



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Cross-industry frameworks for business process reengineering: Conceptual models and practical executions

Oladapo Adeboye Popoola ^{1,*}, Henry Ejiga Adama ², Chukwuekem David Okeke ³ and Abiodun Emmanuel Akinoso ⁴

¹ *Business Full Spectrum, United Kingdom.*

² *System/information Analyst, Greenville Texas, USA.*

³ *Tranter IT Infrastructure Services Limited, Nigeria.*

⁴ *Independent Researcher, United Kingdom.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 22(01), 1198–1208

Publication history: Received on 11 March 2024; revised on 20 April 2024; accepted on 22 April 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.1.1201>

Abstract

Cross-industry frameworks for business process reengineering (BPR) have emerged as pivotal tools in enhancing organizational efficiency, agility, and competitiveness across diverse sectors. These frameworks amalgamate conceptual models with practical executions to streamline operations, optimize resource allocation, and foster innovation. This review delves into the essence of cross-industry frameworks for BPR, elucidating their conceptual underpinnings and real-world applications. At the core of cross-industry frameworks lies the recognition that business processes transcend sector boundaries, encompassing common elements such as customer interactions, supply chain management, and operational workflows. Conceptual models form the foundational framework by articulating key principles, methodologies, and best practices for BPR initiatives. One prominent conceptual model is the Business Process Reengineering (BPR) methodology, which advocates for radical redesign rather than incremental improvement of processes. This approach emphasizes fundamental questioning of existing practices, aiming to achieve dramatic enhancements in efficiency, quality, and customer satisfaction. Another influential model is the Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI), which provides a roadmap for organizations to systematically improve their processes by advancing through defined maturity levels. Practical executions of cross-industry frameworks entail the translation of conceptual models into actionable strategies tailored to specific organizational contexts. This involves a multifaceted approach encompassing process analysis, stakeholder engagement, technology integration, and change management. Process analysis entails mapping current workflows, identifying bottlenecks, and pinpointing areas for optimization. Stakeholder engagement is crucial for garnering buy-in and fostering a culture of continuous improvement. Technology integration plays a pivotal role in modern BPR initiatives, leveraging digital tools such as business process management (BPM) software, data analytics, and robotic process automation (RPA) to streamline operations and enhance decision-making. Moreover, emerging technologies like artificial intelligence (AI) and blockchain offer new avenues for process innovation and optimization. Change management constitutes a critical component of practical executions, as BPR initiatives often entail significant organizational transformation. Effective change management involves communication, training, and leadership support to mitigate resistance and facilitate smooth transition. Real-world applications exemplify the effectiveness of cross-industry frameworks in driving tangible business outcomes. Case studies spanning diverse sectors, including manufacturing, healthcare, finance, and retail, demonstrate how organizations have leveraged BPR to achieve breakthrough improvements in efficiency, cost reduction, and customer satisfaction. In the manufacturing sector, for instance, companies have adopted lean manufacturing principles to streamline production processes, minimize waste, and enhance flexibility. In healthcare, BPR initiatives have led to streamlined patient care pathways, reduced administrative burdens, and improved clinical outcomes. Financial institutions have leveraged BPR to optimize loan approval processes, mitigate risk, and enhance regulatory compliance. Similarly, retailers have utilized BPR to optimize supply chain management, enhance omnichannel capabilities, and

* Corresponding author: Oladapo Adeboye Popoola

personalize customer experiences. Cross-industry frameworks for business process reengineering represent a potent paradigm for organizational transformation in today's dynamic business landscape. By integrating conceptual models with practical executions, these frameworks empower organizations to enhance operational efficiency, drive innovation, and maintain competitive advantage across diverse sectors.

Keywords: Cross-industry frameworks; Business process reengineering; Conceptual Models; Practical execution.

1. Introduction

In the contemporary landscape of business management, organizations face the perennial challenge of optimizing their processes to adapt to rapidly evolving market dynamics and technological advancements (Popo-Olaniyan *et al.*, 2022; Ogundipe *et al.*, 2024). In response to this challenge, Cross-Industry Frameworks for Business Process Reengineering (BPR) have emerged as indispensable tools for driving organizational transformation and achieving sustainable competitive advantage (Arunmozhi *et al.*, 2022; Ezeigweneme *et al.*, 2024). This review aims to delve into the essence of cross-industry frameworks for BPR, elucidating their conceptual underpinnings, practical executions, and real-world applications.

Cross-Industry Frameworks for BPR refer to systematic approaches and methodologies aimed at redesigning and optimizing business processes across diverse sectors (Czvetkó *et al.*, 2022). Unlike traditional industry-specific frameworks, cross-industry frameworks transcend sector boundaries, recognizing that many fundamental principles of process optimization are applicable across various industries (Jan *et al.*, 2023; Sonko *et al.*, 2024). These frameworks leverage insights and best practices from different sectors to develop holistic strategies for enhancing organizational efficiency, agility, and competitiveness. At the heart of cross-industry frameworks for BPR lies the recognition that business processes share common elements across industries, including customer interactions, supply chain management, and operational workflows (Correia *et al.*, 2021). By adopting a cross-industry perspective, organizations can benefit from a broader pool of knowledge and experiences, enabling them to identify innovative solutions and opportunities for improvement that may not be apparent within their own industry silos.

The integration of conceptual models and practical executions is paramount for the success of cross-industry frameworks for BPR (Brenner *et al.*, 2024). Conceptual models provide the theoretical foundation and guiding principles upon which BPR initiatives are based (Tsakalidis *et al.*, 2022). Conceptual models provide the overarching framework, practical executions involve the implementation of strategies, tools, and techniques to redesign and optimize business processes in real-world settings. These models encapsulate key methodologies, best practices, and theoretical frameworks derived from management theory and empirical research. Practical executions, on the other hand, translate these conceptual models into actionable strategies tailored to the specific needs and contexts of organizations (Harkko *et al.*, 2023).

