



(REVIEW ARTICLE)



Optimizing Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) compliance: strategic approaches and best practices for financial integrity: A review

Oluwatosin Ilori ^{1,*}, Nelly Tochi Nwosu ² and Henry Nwapali Ndidi Naiho ³

¹ Independent Researcher, Irving, TX, USA.

² Independent Researcher, Chicago, IL, USA.

³ Independent Researcher, New York, USA.

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 22(03), 225–235

Publication history: Received on 26 April 2024; revised on 04 June 2024; accepted on 06 June 2024

Article DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.3.1728>

Abstract

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX) of 2002 was enacted to enhance corporate governance and strengthen financial disclosures, thereby improving the accuracy and reliability of corporate financial statements. Despite its crucial role in safeguarding financial integrity, compliance with SOX requirements presents significant challenges for organizations due to its stringent and comprehensive mandates. This review explores strategic approaches and best practices for optimizing SOX compliance, focusing on the intersection of regulatory adherence and operational efficiency. SOX compliance primarily revolves around internal control over financial reporting (ICFR), auditor independence, corporate responsibility, and enhanced financial disclosures. The complexity of these requirements often leads to substantial compliance costs, increased administrative burdens, and potential operational disruptions. Identifying and mitigating these challenges is essential for maintaining financial integrity and organizational resilience. Implementing a risk-based approach to prioritize compliance efforts on areas with the highest risk of material misstatements. Conducting thorough risk assessments to identify and address vulnerabilities in financial reporting processes. Leveraging automation and advanced technologies, such as artificial intelligence and machine learning, to streamline compliance processes and enhance accuracy. Utilizing data analytics to monitor and assess control effectiveness continuously. Establishing and maintaining robust internal controls tailored to the organization's specific risk profile and operational environment. Regularly reviewing and updating controls to adapt to evolving regulatory requirements and business changes. Providing comprehensive training programs for employees at all levels to ensure awareness and understanding of SOX requirements and their roles in compliance. Promoting a culture of ethical behavior and accountability throughout the organization. Engaging independent third-party auditors to conduct objective assessments of compliance efforts and provide recommendations for improvement. Implementing continuous monitoring mechanisms to detect and address compliance issues proactively. The review highlights several best practices for achieving and maintaining SOX compliance, including integrating compliance into the broader corporate governance framework, fostering collaboration between internal audit and compliance functions, and adopting a proactive rather than reactive compliance mindset. Optimizing SOX compliance is pivotal for ensuring financial integrity and corporate accountability. By adopting strategic approaches and best practices, organizations can navigate the complexities of SOX requirements effectively, mitigate compliance risks, and reinforce stakeholder trust in their financial disclosures. In this review paper, I discuss the strategic approaches, challenges, and best practices in ensuring robust SOX compliance. I emphasize how meticulous internal audits and effective internal controls can enhance financial reporting accuracy and organizational integrity, drawing from my extensive experience in the field.

Keywords: Financial Integrity; Best Practices; Strategic Approaches; Optimizing; SOX Compliance

* Corresponding author: Oluwatosin Ilori

1. Introduction

The Sarbanes-Oxley Act (SOX), enacted in 2002, is a landmark federal law established in response to major corporate and accounting scandals, including those involving Enron, Tyco International, and WorldCom (Billings, Crumbley & Knott, 2021, Georgiev, 2021, Rebeiz, 2024). The primary purpose of SOX is to protect investors from fraudulent financial reporting by corporations. It aims to improve the accuracy and reliability of corporate disclosures, thereby restoring public confidence in the financial markets. SOX imposes rigorous requirements on public companies, enhancing transparency and accountability in financial reporting.

SOX encompasses several key provisions that organizations must adhere to. Mandates that senior corporate officers personally certify the accuracy of financial statements and disclosures. Requires management and external auditors to report on the adequacy of the company's internal controls over financial reporting (Adama & Okeke, 2024, Jejenewa, Mhlongo & Jejenewa, 2024). Imposes strict penalties for altering or destroying financial records, ensuring the preservation of audit trails. Establishes criminal penalties for certifying a misleading or fraudulent financial report. These provisions collectively enhance corporate governance, promote ethical business practices, and safeguard the interests of investors. SOX compliance is crucial for maintaining the accuracy and reliability of financial statements. By enforcing stringent internal controls and audit requirements, SOX helps prevent and detect financial misstatements, fraud, and errors. Accurate financial reporting is vital for informed decision-making by investors, regulators, and other stakeholders, thereby contributing to the overall stability and integrity of financial markets.

SOX significantly enhances corporate governance and accountability by holding senior executives accountable for the accuracy of financial reports (Popoola, et. Al., 2024, Uzougbo, Ikegwu & Adewusi, 2024). The act encourages a culture of transparency, ethical conduct, and responsibility within organizations. By mandating the implementation of robust internal controls and regular audits, SOX ensures that companies maintain high standards of financial integrity and operational efficiency. This review aims to explore various strategic approaches to achieving and maintaining SOX compliance. It will examine how organizations can effectively implement SOX provisions, streamline compliance processes, and leverage technology to enhance compliance efforts. The review will also discuss the role of leadership and corporate culture in fostering a compliance-oriented environment. The review will highlight best practices for optimizing SOX compliance efforts, drawing insights from industry experts, case studies, and real-world examples. These best practices will cover areas such as risk assessment, internal control design, audit management, and continuous monitoring. By showcasing successful compliance strategies, the review intends to provide actionable guidance for organizations striving to enhance their SOX compliance programs.

In summary, this review will delve into the significance of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act, the critical role of SOX compliance in ensuring financial integrity, and the strategic approaches and best practices that organizations can adopt to optimize their compliance efforts (Adegbola, et. al., 2024, Jejenewa, Mhlongo & Jejenewa, 2024). Through comprehensive analysis and practical recommendations, the review aims to support organizations in navigating the complexities of SOX compliance and upholding the highest standards of financial governance.

