World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews

eISSN: 2581-9615 CODEN (USA): WJARAI

Cross Ref DOI: 10.30574/wjarr

Journal homepage: https://wjarr.com/

(Review Article)

Check for updates

Designing effective policies to address the challenges of global digital tax reforms

Joseph Kuba Nembe [1, *] and Courage Idemudia [2]

[1] *Independent Researcher, New York, USA.*

[2] *Independent Researcher, London, ON, Canada.*

World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 2024, 22(03), 1171–1183

Publication history: Received on 09 May 2024; revised on 17 June 2024; accepted on 19 June 2024

Article DOI: https://doi.org/10.30574/wjarr.2024.22.3.1837

Abstract

In today's rapidly evolving digital economy, traditional tax laws are facing unprecedented challenges. The emergence of digital business models has transcended geographical boundaries, presenting novel complexities for taxation regimes worldwide. This paper provides a comprehensive overview of the imperative to design effective tax policies capable of addressing the multifaceted challenges posed by global digital tax reforms. The paper begins by examining the transformative nature of digital commerce and its profound impact on taxation. With online platforms and digital services enabling businesses to operate across borders with minimal physical presence, the conventional notions of tax jurisdiction and territoriality are increasingly inadequate. Key considerations in designing effective tax laws for the digital age are then explored. Emphasis is placed on principles such as value creation, nexus, and fairness, which are essential for addressing profit shifting and tax avoidance strategies employed by multinational digital corporations. Furthermore, the paper delves into the significance of international cooperation and harmonization efforts in digital tax reforms. Initiatives such as the OECD's Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project and negotiations for a global minimum tax are discussed as pivotal steps towards establishing common frameworks for taxing digital activities and ensuring a level playing field among jurisdictions. Challenges and controversies surrounding the implementation of digital tax measures are also examined, including issues related to data privacy, compliance costs, and the potential for trade disputes. Achieving a balance between revenue generation, economic growth, and fairness while minimizing unintended consequences remains a formidable task for policymakers. This paper underscores the necessity of a nuanced understanding of the digital economy's dynamics and a commitment to international collaboration in designing tax policies that are responsive to the challenges of the digital age. By fostering dialogue and embracing innovation in regulatory frameworks, governments can navigate the complexities of digital taxation while fostering a fair and sustainable tax environment.

Keywords: Tax Law; Designing Effective Policies; Challenges; Global Digital Tax Reforms.

1. Introduction

The advent of the digital economy has transformed the global business landscape, presenting significant challenges for taxation systems worldwide (Afolabi, 2024). This introduction provides an overview of the challenges posed by digitalization in taxation and emphasizes the importance of effective policies in addressing these challenges (Bahtiar and Sudarmanto, 2024; Mustapha *et al.*, 2024). The digital economy operates on a fundamentally different paradigm compared to traditional brick-and-mortar businesses. Digital businesses often operate across borders without a physical presence, relying on intangible assets, such as intellectual property and data, to generate revenue. Determining the jurisdiction in which digital transactions should be taxed is complex due to the intangible nature of digital goods and services (Aslam and Shah, 2021). Traditional tax principles based on physical presence are ill-equipped to address this issue, leading to tax base erosion and profit shifting. Digital businesses can exploit gaps in tax laws and jurisdictional mismatches to minimize their tax liabilities (Calvin *et al.*, 2024). Strategies such as profit shifting to low-tax jurisdictions

* Corresponding author: Joseph Kuba Nembe

and transfer pricing manipulation can result in significant revenue losses for governments (Uwaoma *et al*., 2023; Familoni and Babatunde, 2024). The digital economy operates alongside traditional industries, creating disparities in taxation between digital and non-digital businesses. This can lead to distortions in the tax system and unfair competition, as digital businesses may enjoy lower effective tax rates compared to their brick-and-mortar counterparts (Oguejiofor *et al*., 2023; Anjorin *et al*., 2024). Tax authorities face difficulties in enforcing compliance among digital businesses, particularly those operating in multiple jurisdictions. The lack of standardized reporting requirements and data-sharing mechanisms exacerbates these challenges (Chawinga and Zinn, 2019). Rapid technological advancements, such as blockchain and cryptocurrency, further complicate taxation by introducing new modes of transactions and value creation. Tax authorities must adapt to these developments to ensure the integrity and fairness of the tax system.