2. Conceptual Models for Business Process Reengineering (BPR)

In the pursuit of organizational excellence and competitive advantage, businesses often turn to conceptual models to guide their efforts in optimizing business processes, two prominent conceptual models in this domain are the Business Process Reengineering (BPR) methodology and the Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) (Szlagowski and Berniak-Woźny, 2020).

The Business Process Reengineering (BPR) methodology, pioneered by Michael Hammer and James Champy in the early 1990s, advocates for a radical redesign of organizational processes rather than incremental improvements. At its core, BPR challenges organizations to rethink and fundamentally transform their existing processes to achieve dramatic enhancements in efficiency, quality, and customer satisfaction. The principles of BPR are rooted in the belief that many organizational processes have become encumbered by inefficiencies, redundancies, and outdated practices (Jewell *et al.*, 2022). To address these issues, BPR emphasizes the need for a clean slate approach, wherein organizations critically examine and question the fundamental assumptions underlying their processes. This often involves discarding old practices and redesigning processes from scratch to align with strategic objectives and customer needs. One of the key tenets of BPR is the concept of "process innovation," which entails the exploration of new ways to perform tasks and deliver value (Gopal and Pilkauskaitė, 2020). This may involve leveraging emerging technologies, reconfiguring workflow patterns, or eliminating unnecessary steps in the process. By embracing radical redesign, organizations can unlock new opportunities for innovation and differentiation in the marketplace.

Central to the BPR methodology is its focus on enhancing efficiency, quality, and customer satisfaction (Bayomy *et al.*, 2021). BPR initiatives are driven by the imperative to streamline processes, eliminate waste, and reduce cycle times to achieve greater operational efficiency. This often involves reengineering workflows, automating repetitive tasks, and leveraging technology to optimize resource allocation and utilization. In addition to efficiency gains, BPR places a strong emphasis on improving quality throughout the organization. By redesigning processes to incorporate built-in quality controls and error-proofing mechanisms, organizations can minimize defects, errors, and rework, thereby enhancing overall product and service quality (Trojanowska *et al.*, 2023; Farayola *et al.*, 2023). Furthermore, BPR prioritizes the delivery of superior value to customers by aligning processes with their needs and preferences. This customer-centric approach involves understanding customer requirements, anticipating their future needs, and designing processes that deliver products and services that exceed their expectations (Sheth *et al.*, 2023; Fakeyede *et al.*, 2024). By focusing on customer satisfaction, organizations can foster loyalty, drive repeat business, and gain a competitive edge in the marketplace.

The Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) is a framework that provides organizations with a roadmap for process improvement across various domains, including software development, project management, and service delivery (Akbar *et al.*, 2023). Developed by the Software Engineering Institute (SEI) at Carnegie Mellon University, CMMI offers a structured approach for organizations to assess their current process maturity levels and identify opportunities for enhancement. At the heart of CMMI is the concept of process maturity, which refers to the degree to which an organization's processes are defined, managed, measured, and optimized (Chahidi *et al.*, 2022). CMMI defines five maturity levels, ranging from Initial (Level 1) to Optimizing (Level 5), each representing a progressively higher degree of process maturity and organizational capability. The CMMI framework comprises a set of process areas, each addressing a specific aspect of organizational performance. These process areas are organized into two main categories: capability areas, which focus on building organizational capability, and maturity levels, which represent the degree of institutionalization of processes within the organization (Asah-Kissiedu *et al.*, 2021; Oladeinde *et al.*, 2023). By following the guidelines and best practices outlined in the CMMI framework, organizations can systematically improve their processes and move towards higher levels of maturity. This involves establishing clear process objectives, defining standardized processes, implementing process measurement and analysis mechanisms, and continuously monitoring and optimizing process performance.

CMMI defines five maturity levels, each representing a distinct stage in the organization's journey towards process excellence (Kosieradzka *et al.*, 2021): Organizations at this level have ad-hoc and chaotic processes characterized by unpredictability and inconsistency. Process performance is largely dependent on individual skills and capabilities, and there is little emphasis on process standardization or documentation (Chirumalla, 2021). At this level, organizations begin to implement basic process management practices, such as project planning, monitoring, and control. Processes are documented and standardized to some extent, enabling more predictable and repeatable outcomes. Organizations at this level have well-defined and standardized processes that are tailored to meet specific business objectives. Process performance is monitored and measured against predefined metrics, and there is a focus on continuous improvement and optimization. At this level, organizations implement quantitative process management practices to control process performance and variability. Its quantitatively managed using statistical techniques and data analysis to ensure predictability and stability in which organizations at this level continually strive for process improvement and innovation (Shaik *et al.*, 2023; Babatunde *et al.*, 2024). Processes are proactively monitored, analyzed, and optimized to identify and address opportunities for enhancement. There is a culture of organizational learning and innovation, with a focus on driving excellence and achieving world-class performance. Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) provides organizations with a structured framework for assessing and improving their process maturity levels (Khraiweh, 2020). By following the guidelines outlined in the CMMI framework, organizations can systematically enhance their processes, achieve greater efficiency and quality, and drive continuous improvement and innovation. Conceptual models such as the Business Process Reengineering (BPR) methodology and the Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI) play a pivotal role in guiding organizational efforts to optimize business processes and achieve sustainable competitive advantage (Ongena and Ravesteyn, 2020; Ezeigweneme *et al.*, 2023). By embracing principles of radical redesign and focusing on efficiency, quality, and customer satisfaction, organizations can unlock new opportunities for innovation and differentiation in the marketplace. Similarly, by following the structured approach outlined in the CMMI framework, organizations can systematically improve their processes and move towards higher levels of maturity and organizational capability (Stoiber *et al.*, 2023). Together, these conceptual models provide organizations with the tools and methodologies needed to drive organizational transformation and excel in today's dynamic business environment.