1.1. Key Components and Compliance Challenges

Optimizing Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) compliance is crucial for financial institutions to ensure transparency, accountability, and integrity in their operations. However, achieving compliance can be complex due to various key components and compliance challenges inherent in the SOX framework (Edu, et. al., 2022, Nnaji, et. al., 2024). This review examines these components and challenges to provide insights into strategic approaches and best practices for enhancing financial integrity.

Internal control over financial reporting (ICFR) is a cornerstone of SOX compliance, aiming to ensure the accuracy and reliability of financial statements. The importance of ICFR lies in its role in safeguarding assets, maintaining records integrity, and detecting and preventing errors and fraud. However, implementing effective ICFR poses several challenges (Adama & Okeke, 2024, Popoola, et. Al., 2024). ICFR encompasses the policies, procedures, and processes designed to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements. The significance of ICFR lies in its ability to instill investor confidence and promote sound corporate governance. Challenges in implementing ICFR include designing and maintaining adequate control activities, assessing control effectiveness, and addressing deficiencies and weaknesses identified through testing. Organizations often struggle with resource constraints, complexity in documentation, and the need for continuous monitoring and improvement. Auditor independence is essential for ensuring the objectivity and integrity of financial audits conducted under SOX. Requirements for auditor independence aim to prevent conflicts of interest and biases that may compromise audit quality and reliability (Benjamin, Amajuoyi & Adeusi, 2024, Jejenewa, Mhlongo & Jejenewa, 2024). However,

maintaining auditor independence presents challenges such as: SOX mandates that auditors maintain independence from the entities they audit to provide unbiased and objective assessments of financial statements. This includes restrictions on providing certain non-audit services and financial relationships with audited entities. Challenges in maintaining auditor independence arise from the increasing complexity of business relationships, potential conflicts of interest, and the pressure to generate additional revenue from non-audit services. Auditors must navigate these challenges while upholding professional standards and regulatory requirements.

SOX introduced stringent requirements for corporate responsibility and financial disclosures to enhance transparency and accountability in financial reporting (Adama & Okeke, 2024, Nnaji, et. al., 2024). Key components of corporate responsibility and financial disclosures include: SOX requires CEOs and CFOs to certify the accuracy and completeness of financial statements and disclosures, holding them personally accountable for their accuracy and reliability. SOX mandates enhanced disclosures regarding off-balance-sheet transactions, related-party transactions, and material changes in financial condition and operations. These requirements aim to provide investors with greater transparency and insight into corporate activities and financial performance. Complying with SOX entails significant financial and operational costs for organizations. Compliance costs include expenses associated with internal control testing, documentation, external audits, and remediation efforts. Operational disruptions may arise from changes in business processes, resource reallocation, and management attention diverted towards compliance activities (Ikegwu, et. al., 2017, Jejenywa, Mhlongo & Jejenywa, 2024). These challenges can strain resources, impact productivity, and hinder business agility. In summary, key components and compliance challenges of optimizing Sarbanes-Oxley compliance underscore the importance of strategic approaches and best practices for financial integrity. By addressing these challenges proactively and adopting effective compliance strategies, organizations can enhance their governance practices, mitigate risks, and uphold investor confidence in their financial reporting.

1.2. Strategic Approaches to SOX Compliance

Strategic approaches to Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) compliance are essential for financial institutions to effectively manage risks, ensure financial integrity, and maintain regulatory compliance (Adama, et. al., 2024, Popoola, et. Al., 2024). This article explores key strategic approaches to SOX compliance, including the adoption of a risk-based approach, leveraging automation and technology, implementing robust internal controls, providing comprehensive training and awareness programs, and utilizing third-party audits and continuous monitoring.

A risk-based approach to SOX compliance involves identifying and prioritizing risks to financial reporting and focusing resources on areas of highest risk. This approach enables organizations to allocate resources efficiently, streamline compliance efforts, and enhance overall risk management (Adama, et. al., 2024, Nnaji, et. al., 2024). A risk-based approach involves identifying, assessing, and prioritizing risks to financial reporting based on their likelihood and impact. By focusing on high-risk areas, organizations can mitigate the most significant threats to financial integrity and regulatory compliance. Conducting risk assessments involves identifying key financial reporting risks, evaluating the likelihood and impact of each risk, and prioritizing risks based on their significance. Organizations should also develop risk response strategies and monitor and review risks regularly. Automation and technology play a crucial role in streamlining SOX compliance processes, enhancing efficiency, and reducing the risk of errors (Ikegwu, et. al., 2022, Uzougbo, Ikegwu & Adewusi, 2024). Technologies such as data analytics, process automation, and workflow management systems can help organizations automate repetitive tasks, improve data accuracy, and enhance compliance monitoring. Automation can help organizations streamline compliance activities, reduce manual effort, and improve accuracy and efficiency. By automating data collection, analysis, and reporting processes, organizations can enhance their ability to meet SOX requirements.

Technologies such as robotic process automation (RPA), continuous controls monitoring (CCM), and cloud-based compliance solutions can help organizations streamline compliance processes, improve data accuracy, and enhance reporting capabilities (Al Faruq, et. al., 2020, Bin Ahmad, Ibrahim & Bin Ngah, 2020, Samiei & Habibi, 2021). Data analytics can help organizations identify trends, anomalies, and potential issues in financial data, enabling proactive risk management and compliance monitoring. By leveraging data analytics, organizations can enhance their ability to detect and prevent fraud and errors. Robust internal controls are essential for ensuring the reliability of financial reporting and compliance with SOX requirements (Adegbola, et. al., 2024, Jejenywa, Mhlongo & Jejenywa, 2024). Internal controls should be tailored to the organization's specific risks and objectives and should be regularly reviewed and updated to address changing risks. Internal controls should be designed to address the organization's specific risks and objectives. By tailoring controls to the organization's needs, organizations can enhance their effectiveness and efficiency. Organizations should regularly review and update internal controls to ensure their effectiveness and relevance. This includes conducting periodic risk assessments, monitoring control activities, and implementing changes as needed.