Effective tax policies are essential for ensuring that digital businesses contribute their fair share to public revenues and maintaining the integrity of the tax system (Joel and Oguanob, 2024). Several reasons underscore the importance of designing and implementing robust policies to address the challenges of digitalization in taxation (Nembe *et al*., 2024). Taxation is a critical source of government revenue, funding essential public services and infrastructure. Failure to tax digital transactions adequately can result in revenue losses that undermine fiscal sustainability and public welfare programs (Ahmad *et al*., 2024). Effective tax policies promote fairness and equity by ensuring that all businesses, regardless of their business model or industry, contribute proportionately to the tax burden. Addressing the challenges of digitalization in taxation helps prevent tax avoidance and ensures a level playing field for businesses (Ikegwu, 2022). Digitalization transcends national borders, requiring coordinated efforts among countries to develop common tax standards and combat tax avoidance (Egieya *et al*., 2023). Effective policies facilitate international cooperation and dialogue, fostering trust and collaboration among tax authorities and stakeholders (Benjamin *et al*., 2024). By providing certainty and clarity in tax obligations, effective policies create an enabling environment for innovation and business growth. Digital businesses thrive in environments where regulatory frameworks are transparent and predictable, encouraging investment and entrepreneurship (Udeh *et al*., 2024; Asuzu, 2024). The challenges posed by digitalization in taxation necessitate the implementation of effective policies that address jurisdictional complexities, ensure tax fairness, promote compliance, and foster international cooperation (Bahtiar and Sudarmanto, 2024; Okoduwa *et al*., 2024). The importance of such policies cannot be overstated, as they are essential for maintaining the integrity of the tax system, safeguarding government revenues, and supporting sustainable economic development in the digital age.

2. Understanding digital economy and taxation

The digital economy refers to an economic system in which digital technologies, such as the internet, mobile devices, and data analytics, play a central role in the creation, distribution, and consumption of goods and services (Akindote *et al*., 2023; Adelakun, 2024). It encompasses a wide range of activities, including e-commerce, digital content creation, online advertising, cloud computing, and the sharing economy. In the digital economy, value is often created through the generation, processing, and analysis of data, as well as the development and monetization of digital assets, such as software, patents, and copyrights (Teece, 2018; Udeh *et al*., 2024). Digital technologies enable businesses to reach global markets, interact with customers in real-time, and leverage network effects to scale rapidly.

Digital businesses rely heavily on intangible assets, such as intellectual property, algorithms, and user data, to generate revenue (Ahmad *et al*., 2024). These assets are often difficult to quantify and value, presenting challenges for traditional accounting and taxation methods. Many digital businesses benefit from network effects, whereby the value of a product or service increases as more users participate. Platforms such as social media networks, online marketplaces, and sharing economy platforms leverage network effects to create and capture value (Familoni and Onyebuchi, 2024). Digital technologies enable businesses to operate across borders with minimal physical presence, reaching customers in different countries and jurisdictions (González and Jouanjean, 2017). This global reach challenges traditional notions of tax jurisdiction and raises questions about where value is created and where taxes should be paid. Data has emerged as a valuable asset in the digital economy, driving innovation and revenue generation. Digital businesses collect, analyze, and monetize vast amounts of user data, often through targeted advertising, personalized recommendations, and data-driven services. (Anjorin *et al*., 2024; Joel and Oguanobi, 2024) Digital businesses tend to be agile and adaptable, capable of quickly responding to market trends and consumer preferences. This agility enables them to innovate rapidly, disrupt traditional industries, and capture market share from incumbents (Akindote *et al*., 2023).

Traditional taxation frameworks were designed for a pre-digital era and are ill-suited to capture the complexities of the digital economy (Nembe *et al*., 2024). Many tax systems rely on the concept of physical presence to establish tax jurisdiction. However, digital businesses can generate substantial revenue in jurisdictions where they have no physical presence, posing challenges for determining tax obligations. International tax rules typically require a permanent establishment (PE) in a jurisdiction for taxation to apply (Ikegwu, 2017; Alahira *et al*., 2024). However, digital businesses often operate remotely or through digital platforms, making it difficult to establish a PE for tax purposes.

