has garnered significant attention. Studies explore the implications of different waste management strategies, including recycling, composting, and waste-to-energy technologies. The economic considerations extend beyond mere waste reduction costs, encompassing the potential for creating a circular economy that minimizes resource depletion and maximizes the reuse of materials (Asthana, 2023; Ewim, Orikipte, et al., 2023; Igbinovia, Emmanuel, & Ambrose, 2023; Lang et al., 2012; Marshall & Farahbakhsh, 2013).

Sustainable agriculture practices, designed to minimize the environmental footprint of food production, represent a nexus of environmental and economic considerations (Yu & Wu, 2018). The literature underscores the potential for sustainable agriculture to enhance soil health, conserve water, and promote biodiversity while addressing economic aspects such as agricultural systems' resilience and farmers' economic well-being. Conservation efforts, including protecting natural habitats and endangered species, also feature prominently in the literature. Scholars explore the economic value of biodiversity, emphasizing the ecosystem services that contribute to human well-being, ranging from pollination of crops to water purification (Haines-Young & Potschin, 2010; Lele, Springate-Baginski, Lakerveld, Deb, & Dash, 2013; Summers, Smith, Case, & Linthurst, 2012). This perspective challenges the dichotomy between economic development and conservation, suggesting that the two are not mutually exclusive but rather interdependent.

The synthesis of economic sustainability and environmental practices requires a nuanced understanding of key concepts, theories, and frameworks that underpin this complex relationship. One prominent concept is the idea of the "triple bottom line," which posits that businesses and organizations should be accountable not only for economic profits but also for their social and environmental impacts (Farooq, Fu, Liu, & Hao, 2021; Milne & Gray, 2013; Norman & MacDonald, 2004). This framework emphasizes the interconnectedness of economic, social, and environmental dimensions and advocates for a holistic approach to decision-making. Economic theories such as ecological economics and the steady-state economy provide theoretical foundations for reconciling economic growth with environmental limits. Ecological economics challenges traditional economic models by integrating ecological principles, recognizing the finite nature of resources, and emphasizing the importance of maintaining natural capital (Wackernagel & Rees, 1997). The steady-state economy posits that continuous economic growth is unsustainable in the long run, advocating for a stable economy within the ecological carrying capacity.

Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) and green accounting offer practical approaches to aligning economic activities with environmental sustainability (Peršić, Janković, & Krivačić, 2017; Shah, Arjoon, & Rambocas, 2016). CSR encourages businesses to adopt environmentally responsible practices voluntarily, acknowledging their role as stakeholders in broader societal and environmental contexts (Babiak & Trendafilova, 2011; D'amato, Henderson, & Florence, 2009). Green accounting incorporates environmental considerations into traditional accounting methods, providing a more comprehensive understanding of economic activities' true costs and benefits (Bennett & James, 2017).

In summary, the literature review highlights the dynamic and multifaceted nature of sustainable environmental practices and their intersection with economic sustainability. By examining the existing body of knowledge, we gain insights into the diverse practices, the economic implications of these practices, and the conceptual frameworks that guide our understanding of this intricate relationship. As we proceed, the subsequent sections of this paper will delve deeper into the specific sustainable practices, analyzing their impact on the U.S. economic landscape and exploring potential pathways for achieving a harmonious balance between economic growth and environmental stewardship.

2.3. Sustainable Environmental Practices

Sustainable environmental practices, often viewed as a panacea for addressing the looming ecological challenges, constitute diverse strategies to foster ecological health, minimize environmental impact, and promote responsible use of resources. This section delves into the various dimensions of sustainable environmental practices, from adopting renewable energy sources to waste management strategies and cultivating sustainable agriculture.