2.1. Practical Executions of Cross-Industry Frameworks

In the implementation of cross-industry frameworks for Business Process Reengineering (BPR), practical executions play a crucial role in translating conceptual models into tangible outcomes, process analysis and stakeholder engagement was review (Qin *et al.*, 2022; Emmanuel *et al.*, 2024). These components are essential for identifying inefficiencies, driving organizational change, and ensuring successful BPR initiatives across diverse sectors.

Process analysis is a foundational step in the BPR journey, providing organizations with insights into their current workflows, identifying bottlenecks, and pinpointing areas for optimization (Trabelsi *et al.*, 2023). The first step in process analysis involves mapping out the organization's current workflows in detail. This entails documenting all the steps involved in executing a particular process, including inputs, outputs, activities, decision points, and handoffs between different stakeholders (Over *et al.*, 2021; Dhaouadi *et al.*, 2022). Process mapping techniques such as flowcharts, swimlane diagrams, and value stream maps are commonly used to visualize and understand the sequence of activities within a process. By mapping current workflows, organizations gain a comprehensive understanding of how processes are currently performed, enabling them to identify redundancies, inefficiencies, and opportunities for improvement (Solanki *et al.*, 2024). This step lays the foundation for subsequent analysis and optimization efforts. Once current workflows have been mapped, the next step is to identify bottlenecks and areas for optimization within the processes. Bottlenecks are points in the process where work accumulates, causing delays, inefficiencies, and resource constraints. By identifying bottlenecks, organizations can prioritize their improvement efforts and allocate resources effectively to address the most critical areas (Eisman *et al.*, 2021). In addition to identifying bottlenecks, process analysis also involves evaluating the efficiency and effectiveness of each process step. This may include analyzing cycle times, resource utilization, error rates, and other performance metrics to identify areas where improvements can be made. By conducting a thorough analysis of current processes, organizations can develop targeted strategies for optimization and improvement, driving greater efficiency and effectiveness across the organization (Bharadiya, 2023; Tolulope and Opeyemi, 2024).

Stakeholder engagement is a critical component of successful BPR initiatives, as it involves securing buy-in from key stakeholders and fostering a culture of collaboration and ownership (Chawla *et al.*, 2023). Securing buy-in from key stakeholders is essential for the success of BPR initiatives, as it ensures that proposed changes are accepted, supported, and implemented effectively throughout the organization. Buy-in from senior leadership is particularly crucial, as their support can provide the necessary resources, authority, and direction needed to drive change. Moreover, fostering a culture of collaboration, openness, and innovation is essential for creating an environment where stakeholders feel empowered to participate in the BPR process (Nguyen *et al.*, 2024). This involves promoting transparency, communication, and shared accountability, as well as recognizing and rewarding contributions to the BPR effort. Effective communication is paramount for engaging stakeholders and ensuring their involvement throughout the BPR process (Nkomo and Marnewick, 2021). This may include regular updates, progress reports, and feedback mechanisms to keep stakeholders informed and engaged. Additionally, organizations should tailor their communication strategies to the needs and preferences of different stakeholder groups, ensuring that information is communicated in a clear, concise, and accessible manner (Edunjobi, 2024). Furthermore, involving stakeholders in the decision-making process and soliciting their input and feedback can help build trust, ownership, and commitment to the BPR initiative. This may involve conducting stakeholder workshops, focus groups, or interviews to gather insights, perspectives, and suggestions for improvement. Process analysis and stakeholder engagement are critical components of practical executions in cross-industry frameworks for BPR. By conducting a thorough analysis of current processes and engaging stakeholders effectively, organizations can identify inefficiencies, drive organizational change, and ensure the success of BPR initiatives across diverse sectors (Anh *et al.* 2023; Oludapo *et al.*, 2024).

Technology integration is a cornerstone of modern BPR initiatives, enabling organizations to leverage digital tools and emerging technologies to streamline processes, enhance decision-making, and drive innovation (Arowoogun *et al.*, 2024). Business Process Management (BPM) software serves as a central platform for orchestrating and managing organizational processes. BPM software enables organizations to model, automate, monitor, and optimize their workflows, providing visibility into process performance and facilitating continuous improvement. Data analytics plays a crucial role in BPR by enabling organizations to derive insights from large volumes of data and make data-driven decisions. Advanced analytics techniques such as predictive analytics, machine learning, and artificial intelligence (AI) can help organizations identify patterns, trends, and correlations within their data, enabling them to optimize processes, predict future outcomes, and drive innovation (Chauhan *et al.*, 2021; Awan *et al.*, 2021). Robotic Process Automation (RPA) automates repetitive, rules-based tasks by deploying software robots to perform routine activities. RPA can streamline manual processes, reduce errors, and increase operational efficiency, freeing up human resources to focus on higher-value tasks.