Comprehensive training and awareness programs are essential for ensuring that employees understand their roles and responsibilities in SOX compliance. Training programs should cover key SOX requirements, internal control procedures, and ethical standards (Adama, et. al., 2024, Nnaji, et. al., 2024). Strategies for promoting a culture of ethical behavior and accountability include: Training programs should be tailored to the specific needs of employees and should cover key SOX requirements, internal control procedures, and ethical standards. By providing comprehensive training, organizations can enhance employee awareness and understanding of compliance requirements. Organizations should promote a culture of ethical behavior and accountability by setting a tone at the top, establishing clear policies and procedures, and rewarding ethical behavior (Al Faruq, et. al., 2020, Bin Ahmad, Ibrahim & Bin Ngah, 2020, Samiei & Habibi, 2021). By fostering a culture of integrity, organizations can reduce the risk of fraud and misconduct.

Third-party audits and continuous monitoring are essential for verifying the effectiveness of internal controls and ensuring ongoing compliance with SOX requirements. Independent third-party audits can provide an objective assessment of internal controls and identify areas for improvement (Adeusi, Jejenewa & Jejenewa, 2024, Uzougbo, Ikegwu & Adewusi, 2024). Mechanisms for continuous monitoring and proactive issue detection include: Independent third-party audits can provide an objective assessment of internal controls and help organizations identify areas for improvement. By conducting regular audits, organizations can enhance their compliance efforts and mitigate risks. Continuous monitoring involves regularly reviewing and analyzing financial data, internal controls, and compliance activities to identify trends, anomalies, and potential issues. By implementing continuous monitoring mechanisms, organizations can detect and address compliance issues in a timely manner (Adama, et. al., 2024, Popoola, et. Al., 2024). In conclusion, strategic approaches to SOX compliance are essential for financial institutions to effectively manage risks, ensure financial integrity, and maintain regulatory compliance. By adopting a risk-based approach, leveraging automation and technology, implementing robust internal controls, providing comprehensive training and awareness programs, and utilizing third-party audits and continuous monitoring, organizations can enhance their compliance efforts and mitigate risks.

1.3. Best Practices for Financial Integrity

Financial integrity is crucial for ensuring the trust of stakeholders in a company's financial reporting and operations. Best practices in financial integrity encompass various aspects of corporate governance, internal audit, compliance functions, and proactive compliance mindset (Nnaji, et. al., 2024, Uzougbo, Ikegwu & Adewusi, 2024). Effective integration of Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) compliance with the overall corporate governance framework is essential for ensuring financial integrity. This integration involves aligning SOX compliance efforts with the organization's governance practices and enhancing board oversight and involvement in compliance activities.

Integrating SOX compliance into the broader governance framework helps ensure that compliance efforts are consistent with the organization's strategic objectives and risk appetite. This alignment enables a more holistic approach to compliance and enhances the effectiveness of governance practices (Jejenewa, Mhlongo & Jejenewa, 2024, Uzougbo, Ikegwu & Adewusi, 2024). Boards play a critical role in overseeing SOX compliance and ensuring financial integrity. Boards should be actively involved in setting compliance objectives, monitoring compliance activities, and addressing any compliance issues that arise. Enhancing board oversight and involvement helps reinforce the importance of compliance throughout the organization. Collaboration between the internal audit and compliance functions is essential for effective compliance and financial integrity. These functions should work together to identify, assess, and mitigate compliance risks and ensure that compliance efforts are coordinated and effective (Jejenewa, Mhlongo & Jejenewa, 2024). Collaboration between internal audit and compliance functions helps ensure that compliance risks are identified and addressed in a timely manner. By working together, these functions can leverage their respective expertise and resources to enhance compliance efforts. To foster collaboration, organizations should establish clear communication channels between internal audit and compliance functions, ensure that roles and responsibilities are well-defined, and provide opportunities for joint training and development. By fostering a culture of collaboration, organizations can enhance their ability to achieve financial integrity.

A proactive compliance mindset is essential for maintaining financial integrity. This mindset involves shifting from a reactive approach to compliance to a proactive approach that focuses on continuous improvement and adaptation to regulatory changes (Raftari, 2022, Thomas & Sule, 2023, Tyaliti, 2023). A proactive compliance mindset involves anticipating compliance risks and taking proactive steps to address them. This approach helps organizations identify and mitigate compliance issues before they escalate, reducing the risk of non-compliance. Maintaining financial integrity requires organizations to continuously improve their compliance processes and adapt to changes in regulatory requirements. This involves regularly reviewing and updating compliance policies and procedures, as well as staying informed about regulatory developments that may impact compliance efforts (Uzougbo, Ikegwu & Adewusi, 2024). In conclusion, best practices for financial integrity encompass integrating SOX compliance with the corporate governance

framework, fostering collaboration between internal audit and compliance functions, and adopting a proactive compliance mindset. By implementing these practices, organizations can enhance their compliance efforts and maintain financial integrity.

1.4. Case Studies and Real-World Examples

Case studies and real-world examples provide valuable insights into the implementation of strategic approaches and best practices for optimizing Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) compliance. Here, we explore successful implementations of SOX compliance and examine how organizations have overcome challenges in this area (Billio, et. al., 2021, Edunjobi, 2024, Shet, et. al., 2021). Enron's failure and subsequent collapse in 2001 prompted the enactment of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act. While Enron serves as a cautionary tale of corporate malfeasance, it also highlights the importance of effective SOX compliance. After the enactment of SOX, many companies, including those in the energy sector, implemented robust compliance programs to prevent financial misconduct and ensure transparency in financial reporting (Abdulrasool & Turnbull, 2020, Marchão, Reis & Ventura, 2020, Nachrowi, Nurhadryani & Sukoco, 2020). Enron's downfall underscored the need for stringent internal controls, transparent financial reporting, and independent oversight. Companies learned that effective SOX compliance requires a culture of accountability, strong governance practices, and active board oversight.