At the forefront of sustainable environmental practices lies the transition from conventional fossil fuels to renewable energy sources. The imperative to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and mitigate climate change has propelled the exploration and implementation of alternative energy solutions. Solar, wind, hydropower, and geothermal energy represent critical components of this transition. The literature on renewable energy adoption underscores the dual nature of its impact. Firstly, the environmental benefits are evident, as renewable energy sources produce fewer pollutants and contribute significantly less to climate change than traditional fossil fuels (Algarni, Tirth, Alqahtani,

Alshehery, & Kshirsagar, 2023). Secondly, the economic ramifications are substantial, with the renewable energy sector emerging as a driver of job creation, technological innovation, and economic resilience (Chen, Zou, Zhong, & Aliyeva, 2023; Gielen et al., 2019). Policies that incentivize and support the transition to renewable energy play a pivotal role in shaping the trajectory of this sustainable practice (Kuzemko, Lockwood, Mitchell, & Hoggett, 2016; Lindberg, Markard, & Andersen, 2019).

Effective waste management is a linchpin in pursuing sustainable environmental practices. Conventional waste disposal methods, such as landfilling, often result in environmental degradation and resource depletion. On the other hand, sustainable waste management strategies encompass a spectrum of approaches, including recycling, composting, and waste-to-energy technologies.

Recycling, a cornerstone of sustainable waste management, involves reprocessing materials to create new products (Di Maio & Rem, 2015). This practice reduces the demand for raw materials and diminishes the environmental impact of waste disposal. Composting, another sustainable approach, converts organic waste into nutrient-rich compost, enriching soil quality and reducing the need for chemical fertilizers. Waste-to-energy technologies, such as incineration and anaerobic digestion, offer alternatives for harnessing energy from waste materials, contributing to waste reduction and energy generation (AlQattan et al., 2018). The economic implications of these waste management strategies extend beyond the immediate implementation costs. Recycling, for instance, can stimulate economic activity by creating jobs in the recycling industry and reducing the financial burden associated with waste disposal. Moreover, waste-to-energy technologies offer the potential for generating renewable energy and mitigating the environmental impact of conventional energy production.

Given the sector's direct dependence on natural resources, the intersection of sustainable environmental practices and agriculture is paramount. Sustainable agriculture seeks to balance the need for food production with environmental conservation, emphasizing practices that promote soil health, biodiversity, and water conservation.

Crop rotation, cover cropping, and agroforestry are examples of sustainable agricultural practices that enhance soil fertility, reduce erosion, and promote biodiversity (Wezel et al., 2014; Wilson & Lovell, 2016). These practices contribute to long-term agricultural resilience, mitigating the environmental degradation associated with conventional farming methods (Altieri & Nicholls, 2017; Singh & Singh, 2017). Additionally, the adoption of precision agriculture technologies, such as GPS-guided tractors and drones, optimizes resource use, minimizing inputs like water and fertilizers. From an economic perspective, sustainable agriculture promises to ensure agricultural systems' longevity and farmers' economic well-being (Pretty & Bharucha, 2014). By reducing the reliance on chemical inputs, sustainable practices can lower production costs and enhance the resilience of farming operations. Moreover, the growing consumer demand for sustainably produced food presents economic opportunities for farmers who embrace environmentally friendly practices.

2.4. Economic Impact of Sustainable Environmental Practices

The economic landscape of the United States is undergoing a transformative shift as sustainable environmental practices gain prominence. The adoption of eco-friendly initiatives, from renewable energy sources to sustainable agriculture and waste management, is not only driven by environmental concerns but also yields substantial economic implications. This section explores the economic impact of these practices, emphasizing their role in fostering economic resilience, innovation, and long-term prosperity.

One of the most tangible economic benefits of sustainable environmental practices is the generation of employment opportunities. For instance, the transition to renewable energy has led to a surge in demand for skilled workers in the solar, wind, and other clean energy sectors (Epstein, 2018). Developing, installing, and maintaining renewable energy infrastructure create "green jobs," bolstering economic resilience by diversifying the labour market (Dell'Anna, 2021). Furthermore, sustainable practices in waste management, such as recycling and waste-to-energy technologies, foster job creation along the entire value chain (Hughes, 2011). From collection and sorting to processing and manufacturing, the recycling industry sustains a workforce contributing to economic vitality. The economic resilience achieved through diversification into sustainable sectors mitigates the risks associated with dependency on traditional, often environmentally harmful, industries.