Transfer pricing rules, which govern the pricing of transactions between related entities within multinational corporations, struggle to address the value of intangible assets and digital transactions (Greil *et al*., 2019). This can lead to profit shifting and tax base erosion. Tax authorities face challenges in collecting and analyzing data on digital transactions, particularly those involving cross-border payments and digital services. The lack of standardized reporting requirements and data-sharing mechanisms hinders effective tax enforcement and compliance (Scott *et al*., 2024). The digital economy is characterized by its reliance on digital technologies, intangible assets, global reach, and agile business models. These characteristics pose challenges for traditional taxation frameworks, which struggle to capture the value created by digital transactions and determine tax obligations in a borderless digital environment. Addressing these challenges requires innovative approaches to taxation that take into account the unique dynamics of the digital economy and promote tax fairness and compliance across jurisdictions (Uwaoma *et al*., 2023; Benjamin *et al*., 2024).

3. Global efforts in digital tax reform

In response to the challenges posed by the digital economy, various international organizations and forums have initiated efforts to reform the global tax system (Hanna, 2018; Ayinla *et al*., 2024). Two prominent entities leading these efforts are the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) and the Group of Twenty (G20). The OECD has been at the forefront of international efforts to address the tax challenges of the digital economy through its Base Erosion and Profit Shifting (BEPS) project. In 2013, the OECD launched the BEPS project to develop comprehensive measures to prevent tax avoidance by multinational enterprises (MNEs). As part of this project, the OECD has undertaken specific workstreams focused on addressing the digital economy's tax challenges, including Action 1 on addressing the tax challenges of the digital economy (Anyanwu *et al*., 2023; Adewumi *et al*., 2024). The G20, a forum of the world's major economies, has also been actively involved in discussions on digital taxation. G20 finance ministers and central bank governors have issued statements acknowledging the need for fair and effective taxation of the digital economy (Kerschner and Somare, 2017). The G20 has endorsed the OECD's work on BEPS and digital taxation and has called for international cooperation to develop consensus-based solutions.

The proposed reforms in digital taxation aim to address the shortcomings of existing tax rules and ensure that digital businesses contribute their fair share to tax revenues. Establishing a New Nexus, one proposed reform involves redefining the concept of permanent establishment (PE) to capture digital activities in a jurisdiction. This would ensure that digital businesses with significant economic presence in a country are subject to taxation, even in the absence of physical presence. Another objective is to develop rules for allocating profits from digital transactions among jurisdictions (Okoye *et al*., 2024). This would prevent profit shifting and ensure that profits are taxed where value is created, such as where users are located or where digital assets are developed. Introducing Digital Services Taxes (DSTs), some countries have implemented or proposed DSTs, which impose taxes on revenues generated from digital services provided within their jurisdictions. The objective of DSTs is to capture revenue from digital businesses that may not have a physical presence but derive significant value from local users. Reforms also aim to enhance transparency and reporting requirements for digital businesses, ensuring that tax authorities have access to relevant information to enforce tax laws effectively (Atadoga *et al*., 2024).

The digital economy is dynamic and complex, making it challenging to develop and implement effective tax rules (Adelakun *et al*., 2024). There is uncertainty about the impact of proposed reforms on digital businesses, investment, and economic growth. There is a risk of double taxation if countries adopt unilateral measures, such as DSTs, without coordination (Olbert and Spengel, 2017). Double taxation could discourage cross-border trade and investment and lead to disputes between countries. Achieving consensus among countries with divergent interests and priorities is challenging. Developing common rules for digital taxation requires cooperation and compromise among jurisdictions with varying tax systems and economic structures (Coker *et al*., 2023). Some stakeholders argue that certain digital tax proposals, such as taxes on digital advertising or data usage, could stifle innovation and entrepreneurship in the digital economy. There are concerns that excessive taxation could deter investment in digital technologies and business models. Global efforts in digital tax reform aim to address the challenges of taxing the digital economy effectively (Eboigbe *et al*., 2023; Udeh *et al*., 2024). While there is broad recognition of the need for reform, achieving consensus on the scope and design of digital tax rules remains a complex and contentious issue. Balancing the interests of different stakeholders and ensuring that reforms promote tax fairness, economic growth, and innovation will be key challenges moving forward (Brys *et al*., 2016).