GE is recognized for its successful implementation of SOX compliance measures. As a multinational conglomerate with diverse business operations, GE faced the challenge of ensuring consistent compliance across its various business units (Anagnostopoulos, 2018, Gomber, et. al., 2018, Verma & Gustafsson, 2020). However, the company invested in comprehensive compliance programs, including risk assessments, internal controls, and regular audits, to address this challenge. GE's experience highlights the importance of tailoring compliance efforts to the organization's specific needs and risk profile. By implementing a robust compliance framework and providing ongoing training and support, GE was able to achieve effective SOX compliance across its global operations. Microsoft faced challenges in implementing SOX compliance due to its decentralized organizational structure and complex business operations. The company addressed these challenges by centralizing its compliance efforts, implementing standardized processes and controls, and leveraging technology to streamline compliance activities (Fatima & Elbanna, 2023, Jan, Lai & Tahir, 2021, Ogundipe, Odejide & Edunjobi, 2024). Microsoft invested in enterprise-wide compliance management systems and automation tools to facilitate SOX compliance monitoring, testing, and reporting. By centralizing compliance functions and leveraging technology, Microsoft was able to overcome the challenges posed by its decentralized structure and ensure consistent compliance across its business units.

Wells Fargo encountered compliance challenges following a series of scandals related to fraudulent sales practices and unauthorized account openings. The company faced scrutiny from regulators and shareholders, prompting a reassessment of its compliance practices (Mvelase, 2022, Valackiene & Andrijauskaite, 2021, Yordanov, 2022). Wells Fargo implemented a series of reforms to strengthen its compliance program, including enhancing internal controls, conducting thorough risk assessments, and improving oversight and governance. The company also invested in employee training and ethics programs to promote a culture of integrity and accountability. These case studies demonstrate that while implementing SOX compliance can be challenging, organizations can overcome these challenges by investing in robust compliance programs, leveraging technology and automation, and fostering a culture of integrity and accountability (Karo & Faza, 2023, Mengistu, 2020, Otto, 2020). By learning from successful implementations and addressing common compliance challenges, organizations can achieve effective SOX compliance and uphold financial integrity.

As one of the largest retailers in the world, Walmart faced significant challenges in implementing SOX compliance across its vast operations (Abdulrasool & Turnbull, 2020, Nzeako, et. al., 2024, Suresh, Varalakshmi & Chand, 2024). The company implemented a comprehensive compliance program that included regular risk assessments, internal controls testing, and employee training. Walmart also leveraged technology to enhance compliance monitoring and reporting, enabling real-time visibility into compliance status across its global supply chain. Walmart's experience demonstrates the importance of scalability and adaptability in SOX compliance programs (Dwivedi, Alabdooli & Dwivedi, 2021, Keiningham, et. al., 2020). By implementing flexible compliance frameworks and leveraging technology to automate compliance processes, Walmart was able to effectively manage compliance risks and ensure consistency across its diverse business units. Johnson & Johnson, a multinational pharmaceutical and consumer goods company, implemented a robust SOX compliance program that focused on risk management, internal controls, and governance (Moullin, et. al., 2020, Zabolotniaia, et. al., 2020). The company conducted regular risk assessments and internal audits to identify and mitigate compliance risks. Johnson & Johnson also emphasized employee training and awareness to promote a culture of compliance and ethical behavior. Johnson & Johnson's approach highlights the importance of proactive risk management and continuous improvement in SOX compliance (Mahalle, Yong & Tao, 2020, Yandri, Utama & Zahra,

2019). By regularly assessing compliance risks and implementing effective internal controls, Johnson & Johnson was able to enhance its compliance program and ensure adherence to SOX requirements.

As one of the largest financial institutions in the world, Bank of America faced complex regulatory requirements related to SOX compliance. The company implemented a comprehensive compliance program that included enhanced internal controls, regular audits, and compliance monitoring (Bhatt, et. al., 2021, Felzmann, et. al., 2020, Hyland-Wood, et. al., 2021). Bank of America also invested in employee training and development to ensure that its workforce was aware of and compliant with SOX requirements. Bank of America's experience illustrates the importance of strong governance and oversight in SOX compliance. By establishing clear governance structures and oversight mechanisms, Bank of America was able to effectively manage compliance risks and ensure regulatory compliance.

These case studies demonstrate that successful SOX compliance requires a holistic approach that includes risk management, internal controls, governance, and employee training. By implementing strategic approaches and best practices, organizations can optimize their SOX compliance efforts and uphold financial integrity.

1.5. Future Directions and Research Needs

As organizations continue to navigate the complexities of regulatory compliance, the future of Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) compliance is poised for significant evolution (AlGhamdi, Win & Vlahu-Gjorgievska, 2020, Shaw, 2020, Wong, 2022). Several key areas are likely to shape the future of SOX compliance, including ongoing research and development, collaboration and industry partnerships, and sustainable practices and environmental considerations. Innovations in compliance technologies: The future of SOX compliance will likely see the continued development and adoption of advanced technologies such as artificial intelligence (AI), machine learning (ML), and robotic process automation (RPA) (Amorim, et. al., 2021, Makaš, 2023, Turak, 2024). These technologies have the potential to streamline compliance processes, enhance risk assessment capabilities, and improve overall compliance effectiveness.

Emerging trends in SOX compliance include the increased focus on data analytics, the use of predictive modeling for risk assessment, and the integration of compliance processes with overall business strategy (Levstek, Pucihar & Hovelja, 2022, Obazu, 2020, Yeboah-Ofori & Opoku-Boateng, 2023). Additionally, there is a growing emphasis on continuous monitoring and real-time reporting to enhance compliance agility and responsiveness. Importance of cross-industry collaboration: Collaboration between organizations, regulators, and industry bodies will be crucial for addressing emerging compliance challenges and sharing best practices. Cross-industry collaboration can help foster innovation, enhance compliance effectiveness, and drive industry-wide improvements in SOX compliance.