The pursuit of sustainability catalyzes innovation and drives technological advancements, positioning the United States at the forefront of the global race for a cleaner, more sustainable future. The renewable energy sector, in particular, has witnessed rapid technological innovation, leading to increased efficiency, reduced costs, and enhanced energy storage solutions. This makes sustainable practices more economically viable and positions the U.S. as a global clean energy

marketplace leader. Technological innovation is pivotal in waste management by optimizing recycling processes, developing more efficient waste-to-energy technologies, and creating new materials from recycled resources. The economic impact of such innovations extends beyond the immediate benefits of resource conservation; it fuels economic growth by fostering a culture of research and development, attracting investment, and creating opportunities for entrepreneurs and startups.

Contrary to the misconception that sustainability comes at a high cost, adopting eco-friendly practices often results in cost savings and efficiency gains for businesses. Energy-efficient technologies, a core component of sustainable practices, contribute to reduced energy consumption and operational costs for industries. From energy-efficient lighting systems to advanced building materials, businesses embracing sustainability contribute to environmental conservation and bolster their bottom line. Sustainable practices such as precision farming and organic cultivation can save costs through optimized resource use in agriculture. While the initial transition to sustainable practices may require investments, the long-term economic benefits, including reduced input costs and enhanced soil fertility, contribute to the economic sustainability of the agricultural sector.

The changing dynamics of consumer behaviour, marked by increased awareness of environmental issues, have created a burgeoning market for sustainable products and services. Businesses that align themselves with sustainable environmental practices are tapping into this growing market, capitalizing on the shift in consumer preferences. The economic impact is evident as companies prioritizing sustainability experience increased market share and profitability. Moreover, adopting sustainable practices can serve as a market differentiator, enhancing the brand image and creating a competitive edge. Consumers, increasingly conscious of the environmental impact of their choices, are more likely to support businesses that demonstrate a commitment to sustainability. This, in turn, translates into economic gains for companies that strategically integrate eco-friendly practices into their operations (Rathore, 2018; Saxena & Khandelwal, 2010).

In conclusion, the economic impact of sustainable environmental practices is multifaceted, contributing to job creation, fostering innovation, generating cost savings, and capitalizing on market opportunities. Far from burdening economic growth, sustainability emerges as a catalyst for positive change, positioning the United States at the forefront of a global transition towards a more environmentally conscious and economically resilient future.

2.5. Opportunities and Solutions in Embracing Sustainable Environmental Practices

Amidst the challenges of adopting sustainable environmental practices, many opportunities and viable solutions emerge, offering a roadmap for businesses and policymakers to navigate towards a more environmentally conscious and economically sustainable future.

One notable opportunity lies in the growing consumer demand for sustainable products and services. Recognizing the ecological impact of their choices, consumers are increasingly favoring businesses that prioritize sustainability. Companies can seize this market opportunity by integrating eco-friendly practices into their operations, enhancing their brand image and gaining a competitive edge (Urip, 2010; Zhu, Zhang, Siddik, Zheng, & Sobhani, 2023).

Policy interventions are pivotal in creating an enabling environment for sustainable practices. Governments can implement clear, consistent, and supportive regulations that incentivize businesses to adopt eco-friendly initiatives. By offering financial incentives, tax breaks, or subsidies, policymakers can encourage investments in sustainable technologies, making the transition more economically viable for businesses. Technological advancements provide a wealth of opportunities for innovation in sustainable practices. Continued research and development in green technologies create cost-effective and efficient solutions (Worrell et al., 2001). Businesses can leverage these innovations to enhance operational efficiency, reduce resource consumption, and minimize environmental impact, thereby realizing economic benefits.

Collaboration and knowledge-sharing among businesses, industries, and research institutions offer a synergistic approach to overcoming challenges. Collective efforts can foster the exchange of best practices, innovative ideas, and lessons learned, accelerating the adoption of sustainable practices across various sectors. Partnerships between the private sector and research institutions can drive collaborative research and development initiatives, leading to breakthroughs in sustainable technologies (Murad, 2014; Pandey, de Coninck, & Sagar, 2022). Incentivizing sustainable practices through certification programs and eco-labelling solves the lack of standardized metrics. By establishing clear criteria for measuring and reporting sustainable initiatives' environmental and economic impact, businesses can transparently communicate their achievements to consumers and stakeholders, building trust and credibility (Adebukola, Navya, Jordan, Jenifer, & Begley, 2022; Maduka et al., 2023; Okunade, Adediran, Maduka, & Adegoke, 2023).