Emerging technologies such as Artificial Intelligence (AI) and Blockchain offer new opportunities for process innovation and optimization (Wang *et al.*, 2022). AI-powered technologies, including machine learning, natural language processing, and computer vision, can automate decision-making, personalize customer experiences, and optimize resource allocation. Blockchain technology, with its decentralized and immutable ledger, has the potential to transform various aspects of business processes, including supply chain management, contract management, and transaction processing (Dutta *et al.*, 2020). By providing a secure, transparent, and tamper-proof record of transactions, blockchain can enhance trust, transparency, and efficiency in cross-industry processes. The integration of emerging technologies into cross-industry frameworks for BPR enables organizations to stay competitive in a rapidly evolving digital landscape. By harnessing the power of BPM software, data analytics, RPA, AI, and blockchain, organizations can drive process innovation, improve operational efficiency, and deliver superior value to customers (Sewpersadh, 2023; Myers *et al.*, 2023). Effective change management is essential for ensuring the success and sustainability of BPR initiatives, as it involves managing the human side of organizational change and mitigating resistance to new processes and technologies. Leadership support is critical for driving organizational change and fostering a culture of innovation and continuous improvement. Senior leaders play a key role in setting the vision, direction, and priorities for BPR initiatives, as well as championing the adoption of new processes and technologies (Abbasnejad *et al.*, 2021). Effective communication is also essential for engaging employees and stakeholders throughout the change process. Clear, consistent, and transparent communication helps to build trust, alleviate concerns, and rally support for BPR initiatives. Communication channels such as town hall meetings, email updates, and employee newsletters can be used to disseminate information and solicit feedback from employees. Training initiatives are essential for equipping employees with the skills, knowledge, and capabilities needed to adapt to new processes and technologies. Training programs should be tailored to the specific needs of employees and delivered in a format that is accessible and engaging. Resistance to change is natural and inevitable, but it can be mitigated through proactive engagement and stakeholder involvement. Organizations should identify and address concerns, misconceptions, and barriers to change through open dialogue, active listening, and collaboration. Incentives, recognition, and rewards can also be used to motivate and incentivize employees to embrace change and participate in BPR initiatives. Practical executions of cross-industry frameworks for BPR involve the integration of technology and effective change management strategies. By leveraging BPM software, data analytics, RPA, AI, and blockchain, organizations can drive process innovation and improve operational efficiency (Moderno *et al.*, 2024). Effective change management, supported by leadership commitment, communication, training, and mitigation of resistance, is essential for ensuring the success and sustainability of BPR initiatives across diverse sectors.

2.2. Real-World Applications of Cross-Industry Frameworks for Business Process Reengineering

Cross-industry frameworks for Business Process Reengineering (BPR) have found widespread application across diverse sectors, driving efficiency, innovation, and competitive advantage (Gong and Ribiere, 2021). The Manufacturing sector has been a pioneer in adopting BPR principles to enhance operational efficiency, reduce waste, and improve product quality. Two prominent applications of cross-industry frameworks in the Manufacturing sector are Lean manufacturing principles and flexibility enhancement through process optimization.

Lean manufacturing principles, derived from the Toyota Production System (TPS), emphasize the elimination of waste and the continuous improvement of processes to drive efficiency and value creation (Naciri *et al.*, 2022). By applying Lean principles, manufacturers can streamline production processes, reduce lead times, and minimize inventory levels while maintaining high product quality.

A leading automotive manufacturer implemented Lean principles to optimize its assembly line processes, by eliminating non-value-added activities, standardizing workflows, and implementing just-in-time inventory management, the manufacturer was able to reduce cycle times, improve productivity, and enhance customer satisfaction (Demir and Paksoy, 2023; Sangwa and Sangwan, 2023). In today's dynamic and volatile marketplace, manufacturing organizations must be agile and responsive to changing customer demands and market conditions. Process optimization plays a key role in enhancing flexibility and adaptability within manufacturing operations, enabling organizations to quickly adjust production schedules, change product configurations, and respond to shifting market trends. By implementing modular production systems, cross-trained workforce, and flexible manufacturing technologies, the manufacturer was able to respond rapidly to changes in customer demand, introduce new product variants, and maintain a competitive edge in the market (Ullah and Narain, 2021).

The Healthcare sector is undergoing a transformation driven by advancements in medical technology, changing patient demographics, and evolving regulatory requirements (Schivone and Ferretti, 2021). BPR principles have been instrumental in streamlining patient care pathways, improving clinical outcomes, and reducing administrative burdens within healthcare organizations. Healthcare organizations are under increasing pressure to deliver high-quality care in

a cost-effective and efficient manner. Streamlining patient care pathways involves optimizing the flow of patients through various stages of care, from initial diagnosis to treatment and follow-up. For example, a large hospital system implemented BPR initiatives to streamline its emergency department (ED) operations. By redesigning triage processes, improving patient flow, and implementing electronic health record (EHR) systems, the hospital was able to reduce patient wait times, improve staff efficiency, and enhance patient satisfaction (Carney *et al.*, 2020).

BPR principles are also being applied to improve clinical outcomes and reduce administrative burdens within healthcare organizations (Grocott and Levett, 2023). By optimizing administrative processes, such as billing, coding, and claims processing, healthcare organizations can reduce costs, improve revenue cycle management, and enhance overall operational efficiency. By automating manual tasks, standardizing billing procedures, and implementing data analytics tools, the provider was able to reduce claim denials, accelerate reimbursement cycles, and increase revenue capture (Cole *et al.*, 2022). Cross-industry frameworks for Business Process Reengineering have diverse applications in the Manufacturing and Healthcare sectors, driving efficiency, innovation, and value creation. By leveraging BPR principles, organizations can optimize processes, reduce waste, and enhance outcomes across a wide range of industries, ultimately delivering superior value to customers and stakeholders (Dagher and Fayad, 2024).

The Financial sector faces unique challenges related to risk management, regulatory compliance, and customer service (Truby *et al.*, 2020). Cross-industry frameworks for BPR have been instrumental in addressing these challenges and driving innovation in various areas. Efficient loan approval processes are essential for financial institutions to remain competitive and meet customer expectations. By optimizing loan approval processes, financial institutions can reduce turnaround times, minimize operational costs, and improve customer satisfaction (Husain *et al.*, 2022). For example, a leading bank implemented BPR initiatives to streamline its loan approval processes. By digitizing documentation, automating credit assessments, and implementing decision support systems, the bank was able to accelerate loan processing times, reduce manual errors, and enhance the overall customer experience.