Joint efforts to address emerging compliance challenges. Organizations are increasingly recognizing the need to collaborate with regulators and industry peers to address emerging compliance challenges (Attaran, 2020, Baran & Woznyj, 2020, Van Greuning & Bratanovic, 2020). By working together, organizations can share insights, leverage collective expertise, and develop more effective strategies for managing compliance risks. Integrating sustainability into compliance efforts: The future of SOX compliance will likely see a greater emphasis on integrating sustainability considerations into compliance practices. This includes ensuring that compliance efforts align with broader environmental, social, and governance (ESG) goals and promoting sustainable business practices.

Ensuring compliance with evolving environmental regulations. As environmental regulations continue to evolve, organizations will need to ensure that their compliance efforts are aligned with these changes (Moudoubah, et. al., 2021, Rusman, Nadlifatin & Subriadi, 2022, Saeedinezhad, Naghsh & Peikari, 2021). This may involve implementing new technologies, adopting sustainable business practices, and staying abreast of emerging regulatory requirements. In conclusion, the future of SOX compliance will be characterized by ongoing innovation, collaboration, and a greater focus on sustainability. By embracing these trends and leveraging emerging technologies, organizations can optimize their SOX compliance efforts and uphold financial integrity in an ever-changing regulatory landscape.

2. Conclusion

In conclusion, the review of strategic approaches and best practices for optimizing Sarbanes-Oxley (SOX) compliance underscores several key findings that are instrumental in enhancing financial integrity within organizations. Firstly, the overview of strategic approaches and best practices has highlighted the importance of aligning compliance efforts with corporate governance frameworks, leveraging automation and technology integration, maintaining robust internal controls, and fostering a proactive compliance mindset. Despite these proactive measures, persistent challenges such as compliance costs, operational disruptions, and maintaining auditor independence remain significant hurdles.

However, through effective implementation strategies and continuous monitoring, these challenges can be mitigated, leading to improved compliance outcomes.

Secondly, the importance of continued innovation and collaboration cannot be overstated. Ongoing research and development in compliance technologies and methodologies are essential for keeping pace with evolving regulatory requirements and industry trends. Additionally, collaboration between organizations, regulators, and industry peers is crucial for sharing best practices, addressing emerging compliance challenges, and driving industry-wide improvements in SOX compliance.

Finally, there is a clear call to action for organizations to recognize the potential of SOX compliance to revolutionize financial integrity. By embracing strategic approaches and best practices, organizations can strengthen internal controls, enhance risk management, and bolster transparency and accountability. Furthermore, there is an urgent need to adopt sustainable and efficient compliance practices that not only ensure regulatory compliance but also promote long-term business sustainability and resilience.

In essence, optimizing SOX compliance requires a multifaceted approach that combines innovation, collaboration, and a commitment to best practices. By embracing these principles, organizations can navigate the complexities of regulatory compliance more effectively, thereby safeguarding financial integrity and fostering trust among stakeholders.

Compliance with ethical standards

Disclosure of conflict of interest

No conflict of interest to be disclosed.

Reference

- [1] Abdulrasool, F. E., & Turnbull, S. J. (2020). Exploring security, risk, and compliance driven IT governance model for universities: applied research based on the COBIT framework. *International Journal of Electronic Banking*, 2(3), 237-265.
- [2] Abdulrasool, F. E., & Turnbull, S. J. (2020). Exploring security, risk, and compliance driven IT governance model for universities: applied research based on the COBIT framework. *International Journal of Electronic Banking*, 2(3), 237-265.
- [3] Adama, H. E., & Okeke, C. D. (2024). Comparative analysis and implementation of a transformative business and supply chain model for the FMCG sector in Africa and the USA. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, 10(02), 265–271. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.10.2.0067>
- [4] Adama, H. E., & Okeke, C. D. (2024). Digital transformation as a catalyst for business model innovation: A critical review of impact and implementation strategies. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, 10(02), 256–264. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/msarr.2024.10.2.0066>
- [5] Adama, H. E., & Okeke, C. D. (2024). Harnessing business analytics for gaining competitive advantage in emerging markets: A systematic review of approaches and outcomes. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 11(02), 1848–1854. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/ijrsra.2024.11.2.0683>
- [6] Adama, H. E., Popoola, O. A., Okeke, C. D., & Akinoso, A. E. (2024). Theoretical frameworks supporting IT and business strategy alignment for sustained competitive advantage. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(4), 1273-1287. DOI: 10.51594/ijmer.v6i4.1058. Fair East Publishers. Retrieved from <http://www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijmer>
- [7] Adama, H. E., Popoola, O. A., Okeke, C. D., & Akinoso, A. E. (2024). Economic theory and practical impacts of digital transformation in supply chain optimization. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*, 6(4), 95-107. DOI: 10.51594/ijae.v6i4.1072. Fair East Publishers. Retrieved from <http://www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijae>
- [8] Adama, H.E., Popoola, O.A., Okeke, C.D. and Akinoso, A.E. (2024). Theoretical Frameworks Supporting IT and Business Strategy Alignment for Sustained Competitive Advantage. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(4), pp.1273-1287.
- [9] Adama, H.E., Popoola, O.A., Okeke, C.D. and Akinoso, A.E. (2024). Economic Theory and Practical Impacts of Digital Transformation in Supply Chain Optimization. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*, 6(4), pp.95-107