In conclusion, the opportunities embedded in consumer preferences, supportive policies, technological innovation, collaborative efforts, and transparent metrics provide a robust foundation for businesses and policymakers to overcome the challenges associated with sustainable environmental practices. By seizing these opportunities and implementing comprehensive solutions, society can move towards a more sustainable and economically resilient future.

2.6. Future Directions in Sustainable Environmental Practices and Economic Sustainability

As society grapples with the imperative of harmonizing economic growth with environmental preservation, future directions in sustainable environmental practices and economic sustainability are poised to shape a transformative trajectory. Several vital considerations will influence this evolution.

Firstly, advancing technology will play a pivotal role in the future of sustainability. Continued innovation in renewable energy, waste management, and sustainable agriculture will increase efficiency and affordability, making eco-friendly solutions more accessible and attractive to businesses and consumers. Smart technologies, data analytics, and artificial intelligence will be leveraged to optimize resource use, reduce environmental impact, and enhance overall sustainability.

Secondly, a shift towards circular economies is anticipated. Emphasizing the concept of "reduce, reuse, recycle," circular economies minimize waste by ensuring that products and materials are used for as long as possible. This approach will redefine traditional linear production and consumption models, promoting resource efficiency and minimizing environmental degradation. Moreover, there is a growing recognition of the interconnectedness of global sustainability efforts. Collaborative initiatives, international agreements, and knowledge-sharing platforms will become increasingly prevalent. As the world becomes more interconnected, exchanging ideas, technologies, and best practices will be crucial for collectively addressing global environmental challenges.

Lastly, future directions will likely involve reevaluating economic metrics, including environmental and social indicators. Adopting holistic measures, such as the GPI or inclusive wealth accounting, will provide a more comprehensive understanding of a nation's well-being beyond traditional GDP measures, reflecting economic activities' true costs and benefits.

In essence, the future of sustainable environmental practices and economic sustainability lies in a convergence of technological innovation, circular economies, global collaboration, and redefined economic metrics. By embracing these future directions, societies can forge a balanced and sustainable future that preserves the planet's ecological integrity while fostering economic prosperity.

3. Conclusion

In conclusion, the intertwining dynamics of sustainable environmental practices and economic sustainability present a compelling narrative for the future. As we stand at the precipice of transformative change, it is evident that integrating eco-friendly initiatives into economic models is an ethical and strategic imperative. The challenges of today, from initial investment costs to policy uncertainties, are met with opportunities grounded in consumer demand, policy interventions, technological innovation, collaborative efforts, and transparent metrics.

The future beckons towards technological advancements driving efficiency, circular economies redefining production paradigms, global collaborations amplifying impact, and revised economic metrics capturing the true essence of well-being. These future directions offer a roadmap to a world where economic growth and environmental stewardship coalesce harmoniously. As societies, businesses, and policymakers collectively navigate towards sustainability, embracing the multifaceted solutions and opportunities, the vision of a resilient, eco-conscious, and economically thriving future becomes increasingly tangible. The choices made today will echo in the prosperity and well-being of future generations, reaffirming the imperative to forge a sustainable and balanced future.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