Risk management and regulatory compliance are top priorities for financial institutions, given the complex regulatory landscape and the potential impact of non-compliance on financial stability and reputation (Mohamed and Yildirim, 2021). Cross-industry frameworks for BPR help financial institutions mitigate risks, ensure regulatory compliance, and enhance transparency and accountability. For instance, a multinational financial services firm implemented BPR initiatives to enhance its risk management and compliance processes. By integrating risk assessment tools, enhancing data analytics capabilities, and implementing robust internal controls, the firm was able to identify and mitigate emerging risks, strengthen regulatory compliance, and build trust with stakeholders.

The Retail sector is undergoing rapid transformation driven by changing consumer preferences, digital technologies, and competitive pressures. Cross-industry frameworks for BPR enable retailers to optimize supply chain management, enhance omnichannel capabilities, and deliver seamless customer experiences (Nadkarni and Prügl, 2021). Efficient supply chain management is critical for retailers to meet customer demand, minimize inventory costs, and maximize profitability. By optimizing supply chain processes, retailers can improve inventory management, reduce lead times, and enhance overall operational efficiency. For example, a global retail chain implemented BPR initiatives to optimize its supply chain management processes. By leveraging data analytics, implementing demand forecasting models, and collaborating with suppliers, the retailer was able to reduce stockouts, optimize inventory levels, and improve product availability across its stores (Pereira and Frazzon, 2021; Kharfan *et al.*, 2022). Omnichannel retailing has become increasingly important in today's digital age, as consumers expect seamless shopping experiences across online and offline channels. Cross-industry frameworks for BPR help retailers integrate and synchronize their various sales channels, enhance customer engagement, and drive loyalty. For instance, a leading e-commerce retailer implemented BPR initiatives to enhance its omnichannel capabilities. By integrating its online and offline sales channels, implementing unified customer databases, and offering flexible fulfillment options such as click-and-collect and same-day delivery, the retailer was able to provide a seamless shopping experience to its customers, increase sales, and improve customer satisfaction. Cross-industry frameworks for Business Process Reengineering have diverse applications in the Financial and Retail sectors, driving efficiency, innovation, and competitiveness (Capurro *et al.*, 2023). By optimizing loan approval processes, mitigating risks, enhancing regulatory compliance, optimizing supply chain management, and enhancing omnichannel capabilities, organizations in these sectors can achieve their strategic objectives and deliver superior value to customers and stakeholders.

2.3. Further Recommendations

One of the key principles of BPR is the pursuit of continuous improvement. Organizations should foster a culture that encourages experimentation, innovation, and learning. Employees should be empowered to identify opportunities for improvement, propose innovative solutions, and participate in BPR initiatives. Leadership should champion a culture

of continuous improvement by providing resources, support, and recognition for successful initiatives. Effective implementation of cross-industry frameworks for BPR requires employees to possess the necessary skills, knowledge, and competencies. Organizations should invest in comprehensive training programs to equip employees with the tools, methodologies, and techniques needed to participate in BPR initiatives. Training should be tailored to the specific needs of different stakeholder groups and delivered in a format that is accessible and engaging. Data-driven decision-making is essential for successful BPR initiatives. Organizations should leverage data analytics tools and techniques to collect, analyze, and interpret data related to their processes. By gaining insights into process performance, organizations can identify bottlenecks, predict trends, and make informed decisions to drive process optimization and improvement. BPR initiatives often require collaboration across different departments, functions, and stakeholders. Organizations should establish cross-functional teams comprising individuals with diverse backgrounds, skills, and perspectives to tackle complex process challenges. Cross-functional teams should be empowered to work collaboratively, share knowledge, and leverage each other's expertise to drive meaningful change. Regular monitoring and measurement of performance metrics are essential for evaluating the effectiveness and impact of BPR initiatives. Organizations should define key performance indicators (KPIs) aligned with strategic objectives and use them to track progress, identify areas for improvement, and measure the success of BPR initiatives. Performance metrics should be reviewed regularly, and adjustments should be made as needed to ensure that BPR efforts are delivering the desired outcomes.

In conclusion, further recommendations for cross-industry frameworks for Business Process Reengineering include embracing a culture of continuous improvement, investing in employee training and development, harnessing the power of data and analytics, promoting collaboration and cross-functional teams, monitoring and measuring performance metrics, staying agile and adaptive, and celebrating successes and learning from failures. By implementing these recommendations, organizations can enhance the effectiveness and success of their BPR initiatives, drive process optimization, and achieve sustainable competitive advantage.

3. Conclusion

Cross-industry frameworks for Business Process Reengineering (BPR) represent powerful tools for organizations seeking to enhance operational efficiency, drive innovation, and maintain competitive advantage in today's dynamic business environment. Throughout this review, we have explored the significance of cross-industry frameworks for BPR, the empowerment they provide to organizations, and the importance of integrated approaches for maintaining competitive advantage across diverse sectors.