4. Key policy considerations in designing effective digital tax policies

Nexus Rules, establishing a clear nexus or connection between a digital business and a jurisdiction is essential for determining tax liability (Holderness, 2019). Traditional physical presence-based nexus rules may not adequately capture the digital economy's activities. Therefore, policymakers need to consider alternative criteria, such as significant economic presence or user engagement, to determine when a digital business should be subject to taxation in a jurisdiction. Once nexus is established, determining how to allocate profits from digital transactions among jurisdictions becomes crucial (Uwaoma *et al*., 2023). Existing transfer pricing rules may not accurately reflect the value created by digital businesses, particularly those based on intangible assets. Policymakers need to develop rules that allocate profits based on where value is created, such as user location, digital assets' development, or market presence (Familoni and Shoetan, 2024).

Thresholds and Scope of Taxation, setting appropriate thresholds for taxation is essential to avoid imposing undue burdens on small and medium-sized digital businesses. Policymakers should consider factors such as revenue, user base, or digital transaction volume when determining thresholds for tax liability (Ejairu *et al*., 2024; Anjorin *et al*., 2024). Thresholds can also vary based on the type of digital business or industry to ensure proportionate taxation. Determining the scope of taxation for digital transactions involves defining which types of digital activities or services are subject to tax. Policymakers may consider imposing taxes on digital advertising, online marketplaces, digital content sales, or data processing services (Joel and Oguanobi, 2024). However, they must balance the need for tax revenue with the potential impact on digital innovation and consumer welfare.

Data and Information Sharing Mechanisms, enhancing data collection capabilities is essential for enforcing digital tax policies effectively (Migai *et al*., 2018). Tax authorities may need access to data on digital transactions, user behavior, and revenue streams to assess tax liabilities accurately. Policymakers should explore ways to improve data collection mechanisms, such as requiring digital businesses to report relevant financial information or collaborating with technology companies to access transaction data. International cooperation and information sharing among tax authorities are crucial for addressing cross-border tax evasion and profit shifting (Ihemereze *et al*., 2023). Policymakers should promote the exchange of information on digital businesses' activities and revenues among jurisdictions to prevent tax avoidance and ensure compliance with tax laws. Establishing multilateral agreements or leveraging existing international frameworks can facilitate information sharing and collaboration (Scott *et al*., 2024). Implementing clear and transparent compliance requirements is essential for encouraging digital businesses to fulfill their tax obligations (Ikwue *et al*., 2023). Policymakers should provide guidance on tax reporting, record-keeping, and compliance procedures to help businesses navigate complex tax rules effectively. Simplifying compliance requirements for small businesses and startups can promote tax compliance and reduce administrative burdens (Chittenden *et al*., 2002). Strengthening enforcement mechanisms is critical for deterring tax evasion and ensuring tax compliance among digital businesses. Tax authorities may employ various enforcement measures, such as audits, penalties for non-compliance, and technology-driven monitoring systems. Collaboration with technology companies and leveraging data analytics can enhance tax enforcement capabilities and identify non-compliant taxpayers more effectively (Atadoga *et al*., 2024).

Conducting comprehensive impact assessments is essential for evaluating the effectiveness and potential consequences of digital tax policies. Policymakers should analyze the economic, social, and regulatory impacts of proposed tax reforms, considering factors such as investment, innovation, consumer welfare, and international competitiveness (Familoni and Onyebuchi, 2024). Impact assessments can help identify unintended consequences and refine policy measures accordingly. Recognizing the dynamic nature of the digital economy, policymakers should build flexibility into tax policy frameworks to accommodate technological advancements and changing business models (Anyanwu *et al*., 2024). Regular reviews and updates of tax policies are necessary to ensure they remain relevant and effective in the face of evolving digital trends. Policymakers should engage with stakeholders, including businesses, academics, and civil society, to solicit feedback and adapt tax policies to changing circumstances. Designing effective digital tax policies requires careful consideration of nexus and profit allocation rules, thresholds and scope of taxation, data and information sharing mechanisms, compliance and enforcement measures, and flexibility in policy implementation. By addressing these key policy considerations, policymakers can develop tax frameworks that promote tax fairness, compliance, and revenue generation while supporting innovation and economic growth in the digital age (Prichard *et al*., 2019).

5. Case studies and best practices

United Kingdom (UK), the UK introduced the Digital Services Tax (DST) in April 2020, which imposes a 2% tax on revenues generated by large digital businesses from UK users. The DST applies to revenues from online advertising, social media platforms, and online marketplaces. Despite criticism from some quarters, the UK's DST has been effective in generating additional tax revenue from digital giants operating in the country (Nwankwo *et al*., 2024).