- [1] Aarts, B. G. (1999). Ecological sustainability and biodiversity. *The International Journal of Sustainable Development & World Ecology*, 6(2), 89-102.
- [2] Adebukola, A. A., Navya, A. N., Jordan, F. J., Jenifer, N. J., & Begley, R. D. (2022). Cyber Security as a Threat to Health Care. *Journal of Technology and Systems*, 4(1), 32-64.
- [3] Agbedahin, A. V. (2019). Sustainable development, Education for Sustainable Development, and the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development: Emergence, efficacy, eminence, and future. *Sustainable Development*, 27(4), 669-680.
- [4] Algarni, S., Tirth, V., Alqahtani, T., Alshehery, S., & Kshirsagar, P. (2023). Contribution of renewable energy sources to the environmental impacts and economic benefits for sustainable development. *Sustainable Energy Technologies and Assessments*, 56, 103098.
- [5] AlQattan, N., Acheampong, M., Jaward, F. M., Ertem, F. C., Vijayakumar, N., & Bello, T. (2018). Reviewing the potential of Waste-to-Energy (WTE) technologies for Sustainable Development Goal (SDG) numbers seven and eleven. *Renewable Energy Focus*, 27, 97-110.
- [6] Alshuwaikhat, H. M., & Abubakar, I. (2008). An integrated approach to achieving campus sustainability: assessment of the current campus environmental management practices. *Journal of cleaner production*, 16(16), 1777-1785.
- [7] Altieri, M. A., & Nicholls, C. I. (2017). The adaptation and mitigation potential of traditional agriculture in a changing climate. *Climatic change*, 140, 33-45.
- [8] Asthana, A. N. (2023). Wastewater Management through Circular Economy: A Pathway Towards Sustainable Business and Environmental Protection. *Advances in Water Science*, 34(3), 87-98.
- [9] Babawurun, T., Ewim, D. R. E., Scott, T. O., & Neye-Akogo, C. (2023). A Comprehensive Review of Wind Turbine Modeling for Addressing Energy Challenges in Nigeria and South Africa in the 4IR Context. *The Journal of Engineering and Exact Sciences*, 9(2), 15479-15401e.
- [10] Babiak, K., & Trendafilova, S. (2011). CSR and environmental responsibility: Motives and pressures to adopt green management practices. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 18(1), 11-24.
- [11] Bengtsson, J., Angelstam, P., Elmqvist, T., Emanuelsson, U., Folke, C., Ihse, M., . . . Nyström, M. (2003). Reserves, resilience and dynamic landscapes. *AMBIO: A Journal of the Human Environment*, 32(6), 389-396.
- [12] Bennett, M., & James, P. (2017). *The Green bottom line: environmental accounting for management: current practice and future trends*: Routledge.
- [13] Chambers, J. M., Wyborn, C., Ryan, M. E., Reid, R. S., Riechers, M., Serban, A., . . . Galvin, K. A. (2021). Six modes of co-production for sustainability. *Nature Sustainability*, 4(11), 983-996.
- [14] Charfeddine, L., & Mrabet, Z. (2017). The impact of economic development and social-political factors on ecological footprint: A panel data analysis for 15 MENA countries. *Renewable and sustainable energy reviews*, 76, 138-154.
- [15] Chen, W., Zou, W., Zhong, K., & Aliyeva, A. (2023). Machine learning assessment under the development of green technology innovation: A perspective of energy transition. *Renewable Energy*.
- [16] D'amato, A., Henderson, S., & Florence, S. (2009). Corporate social responsibility and sustainable business. *A Guide to Leadership tasks and functions*, 102.
- [17] Dell'Anna, F. (2021). Green jobs and energy efficiency as strategies for economic growth and the reduction of environmental impacts. *Energy Policy*, 149, 112031.
- [18] Demirbas, A. (2005). Potential applications of renewable energy sources, biomass combustion problems in boiler power systems and combustion related environmental issues. *Progress in energy and combustion science*, 31(2), 171-192.
- [19] Di Maio, F., & Rem, P. C. (2015). A robust indicator for promoting circular economy through recycling. *Journal of Environmental Protection*, 6(10), 1095.
- [20] Epstein, M. J. (2018). *Making sustainability work: Best practices in managing and measuring corporate social, environmental and economic impacts*: Routledge.