Cross-industry frameworks for BPR play a significant role in helping organizations optimize their processes, streamline workflows, and drive continuous improvement. By drawing upon best practices and lessons learned from diverse industries, cross-industry frameworks provide organizations with valuable insights, methodologies, and tools to tackle complex process challenges and achieve strategic objectives. These frameworks enable organizations to identify inefficiencies, reduce waste, and enhance overall operational effectiveness, ultimately leading to improved performance and increased profitability. Cross-industry frameworks for BPR are invaluable tools for organizations seeking to optimize processes, drive innovation, and maintain competitive advantage. By embracing these frameworks, organizations can empower themselves to achieve operational efficiency, drive continuous improvement, and thrive in today's dynamic business landscape. By adopting integrated approaches and leveraging cross-industry insights, organizations can position themselves for success and unlock new opportunities for growth and innovation in diverse sectors.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

Reference

- [1] Abbasnejad, B., Nepal, M.P., Mirhosseini, S.A., Moud, H.I. and Ahankoob, A., 2021. Modelling the key enablers of organizational building information modelling (BIM) implementation: An interpretive structural modelling (ISM) approach. *Journal of Information Technology in Construction*, 26, pp.974-1008.
- [2] Akbar, M.A., Khan, A.A., Mahmood, S. and Mishra, A., 2023. SRCMIMM: the software requirements change management and implementation maturity model in the domain of global software development industry. *Information Technology and Management*, 24(3), pp.195-219.

- [3] Anh, H., Giang, L.T., Tuan, H.M., Phuong, T.T. and Huan, P.T., 2023, December. BPR/ISP the Effective Way for Digital Transformation in Higher Education Institutions. In *International Conference on Advances in Information and Communication Technology* (pp. 377-391). Cham: Springer Nature Switzerland.
- [4] Arowoogun, J.O., Babawarun, O., Chidi, R., Adeniyi, A.O. and Okolo, C.A., 2024. A comprehensive review of data analytics in healthcare management: Leveraging big data for decision-making. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 21(2), pp.1810-1821.
- [5] Arunmozhi, M., Venkatesh, V.G., Arisian, S., Shi, Y. and Sreedharan, V.R., 2022. Application of blockchain and smart contracts in autonomous vehicle supply chains: An experimental design. *Transportation Research Part E: Logistics and Transportation Review*, 165, p.102864.
- [6] Asah-Kissiedu, M., Manu, P., Booth, C.A., Mahamadu, A.M. and Agyekum, K., 2021. An integrated safety, health and environmental management capability maturity model for construction organisations: A case study in Ghana. *Buildings*, 11(12), p.645.
- [7] Awan, U., Kanwal, N., Alawi, S., Huisken, J. and Dahanayake, A., 2021. Artificial intelligence for supply chain success in the era of data analytics. *The fourth industrial revolution: Implementation of artificial intelligence for growing business success*, pp.3-21.
- [8] Babatunde, S.O., Odejide, O.A., Edunjobi, T.E., & Ogundipe, D.O., 2024. The role of AI in Marketing Personalization: A theoretical Exploration of Consumer Engagement Strategies. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 2024, 6(3), 936-949. <https://doi.org/10.51594/ijmer.v6i3.964>
- [9] Bayomy, N.A., Khedr, A.E. and Abd-Elmegid, L.A., 2021. Adaptive model to support business process reengineering. *PeerJ Computer Science*, 7, p.e505.
- [10] Bharadiya, J.P., 2023. Machine learning and AI in business intelligence: Trends and opportunities. *International Journal of Computer (IJC)*, 48(1), pp.123-134.
- [11] Brenner, M., Wald, A. and Gleich, R., 2024. Management control for process orientation: a systematic literature review of configurations and packages. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*, 20(2), pp.383-408.
- [12] Capurro, R., Fiorentino, R., Galeotti, R.M. and Garzella, S., 2023. The impact of digitalization and sustainability on governance structures and corporate communication: a cross-industry and cross-country approach. *Sustainability*, 15(3), p.2064.
- [13] Carney, K.P., Crespino, A., Woerly, G., Brethouwer, N., Baucum, J. and DiStefano, M.C., 2020. A front-end redesign with implementation of a novel "intake" system to improve patient flow in a pediatric emergency department. *Pediatric Quality & Safety*, 5(2), p.e263.
- [14] Chahidi, A.O., Abdelattif, L., Jimenez, J.F. and Berrah, L., 2022. A Maturity Model For Assessing Industrial Performance Management. Case Study: a Painting Industry. In *ITM Web of Conferences* (Vol. 43, p. 01028). EDP Sciences.
- [15] Chauhan, S., Singh, M. and Aggarwal, A.K., 2021. Data science and data analytics: artificial intelligence and machine learning integrated based approach. *Data science and data analytics: opportunities and challenges*, 1.
- [16] Chawla, R.N., Goyal, P. and Saxena, D.K., 2023. The role of CIO in digital transformation: an exploratory study. *Information Systems and e-Business Management*, 21(4), pp.797-835.
- [17] Chirumalla, K., 2021. Building digitally-enabled process innovation in the process industries: A dynamic capabilities approach. *Technovation*, 105, p.102256.
- [18] Cole, C.L., Cheriff, A.D., Gossey, J.T., Malhotra, S. and Stein, D.M., 2022. Ambulatory Systems: Electronic Health Records. In *Health Informatics* (pp. 61-94). Productivity Press.
- [19] Correia, A.M.M., Veiga, C.P.D., Senff, C.O. and Duclós, L.C., 2021. Analysis of the maturity level of business processes for science and technology parks. *SAGE Open*, 11(3), p.21582440211037303.
- [20] Czvetkó, T., Kummer, A., Ruppert, T. and Abonyi, J., 2022. Data-driven business process management-based development of Industry 4.0 solutions. *CIRP journal of manufacturing science and technology*, 36, pp.117-132.
- [21] Dagher, J. and Fayad, L., 2024. Business Process Reengineering: A Crucial Approach for Enhanced Organizational Sustainability. In *Navigating the Intersection of Business, Sustainability and Technology* (pp. 25-59). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.