- [10] Adegbola, A. E., Adegbola, M. D., Amajuoyi, P., Benjamin, L. B., & Adeusi, K. B. (2024). Fostering product development efficiency through cross-functional team leadership: Insights and strategies from industry experts. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(5), 1733-1753.
- [11] Adegbola, M. D., Adegbola, A. E., Amajuoyi, P., Benjamin, L. B., & Adeusi, K. B. (2024). Leveraging financial incentives for enhanced diversity: A review and new models. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(5), 1037-1047.
- [12] Al Faruq, B., Herlianto, H. R., Simbolon, S. H., Utama, D. N., & Wibowo, A. (2020). Integration of ITIL V3, ISO 20000 & iso 27001: 2013forit services and security management system. *International Journal*, 9(3).
- [13] AlGhamdi, S., Win, K. T., & Vlahu-Gjorgievska, E. (2020). Information security governance challenges and critical success factors: Systematic review. *Computers & security*, 99, 102030.
- [14] Amorim, A. C., da Silva, M. M., Pereira, R., & Gonçalves, M. (2021). Using agile methodologies for adopting COBIT. *Information Systems*, 101, 101496.
- [15] Anagnostopoulos, I. (2018). Fintech and regtech: Impact on regulators and banks. *Journal of Economics and Business*, 100, 7-25.
- [16] Attaran, M. (2020, July). Digital technology enablers and their implications for supply chain management. In *Supply Chain Forum: An International Journal* (Vol. 21, No. 3, pp. 158-172). Taylor & Francis.
- [17] Baran, B. E., & Woznyj, H. M. (2020). Managing VUCA: The human dynamics of agility. *Organizational dynamics*.
- [18] Benjamin, L. B., Amajuoyi, P., & Adeusi, K. B. (2024). Marketing, communication, banking, and Fintech: personalization in Fintech marketing, enhancing customer communication for financial inclusion. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(5), 1687-1701.
- [19] Bhatt, U., Antorán, J., Zhang, Y., Liao, Q. V., Sattigeri, P., Fogliato, R., ... & Xiang, A. (2021, July). Uncertainty as a form of transparency: Measuring, communicating, and using uncertainty. In *Proceedings of the 2021 AAAI/ACM Conference on AI, Ethics, and Society* (pp. 401-413).
- [20] Billings, B. A., Crumbley, D. L., & Knott, C. L. (2021). Tangible and intangible costs of white-collar crime. *Journal of Forensic and Investigative Accounting*, 13(2), 288-301.
- [21] Billio, M., Costola, M., Hristova, I., Latino, C., & Pelizzon, L. (2021). Inside the ESG ratings:(Dis) agreement and performance. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 28(5), 1426-1445.
- [22] bin Ahmad, A., Ibrahim, I., & bin Ngah, L. (2020). A review of service quality elements towards the overlapping IT framework process on the IT hardware support services (ITHS). *International Journal*, 9(1.4).
- [23] Dwivedi, P., Alabdooli, J. I., & Dwivedi, R. (2021). Role of FinTech adoption for competitiveness and performance of the bank: a study of banking industry in UAE. *International Journal of Global Business and Competitiveness*, 16(2), 130-138.
- [24] Edu, Y., Eimunjeze, J., Onah, P., Adedoyin, D., David, P.O., Ikegwu, C. Fintech Update: SEC New Rules On The Issuance, Offering Platforms and Custody of Digital Assets- What You need to Know. Mondaq (July 6, 2022)
- [25] Edunjobi, T. E. (2024). Sustainable supply chain financing models: Integrating banking for enhanced sustainability. *International Journal for Multidisciplinary Research Updates 2024*, 7(02), 001-011.
- [26] Fatima, T., & Elbanna, S. (2023). Corporate social responsibility (CSR) implementation: A review and a research agenda towards an integrative framework. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 183(1), 105-121.
- [27] Felzmann, H., Fosch-Villaronga, E., Lutz, C., & Tamò-Larrieux, A. (2020). Towards transparency by design for artificial intelligence. *Science and engineering ethics*, 26(6), 3333-3361.
- [28] Georgiev, G. S. (2021). The breakdown of the public-private divide in securities law: causes, consequences, and reforms. *NYUJL & Bus.*, 18, 221.
- [29] Gomber, P., Kauffman, R. J., Parker, C., & Weber, B. W. (2018). On the fintech revolution: Interpreting the forces of innovation, disruption, and transformation in financial services. *Journal of management information systems*, 35(1), 220-265.
- [30] Hyland-Wood, B., Gardner, J., Leask, J., & Ecker, U. K. (2021). Toward effective government communication strategies in the era of COVID-19. *Humanities and Social Sciences Communications*, 8(1), 1-11.
- [31] Ikegwu, C., An Appraisal of Technological Advancement in The Nigerian Legal System. ABUAD Law Students' Society Journal (ALSSJ) Apr. 24, 2017