- [21] Ewim, D. R. E., Abolarin, S. M., Scott, T. O., & Anyanwu, C. S. (2023). A Survey on the Understanding and Viewpoints of Renewable Energy among South African School Students. *The Journal of Engineering and Exact Sciences*, 9(2), 15375-15301e.
- [22] Ewim, D. R. E., Orikpete, O. F., Scott, T. O., Onyebuchi, C. N., Onukogu, A. O., Uzougbo, C. G., & Onunka, C. (2023). Survey of wastewater issues due to oil spills and pollution in the Niger Delta area of Nigeria: a secondary data analysis. *Bulletin of the National Research Centre*, 47(1), 116.
- [23] Farooq, Q., Fu, P., Liu, X., & Hao, Y. (2021). Basics of macro to microlevel corporate social responsibility and advancement in triple bottom line theory. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 28(3), 969-979.
- [24] Feinstein, N. W., & Kirchgasser, K. L. (2015). Sustainability in science education? How the Next Generation Science Standards approach sustainability, and why it matters. *Science Education*, 99(1), 121-144.
- [25] Fiksel, J., & Fiksel, J. R. (2015). *Resilient by design: Creating businesses that adapt and flourish in a changing world*: Island Press.
- [26] Gielen, D., Gorini, R., Wagner, N., Leme, R., Gutierrez, L., Prakash, G., . . . Vale, G. (2019). Global energy transformation: a roadmap to 2050.
- [27] Haines-Young, R., & Potschin, M. (2010). The links between biodiversity, ecosystem services and human well-being. *Ecosystem Ecology: a new synthesis*, 1, 110-139.
- [28] Hughes, G. (2011). *The myth of green jobs*: Global Warming Policy Foundation London.
- [29] Igbinovia, M. O., Emmanuel, V. O., & Ambrose, S. E. (2023). Towards Environmental Sustainability: Improving Waste Management Practices in Libraries. In *Global Perspectives on Sustainable Library Practices* (pp. 175-187): IGI Global.
- [30] Kuzemko, C., Lockwood, M., Mitchell, C., & Hoggett, R. (2016). Governing for sustainable energy system change: Politics, contexts and contingency. *Energy Research & Social Science*, 12, 96-105.
- [31] Lang, D. J., Wiek, A., Bergmann, M., Stauffacher, M., Martens, P., Moll, P., . . . Thomas, C. J. (2012). Transdisciplinary research in sustainability science: practice, principles, and challenges. *Sustainability science*, 7, 25-43.
- [32] Lele, S., Springate-Baginski, O., Lakerveld, R., Deb, D., & Dash, P. (2013). Ecosystem services: origins, contributions, pitfalls, and alternatives. *Conservation and Society*, 11(4), 343-358.
- [33] Lindberg, M. B., Markard, J., & Andersen, A. D. (2019). Policies, actors and sustainability transition pathways: A study of the EU's energy policy mix. *Research policy*, 48(10), 103668.
- [34] MacNeill, J. (1989). Strategies for sustainable economic development. *Scientific American*, 261(3), 154-165.
- [35] Maduka, C. P., Adegoke, A. A., Okongwu, C. C., Enahoro, A., Osunlaja, O., & Ajogwu, A. E. (2023). REVIEW OF LABORATORY DIAGNOSTICS EVOLUTION IN NIGERIA'S RESPONSE TO COVID-19. *International Medical Science Research Journal*, 3(1), 1-23.
- [36] Majid, M. (2020). Renewable energy for sustainable development in India: current status, future prospects, challenges, employment, and investment opportunities. *Energy, Sustainability and Society*, 10(1), 1-36.
- [37] Marshall, R. E., & Farahbakhsh, K. (2013). Systems approaches to integrated solid waste management in developing countries. *Waste management*, 33(4), 988-1003.
- [38] Milne, M. J., & Gray, R. (2013). W (h)ither ecology? The triple bottom line, the global reporting initiative, and corporate sustainability reporting. *Journal of business ethics*, 118, 13-29.
- [39] Murad, F. (2014). *Collaborative innovation in drug discovery: strategies for public and private partnerships*: John Wiley & Sons.
- [40] Ninduwezuor-Ehiobu, N., Tula, O. A., Daraojimba, C., Ofonagoro, K. A., Ogunjobi, O. A., Gidiagba, J. O., . . . Bansa, A. A. (2023). EXPLORING INNOVATIVE MATERIAL INTEGRATION IN MODERN MANUFACTURING FOR ADVANCING US COMPETITIVENESS IN SUSTAINABLE GLOBAL ECONOMY. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 4(3), 140-168.
- [41] Norman, W., & MacDonald, C. (2004). Getting to the bottom of "triple bottom line". *Business ethics quarterly*, 14(2), 243-262.