France implemented a similar DST in 2019, known as the GAFA tax (an acronym for Google, Apple, Facebook, and Amazon). The GAFA tax imposes a 3% tax on revenues generated by large digital companies from French users. France's approach has been applauded for its proactive stance in taxing digital giants and addressing tax avoidance in the digital economy.

Australia introduced legislation in 2020 to tax digital advertising services provided by multinational tech companies. The legislation requires digital platforms earning over $75 million in Australian revenue to pay a 3% tax on advertising revenue derived from Australian users. Australia's approach demonstrates a targeted approach to taxing digital activities while ensuring a level playing field for local businesses.

Lessons Learned and Insights for Designing Effective Policies, clear and specific tax policies targeting digital activities are crucial for effective implementation. Ambiguity in tax laws can lead to compliance challenges and disputes between tax authorities and businesses (Oguejiofor *et al*., 2023; Anjorin *et al*., 2024). Therefore, policymakers should provide clear guidelines on the scope and application of digital tax rules. Collaboration among countries is essential for addressing the challenges of digital taxation effectively. Policymakers should engage in multilateral discussions and negotiations to develop common tax standards and prevent tax base erosion and profit shifting in the digital economy (Ogunjobi *et al*., 2023). Designing digital tax policies requires balancing the interests of various stakeholders, including governments, businesses, consumers, and taxpayers. Policymakers should consider the potential impact of tax reforms on innovation, investment, and economic growth while ensuring tax fairness and compliance.

Comparative Analysis of Different Approaches and Their Outcomes; DST vs. Profit Allocation Rules, some countries have opted for digital services taxes (DSTs) to tax revenues generated from digital activities, while others have focused on revising profit allocation rules to allocate profits based on user location or digital assets' development (Joel and Oguanobi, 2024; Elufioye *et al*., 2024). A comparative analysis of these approaches can provide insights into their effectiveness in capturing value created by digital businesses. Different tax approaches may have varying impacts on digital innovation and investment. For example, overly burdensome taxes on digital activities could discourage investment in technology and entrepreneurship. Comparative analysis can help policymakers assess the trade-offs between tax revenue generation and innovation incentives. Tax compliance and enforcement are critical considerations in designing digital tax policies. Comparative analysis can highlight differences in compliance rates and enforcement mechanisms across countries and identify best practices for promoting tax compliance among digital businesses (Ojeyinka and Omaghomi, 2024; Udeh *et al*., 2024). Case studies and comparative analysis of digital tax policies offer valuable insights and lessons for designing effective tax frameworks in the digital economy. By examining successful approaches, identifying key challenges, and learning from past experiences, policymakers can develop tax policies that promote tax fairness, compliance, and revenue generation while supporting innovation and economic growth in the digital age.

6. Implications for businesses and taxpayers

Digital tax reforms may result in multinational corporations (MNCs) facing higher tax liabilities, particularly if they operate in jurisdictions with stringent digital tax policies (Familoni, 2024). Reforms such as digital services taxes (DSTs) or revised profit allocation rules could lead to additional tax obligations for MNCs with significant digital activities. Adapting to new digital tax rules may impose compliance costs on MNCs, including investments in tax compliance systems, staff training, and administrative expenses (Okorie *et al*., 2024). Compliance with multiple and sometimes conflicting tax regimes across jurisdictions can add complexity and increase compliance burdens for MNCs. MNCs may need to restructure their operations and business models to comply with digital tax reforms. This could involve changes to supply chains, pricing strategies, and investment decisions to optimize tax outcomes and minimize tax exposure in different jurisdictions. MNCs may need to reassess their tax planning strategies in light of digital tax reforms (Nembe *et al*., 2024). This may involve reevaluating transfer pricing arrangements, intercompany transactions, and profit allocation methodologies to ensure compliance with new tax rules and minimize tax risks.

Businesses face challenges in understanding and complying with the complex and evolving digital tax rules across multiple jurisdictions (Anjorin *et al*., 2024). To address this challenge, businesses should invest in tax expertise and resources to navigate the intricacies of digital tax compliance effectively (Okorie *et al*., 2024). Compliance with digital tax rules often requires businesses to collect and report extensive data on digital transactions, user activities, and revenue streams. Implementing robust data collection and reporting systems is essential for businesses to meet their tax obligations accurately and efficiently. Digital tax rules are subject to frequent regulatory changes and updates (Joel and Oguanobi, 2024). Businesses must stay informed about new tax developments and adapt their compliance processes accordingly. Engaging with tax authorities, industry associations, and tax advisors can help businesses stay abreast of regulatory changes and emerging best practices. Leveraging technology solutions, such as tax automation software and data analytics tools, can streamline compliance processes and improve accuracy and efficiency. Investing in technology infrastructure and digital capabilities enables businesses to automate routine tax tasks, reduce manual errors, and enhance compliance.