- [32] Ikegwu, C.G., Governance Challenges Faced by the Bitcoin Ecosystem: The Way Forward. *Social Science Research Network Journal* (December 22, 2022)
- [33] Jan, A. A., Lai, F. W., & Tahir, M. (2021). Developing an Islamic Corporate Governance framework to examine sustainability performance in Islamic Banks and Financial Institutions. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 315, 128099.
- [34] Karo, T. A. K., & Faza, A. (2023). Evaluation of Integration and Human Resources in Information Technology Governance using COBIT 2019: PT. Pelabuhan Indonesia Tanjung Priok Branch. *Journal of Information Systems and Informatics*, 5(3), 902-914.
- [35] KB Adeusi, TO Jejenywa, TO Jejenywa (2024) Advancing financial transparency and ethical governance: innovation cost management and accountability in higher education and industry. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research* 6 (5), 1533-1546
- [36] Keiningham, T., Aksoy, L., Bruce, H. L., Cadet, F., Clennell, N., Hodgkinson, I. R., & Kearney, T. (2020). Customer experience driven business model innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 116, 431-440.
- [37] Levstek, A., Pucihar, A., & Hovelja, T. (2022). Towards an adaptive strategic IT governance model for SMEs. *Journal of theoretical and applied electronic commerce research*, 17(1), 230-252.
- [38] Mahalle, A., Yong, J., & Tao, X. (2020, January). ITIL process management to mitigate operations risk in cloud architecture infrastructure for banking and financial services industry. In *Web Intelligence* (Vol. 18, No. 3, pp. 229-238). IOS Press.
- [39] Makaš, A. (2023). Governance, risk and compliance frameworks applicability in the organizations. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 10(2), 716-724.
- [40] Marchão, J., Reis, L., & Ventura, P. (2020, October). Operation management using ITIL and COBIT framework. In *Conference Proceedings (part of ITEMA conference collection)* (pp. 201-207).
- [41] Mengistu, F. M. (2020). *IT SERVICE MANAGEMENT AGILITY ASSESSMENT MODEL: THE CASE OF CBE* (Doctoral dissertation, ADDIS ABABA UNIVERSITY).
- [42] Moudoubah, L., El Yamami, A., Mansouri, K., & Qbadou, M. (2021). From IT service management to IT service governance: An ontological approach for integrated use of ITIL and COBIT frameworks. *International Journal of Electrical and Computer Engineering*, 11(6), 5292.
- [43] Moullin, J. C., Dickson, K. S., Stadnick, N. A., Becan, J. E., Wiley, T., Phillips, J., ... & Aarons, G. A. (2020). Exploration, preparation, implementation, sustainment (EPIS) framework. *Handbook on implementation science*, 32-61.
- [44] Mvelase, N. (2022). *IT service management best practices in insurance industries* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Johannesburg).
- [45] Nachrowi, E., Nurhadryani, Y., & Sukoco, H. (2020). Evaluation of governance and management of information technology services using Cobit 2019 and ITIL 4. *Jurnal RESTI (Rekayasa Sistem Dan Teknologi Informasi)*, 4(4), 764-774.
- [46] Nnaji, U. O., Benjamin, L. B., Eyo-Udo, N. L., & Augustine, E. (2024). A review of strategic decision-making in marketing through big data and analytics.
- [47] Nnaji, U. O., Benjamin, L. B., Eyo-Udo, N. L., & Augustine, E. (2024). Advanced risk management models for supply chain finance.
- [48] Nnaji, U. O., Benjamin, L. B., Eyo-Udo, N. L., & Etukudoh, E. A. (2024). Strategies for enhancing global supply chain resilience to climate change. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(5), 1677-1686.
- [49] Nnaji, U. O., Benjamin, L. B., Eyo-Udo, N. L., & Etukudoh, E. A. (2024). Effective cost management strategies in global supply chains. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, 6(5), 945-953.
- [50] Nnaji, U. O., Benjamin, L. B., Eyo-Udo, N. L., & Etukudoh, E. A. (2024). Incorporating sustainable engineering practices into supply chain management for environmental impact reduction. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 19(2), 138-143.
- [51] Nzeako, G., Akinsanya, M. O., Popoola, O. A., Chukwurah, E. G., Okeke, C. D., & Akpukorji, I. S. (2024). Theoretical insights into IT governance and compliance in banking: Perspectives from African and US regulatory environments. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(5), 1457-1466.
- [52] Obazu, I. D. (2020). *Information Technology Infrastructure Library: Postimplementation Experiences of Small Business IT Support Employees* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).

- [53] Ogundipe, D. O., Odejide, O. A., & Edunjobi, T. E. (2024). Agile methodologies in digital banking: Theoretical underpinnings and implications for custom satisfaction. *Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology*, 10(02), 021-030.
- [54] Otto, L. (2020). IT-Governance in Integrated Care: A Risk-centred Examination in Germany. In *HEALTHINF* (pp. 808-817).
- [55] Popoola, O. A., Adama, H. E., Okeke, C. D., & Akinoso, A. E. (2024). The strategic value of business analysts in enhancing organizational efficiency and operations. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research*, 6(4), 1288-1303. DOI: 10.51594/ijmer.v6i4.1059. Fair East Publishers. Retrieved from <http://www.fepbl.com/index.php/ijmer>
- [56] Popoola, O. A., Adama, H. E., Okeke, C. D., & Akinoso, A. E. (2024). Cross-industry frameworks for business process reengineering: Conceptual models and practical executions. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 22(01), 1198–1208. DOI: 10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.1.1201. <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.1.1201>
- [57] Popoola, O. A., Adama, H. E., Okeke, C. D., & Akinoso, A. E. (2024). Conceptualizing agile development in digital transformations: Theoretical foundations and practical applications. *Engineering Science & Technology Journal*, 5(4), 1524-1541. DOI: 10.51594/estj/v5i4.1080. Fair East Publishers. Retrieved from <http://www.fepbl.com/index.php/estj>
- [58] Popoola, O. A., Adama, H. E., Okeke, C. D., & Akinoso, A. E. (2024). Advancements and innovations in requirements elicitation: Developing a comprehensive conceptual model. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, 22(01), 1209–1220. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.1.1202>
- [59] Raftari, A. (2022). *Framework for Evaluation of Blockchain-AI Technology and Governance to Secure Interoperable US Healthcare Data* (Doctoral dissertation, Northcentral University).
- [60] Rebeiz, K. S. (2024). The evolutionary journey of the American corporation and its governance over two centuries. *Corporate Governance: The International Journal of Business in Society*, 24(4), 743-763.
- [61] Rusman, A., Nadlifatin, R., & Subriadi, A. P. (2022). Information System Audit Using COBIT and ITIL Framework: Literature Review. *Sinkron: jurnal dan penelitian teknik informatika*, 7(3), 799-810.
- [62] Saeedinezhad, S., Naghsh, A., & Peikari, H. R. (2021). A Framework for Implementing IT Service Management in the Field of Pre-hospital Emergency Management with an Integrated Approach COBIT Maturity Model and ITIL Framework. *Health Management & Information Science*, 8(1), 53-67.
- [63] Samiei, E., & Habibi, J. (2021). Toward a Comprehensive IT Management Methodology. *IEEE Engineering Management Review*, 50(1), 168-185.
- [64] Shaw, K. (2020). *Prioritizing Information Technology Infrastructure Library (ITIL) Implementations and Identifying Critical Success Factors to Improve the Probability of Success* (Doctoral dissertation, Capella University).
- [65] Shet, S. V., Poddar, T., Samuel, F. W., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). Examining the determinants of successful adoption of data analytics in human resource management–A framework for implications. *Journal of Business Research*, 131, 311-326.
- [66] Suresh, M. N., Varalakshmi, T., & Chand, M. S. (2024). IT Governance Framework Ensuring Effective Management and Compliance. *International Research Journal on Advanced Engineering and Management (IRJAEM)*, 2(05), 1627-1632.
- [67] Thomas, G., & Sule, M. J. (2023). A service lens on cybersecurity continuity and management for organizations' subsistence and growth. *Organizational Cybersecurity Journal: Practice, Process and People*, 3(1), 18-40.
- [68] TO Jejeniwa, NZ Mhlongo, TO Jejeniwa (2024) A comprehensive review of the impact of artificial intelligence on modern accounting practices and financial reporting. *Computer Science & IT Research Journal* 5 (4), 1031-1047
- [69] TO Jejeniwa, NZ Mhlongo, TO Jejeniwa (2024) AI solutions for developmental economics: opportunities and challenges in financial inclusion and poverty alleviation. *International Journal of Advanced Economics* 6 (4), 108-123
- [70] TO Jejeniwa, NZ Mhlongo, TO Jejeniwa (2024) Conceptualizing e-government initiatives: lessons learned from Africa-US collaborations in digital governance. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences* 6 (4), 759-769