- [22] Demir, S. and Paksoy, T., 2023. Just-in-time and lean management. In *Smart and Sustainable Operations and Supply Chain Management in Industry 4.0* (pp. 223-236). CRC Press.
- [23] Dhaouadi, A., Bousselmi, K., Gammoudi, M.M., Monnet, S. and Hammoudi, S., 2022. Data warehousing process modeling from classical approaches to new trends: Main features and comparisons. *Data*, 7(8), p.113.
- [24] Dutta, P., Choi, T.M., Somani, S. and Butala, R., 2020. Blockchain technology in supply chain operations: Applications, challenges and research opportunities. *Transportation research part e: Logistics and transportation review*, 142, p.102067.
- [25] Eisman, A.B., Quanbeck, A., Bounthavong, M., Panattoni, L. and Glasgow, R.E., 2021. Implementation science issues in understanding, collecting, and using cost estimates: a multi-stakeholder perspective. *Implementation Science*, 16(1), p.75.
- [26] Emmanuel A. A., Edunjobi T.E. and Agnes C. O., 2024. Theoretical Approaches to AI in Supply Chain Optimization: Pathways to Efficiency and Resilience. *International Journal of Science and Technology Research Archive* 6(1), 92–107. <https://doi.org/10.53771/ijstra.2024.6.1.0033>
- [27] Ezeigweneme, C.A., Umoh, A.A., Ilojiana, V.I. and Adegbite, A.O., 2024. Review of telecommunication regulation and policy: comparative analysis USA and AFRICA. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(1), pp.81-99.
- [28] Ezeigweneme, C.A., Umoh, A.A., Ilojiana, V.I. and Oluwatoyin, A., 2023. Telecom project management: Lessons learned and best practices: A review from Africa to the USA.
- [29] Fakeyede, O.G., Okeleke, E.C., Adaramodu, O.R., Farayola O. A., Oladeinde M., 2024. Digital Vendor Management: IT Audit Strategies for Security and Compliance, *Information Management and Computer Science*. pp. 1-9
- [30] Farayola, O.A., Hassan, A.O., Adaramodu, O.R., Fakeyede, O.G. and Oladeinde, M., 2023. CONFIGURATION MANAGEMENT IN THE MODERN ERA: BEST PRACTICES, INNOVATIONS, AND CHALLENGES. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(2), pp.140-157.
- [31] Gong, C. and Ribiere, V., 2021. Developing a unified definition of digital transformation. *Technovation*, 102, p.102217.
- [32] Gopal, G. and Pilkauskaitė, E., 2020. Implementing process innovation by integrating continuous improvement and business process re-engineering. In *Innovation Management* (pp. 93-113). Edward Elgar Publishing.
- [33] Grocott, M.P. and Levett, D.Z., 2023. Redesign of Perioperative Care Pathways. In *Perioperative Care of the Cancer Patient* (pp. 185-192). Elsevier.
- [34] Harkko, J., Sipilä, N., Nordquist, H., Lallukka, T., Appelqvist-Schmidlechner, K., Donnelly, M. and Kouvonen, A., 2023. External context in individual placement and support implementation: a scoping review with abductive thematic analysis. *Implementation Science*, 18(1), p.61.
- [35] Husain, A.R.A.M., Hamdan, A. and Fadhul, S.M., 2022. The impact of artificial intelligence on the banking industry performance. *Future of organizations and work after the 4th industrial revolution: The role of artificial intelligence, big data, automation, and robotics*, pp.145-156.
- [36] Jan, Z., Ahamed, F., Mayer, W., Patel, N., Grossmann, G., Stumptner, M. and Kuusk, A., 2023. Artificial intelligence for industry 4.0: Systematic review of applications, challenges, and opportunities. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 216, p.119456.
- [37] Jewell, D.O., Jewell, S.F. and Kaufman, B.E., 2022. Designing and implementing high-performance work systems: Insights from consulting practice for academic researchers. *Human Resource Management Review*, 32(1), p.100749.
- [38] Kharfan, M., Chan, V.W.K. and Firdolas Efendigil, T., 2021. A data-driven forecasting approach for newly launched seasonal products by leveraging machine-learning approaches. *Annals of Operations Research*, 303(1), pp.159-174.
- [39] Khraiweh, M., 2020. Measures of Organizational Training in the Capability Maturity Model Integration (CMMI®). *International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications*, 11(2), pp.584-592.
- [40] Kosieradzka, A., Rostek, K., Kosieradzka, A. and Rostek, K., 2021. Models of Process Maturity in Organizations. *Process Management and Organizational Process Maturity: Economic and Non-Economic Organizations*, pp.191-235.