- [42] Okunade, B. A., Adediran, F. E., Maduka, C. P., & Adegoke, A. A. (2023). COMMUNITY-BASED MENTAL HEALTH INTERVENTIONS IN AFRICA: A REVIEW AND ITS IMPLICATIONS FOR US HEALTHCARE PRACTICES. *International Medical Science Research Journal*, 3(3), 68-91.
- [43] Pandey, N., de Coninck, H., & Sagar, A. D. (2022). Beyond technology transfer: Innovation cooperation to advance sustainable development in developing countries. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Energy and Environment*, 11(2), e422.
- [44] Peršić, M., Janković, S., & Krivačić, D. (2017). Sustainability accounting: upgrading corporate social responsibility. *The Dynamics of Corporate Social Responsibility: A Critical Approach to Theory and Practice*, 285-303.
- [45] Pretty, J., & Bharucha, Z. P. (2014). Sustainable intensification in agricultural systems. *Annals of botany*, 114(8), 1571-1596.
- [46] Rathore, B. (2018). Green Strategy: Exploring the Intersection of Marketing and Sustainability in the 21st Century. *Eduzone: International Peer Reviewed/Refereed Multidisciplinary Journal*, 7(2), 83-90.
- [47] Saxena, R., & Khandelwal, P. K. (2010). Can green marketing be used as a tool for sustainable growth?: A study performed on consumers in India-An emerging economy.
- [48] Schroeder, P., Anggraeni, K., & Weber, U. (2019). The relevance of circular economy practices to the sustainable development goals. *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 23(1), 77-95.
- [49] Shah, K. U., Arjoon, S., & Rambocas, M. (2016). Aligning corporate social responsibility with green economy development pathways in developing countries. *Sustainable Development*, 24(4), 237-253.
- [50] Singh, R., & Singh, G. (2017). Traditional agriculture: a climate-smart approach for sustainable food production. *Energy, Ecology and Environment*, 2, 296-316.
- [51] Summers, J., Smith, L., Case, J., & Linthurst, R. (2012). A review of the elements of human well-being with an emphasis on the contribution of ecosystem services. *Ambio*, 41, 327-340.
- [52] Tester, J. W., Drake, E. M., Driscoll, M. J., Golay, M. W., & Peters, W. A. (2012). *Sustainable energy: choosing among options*: MIT press.
- [53] Urip, S. (2010). *CSR strategies: Corporate social responsibility for a competitive edge in emerging markets*: John Wiley & Sons.
- [54] Wackernagel, M., & Rees, W. E. (1997). Perceptual and structural barriers to investing in natural capital: Economics from an ecological footprint perspective. *Ecological economics*, 20(1), 3-24.
- [55] Wezel, A., Casagrande, M., Celette, F., Vian, J.-F., Ferrer, A., & Peigné, J. (2014). Agroecological practices for sustainable agriculture. A review. *Agronomy for sustainable development*, 34(1), 1-20.
- [56] Wilson, M. H., & Lovell, S. T. (2016). Agroforestry—The next step in sustainable and resilient agriculture. *Sustainability*, 8(6), 574.
- [57] Worrell, E., Van Berkel, R., Fengqi, Z., Menke, C., Schaeffer, R., & Williams, R. O. (2001). Technology transfer of energy efficient technologies in industry: a review of trends and policy issues. *Energy Policy*, 29(1), 29-43.
- [58] Yu, J., & Wu, J. (2018). The sustainability of agricultural development in China: The agriculture–environment nexus. *Sustainability*, 10(6), 1776.
- [59] Zhu, Y., Zhang, H., Siddik, A. B., Zheng, Y., & Sobhani, F. A. (2023). Understanding Corporate Green Competitive Advantage through Green Technology Adoption and Green Dynamic Capabilities: Does Green Product Innovation Matter? *Systems*, 11(9), 461.