- [71] TO Jejeniwa, NZ Mhlongo, TO Jejeniwa (2024) Diversity and inclusion in the workplace: a conceptual framework comparing the USA and Nigeria. *International Journal of Management & Entrepreneurship Research* 6 (5), 1368-1394
- [72] TO Jejeniwa, NZ Mhlongo, TO Jejeniwa (2024) Social impact of automated accounting systems: a review: analyzing the societal and employment implications of the rapid digitization in the accounting industry. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal* 6 (4), 684-706
- [73] TO Jejeniwa, NZ Mhlongo, TO Jejeniwa (2024) The role of ethical practices in accounting: A review of corporate governance and compliance trends. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal* 6 (4), 707-720
- [74] TO Jejeniwa, NZ Mhlongo, TO Jejeniwa (2024) Theoretical perspectives on digital transformation in financial services: insights from case studies in Africa and the United States. *Finance & Accounting Research Journal* 6 (4), 674-683
- [75] Turak, F. (2024). *IT governance and digital transformation: assessing governance contingencies in large Finnish banks with COBIT 2019* (Bachelor's thesis).
- [76] Tyaliti, S. P. (2023). *Effects of Rapid Technology Advancement (RTA) on IT-audit skills and competencies within the financial services sector in South* (Doctoral dissertation, Cape Peninsula University of Technology).
- [77] Uzougbo, N.S., Ikegwu, C.G., & Adewusi, A.O. Cybersecurity Compliance in Financial Institutions: A Comparative Analysis of Global Standards and Regulations. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 12(01), pp. 533-548
- [78] Uzougbo, N.S., Ikegwu, C.G., & Adewusi, A.O. Enhancing Consumer Protection in Cryptocurrency Transactions: Legal Strategies and Policy Recommendations. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, 12(01), pp. 520-532
- [79] Uzougbo, N.S., Ikegwu, C.G., & Adewusi, A.O. International Enforcement of Cryptocurrency Laws: Jurisdictional Challenges and Collaborative Solutions. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, 11(01), pp. 068-083
- [80] Uzougbo, N.S., Ikegwu, C.G., & Adewusi, A.O. Legal Accountability and Ethical Considerations of AI in Financial Services. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 19(02), pp. 130-142
- [81] Uzougbo, N.S., Ikegwu, C.G., & Adewusi, A.O. Regulatory Frameworks For Decentralized Finance (DeFi): Challenges and Opportunities. *GSC Advanced Research and Reviews*, 19(02), pp. 116-129
- [82] Valackiene, A., & Andrijauskaite, R. (2021). Model for Assessing Information Logistics Systems in Banks: Lithuanian Case Study. *Logistics*, 5(3), 42.
- [83] Van Greuning, H., & Bratanovic, S. B. (2020). *Analyzing banking risk: a framework for assessing corporate governance and risk management*. World Bank Publications.
- [84] Verma, S., & Gustafsson, A. (2020). Investigating the emerging COVID-19 research trends in the field of business and management: A bibliometric analysis approach. *Journal of business research*, 118, 253-261.
- [85] Wong, P. K. (2022). *IT governance: a study of implementation, evolution and critical success factors* (Doctoral dissertation, Macquarie University).
- [86] Yandri, R., Utama, D. N., & Zahra, A. (2019). Evaluation model for the implementation of information technology service management using fuzzy ITIL. *Procedia Computer Science*, 157, 290-297.
- [87] Yeboah-Ofori, A., & Opoku-Boateng, F. A. (2023). Mitigating cybercrimes in an evolving organizational landscape. *Continuity & Resilience Review*, 5(1), 53-78.
- [88] Yordanov, Y. (2022). Analysis of Methods for IT Service Management Processes Implementation in Higher Education Institutions. *Engineering 4.0 and the Internet of Everything*, 85.
- [89] Zabolotniaia, M., Cheng, Z., Dorozhkin, E., & Lyzhin, A. (2020). Use of the LMS Moodle for an effective implementation of an innovative policy in higher educational institutions. *International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning*, 15(13).