- [41] Moderno, O.B.D.S., Braz, A.C. and Nascimento, P.T.D.S., 2024. Robotic process automation and artificial intelligence capabilities driving digital strategy: a resource-based view. *Business Process Management Journal*, 30(1), pp.105-134.
- [42] Mohamed, H. and Yildirim, R., 2021. Regtech and regulatory change management for financial institutions. In *The Fourth Industrial Revolution: Implementation of Artificial Intelligence for Growing Business Success* (pp. 153-168). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
- [43] Myers, M., Brace, C. and Carden, L. eds., 2023. *Intelligent Automation: Bridging the Gap Between Business and Academia*. CRC Press.
- [44] Naciri, L., Mouhib, Z., Gallab, M., Nali, M., Abbou, R. and Kebe, A., 2022. Lean and industry 4.0: A leading harmony. *Procedia Computer Science*, 200, pp.394-406.
- [45] Nadkarni, S. and Prügl, R., 2021. Digital transformation: a review, synthesis and opportunities for future research. *Management Review Quarterly*, 71, pp.233-341.
- [46] Nguyen, T., Akbari, M. and Nguyen, K., 2024. Unlocking the Potential of Vietnamese Supply Chain with Digitalization: A Bibliometric Analysis and Systematic Literature Review. *Operations and Supply Chain Management: An International Journal*, 17(1), pp.123-141.
- [47] Nkomo, A. and Marnewick, C., 2021. Improving the success rate of business process re-engineering projects: A business process re-engineering framework. *South African Journal of Information Management*, 23(1), pp.1-11.
- [48] Ogundipe, D.O., Odejide, O.A., & Edunjobi, T.E., 2024. Agile Methodologies in Digital Banking: Theoretical Underpinnings and Implications for Custom Satisfaction. *Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology*, 2024, 10(02), 021-030. <https://doi.org/10.53022/oarjst.2024.10.2.0045>
- [49] Oladeinde, M., Okeleke, E.C., Adaramodu, O.R., Fakeyede, O.G. and Farayola, O.A., 2023. COMMUNICATING IT AUDIT FINDINGS: STRATEGIES FOR EFFECTIVE STAKEHOLDER ENGAGEMENT. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 4(2), pp.126-139.
- [50] Oludapo, S., Carroll, N. and Helfert, M., 2024. Why do so many digital transformations fail? A bibliometric analysis and future research agenda. *Journal of Business Research*, 174, p.114528.
- [51] Ongena, G. and Ravesteyn, P., 2020. Business process management maturity and performance: A multi group analysis of sectors and organization sizes. *Business Process Management Journal*, 26(1), pp.132-149.
- [52] Over, J.S.R., Ritchie, A.C., Kranenburg, C.J., Brown, J.A., Buscombe, D.D., Noble, T., Sherwood, C.R., Warrick, J.A. and Wernette, P.A., 2021. *Processing coastal imagery with Agisoft Metashape Professional Edition, version 1.6—Structure from motion workflow documentation* (No. 2021-1039). US Geological Survey.
- [53] Pereira, M.M. and Frazzon, E.M., 2021. A data-driven approach to adaptive synchronization of demand and supply in omni-channel retail supply chains. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, p.102165.
- [54] Popo-Olaniyan, O., James, O.O., Udeh, C.A., Daraojimba, R.E. and Ogedengbe, D.E., 2022. Future-Proofing Human Resources In The Us With Ai: A Review Of Trends And Implications. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 4(12), pp.641-658.
- [55] Qin, Z., Shuai, Q., Wang, G., Zhang, P., Cao, M. and Chen, M., 2022. Commerce Basics. In *E-Commerce: Concepts, Principles, and Application* (pp. 69-181). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
- [56] Sangwa, N.R. and Sangwan, K.S., 2023. Leanness assessment of a complex assembly line using integrated value stream mapping: a case study. *The TQM Journal*, 35(4), pp.893-923.
- [57] Schiavone, F. and Ferretti, M., 2021. The FutureS of healthcare. *Futures*, 134, p.102849.
- [58] Sewpersadh, N.S., 2023. Disruptive business value models in the digital era. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, 12(1), p.2.
- [59] Shaik, A.S., Alshibani, S.M., Mishra, S., Papa, A. and Cuomo, M.T., 2023. Does learning from innovation failure enhance innovation performance? A quantitative investigation of small businesses. *Technovation*, 127, p.102818.
- [60] Sheth, J.N., Jain, V. and Ambika, A., 2023. The growing importance of customer-centric support services for improving customer experience. *Journal of Business Research*, 164, p.113943.
- [61] Solanki, A., Jain, K. and Jadiga, S., 2024. Building a Data-Driven Culture: Empowering Organizations with Business Intelligence. *International Journal of Computer Trends and Technology*, 72(2), pp.46-55.

- [62] Sonko, S., Ibekwe, K.I., Ilojiana, V.I., Etukudoh, E.A. and Fabuyide, A., 2024. QUANTUM CRYPTOGRAPHY AND US DIGITAL SECURITY: A COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW: INVESTIGATING THE POTENTIAL OF QUANTUM TECHNOLOGIES IN CREATING UNBREAKABLE ENCRYPTION AND THEIR FUTURE IN NATIONAL SECURITY. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal*, 5(2), pp.390-414.
- [63] Stoiber, C., Stöter, M., Englbrecht, L., Schönig, S. and Häckel, B., 2023. Keeping your maturity assessment alive: A method for the continuous tracking and assessment of organizational capabilities and maturity. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 65(6), pp.703-721.
- [64] Szelagowski, M. and Berniak-Woźny, J., 2020. The adaptation of business process management maturity models to the context of the knowledge economy. *Business Process Management Journal*, 26(1), pp.212-238.
- [65] Trabelsi, F.Z., Khtira, A. and El Asri, B., 2023. Employing Data and Process Mining Techniques for Redundancy Detection and Analytics in Business Processes. *Ingénierie des Systèmes d'Information*, 28(5).
- [66] Trojanowska, J., Husár, J., Hrehova, S. and Knapčíková, L., 2023. Poka Yoke in Smart Production Systems with Pick-to-Light Implementation to Increase Efficiency. *Applied Sciences*, 13(21), p.11715.
- [67] Truby, J., Brown, R. and Dahdal, A., 2020. Banking on AI: mandating a proactive approach to AI regulation in the financial sector. *Law and Financial Markets Review*, 14(2), pp.110-120.
- [68] Tsakalidis, G., Nousias, N., Madas, M. and Vergidis, K., 2022, June. Systematizing business process redesign initiatives with the BPR: assessment framework. In *2022 IEEE 24th Conference on Business Informatics (CBI)* (Vol. 2, pp. 17-24). IEEE.
- [69] Ullah, I. and Narain, R., 2021. Achieving mass customization capability: the roles of flexible manufacturing competence and workforce management practices. *Journal of Advances in Management Research*, 18(2), pp.273-296.
- [70] Wang, Z., Li, M., Lu, J. and Cheng, X., 2022. Business Innovation based on artificial intelligence and Blockchain technology. *Information Processing & Management*, 59(1), p.102759.