Digital tax reforms, such as DSTs or taxes on digital advertising, may lead to higher costs for digital services and products, which could be passed on to consumers through price increases (Onyekwelu *et al*., 2024). This could impact consumer purchasing power and consumption patterns, particularly for digital goods and services. Digital tax reforms could influence innovation and entrepreneurship in the digital economy (Uwaoma *et al*., 2023; Adelakun, 2023). Higher tax burdens and compliance costs may deter startups and small businesses from entering the digital market or investing in new technologies. Conversely, tax incentives and simplified tax regimes could stimulate innovation and business growth in the digital sector. Digital tax reforms may affect cross-border trade and investment flows by influencing business decisions on market entry, expansion, and localization. Complex and divergent tax rules across jurisdictions could create barriers to trade and investment, hindering the growth of digital businesses in international markets (Oriekhoe *et al*., 2023). Businesses may engage in regulatory arbitrage to minimize tax liabilities by exploiting differences in tax regimes and jurisdictions. This could lead to distortions in the digital economy and unfair competition among businesses operating in different tax environments. Policymakers must consider these potential effects and strive to create a level playing field for businesses while ensuring tax fairness and compliance. Digital tax reforms have significant implications for businesses, taxpayers, and the digital economy as a whole. While reforms aim to address tax challenges in the digital age and promote tax fairness and compliance, they also present compliance challenges, strategic considerations, and potential impacts on consumer behavior and economic growth. Businesses must adapt to the evolving digital tax landscape and develop proactive strategies to navigate compliance challenges and mitigate tax risks effectively (Oriekhoe *et al*., 2024). Similarly, policymakers must carefully consider the broader economic and social implications of digital tax reforms to ensure they support innovation, competitiveness, and sustainable growth in the digital economy (Bello *et al*., 2023; Joel and Oguanobi, 2024).

7. Future trends and emerging issues

The continued growth of the digital economy is driven by advancements in data analytics, artificial intelligence (AI), and machine learning. These technologies enable businesses to extract valuable insights from large datasets, leading to new business models and revenue streams (Ikegwu, 2018; Reis *et al*., 2024). From a tax perspective, the proliferation of data-driven businesses raises questions about how to tax data as a source of value creation and economic activity. Blockchain technology and cryptocurrencies are reshaping financial transactions and digital commerce. The decentralized nature of blockchain networks challenges traditional tax reporting and enforcement mechanisms. Policymakers are grappling with how to tax transactions conducted using cryptocurrencies and ensure compliance in a decentralized ecosystem (Usman *et al*., 2024). The rise of digital platforms, such as e-commerce marketplaces, ride-sharing apps, and online service platforms, has transformed the way goods and services are exchanged. Platform businesses facilitate transactions between users, creating new challenges for tax authorities in determining the tax liability of platform operators and sellers on the platform. The COVID-19 pandemic accelerated the adoption of remote work arrangements, leading to a surge in digital nomadism and cross-border telecommuting. Taxation of remote workers and digital nomads presents jurisdictional challenges, as individuals may live and work in different countries, blurring the lines of tax residency and source of income (Obi *et al*., 2018; Udeh *et al*., 2024).

As digital transactions become increasingly globalized, there is a growing need for harmonization of digital tax rules across jurisdictions (Bello *et al*., 2023). International collaboration and coordination are essential to develop common tax standards and prevent double taxation or tax evasion (Onunka *et al*., 20213; Joel and Oguanobi, 2024). Future policy development efforts may focus on achieving consensus on key issues such as nexus rules, profit allocation mechanisms, and data sharing. Existing international tax treaties may need to be revised to reflect the realities of the digital economy. Policymakers may consider updating treaty provisions related to permanent establishment, transfer pricing, and dispute resolution mechanisms to ensure they remain relevant and effective in a digitalized world (Uwaoma *et al*., 2023; Obiki-Osafiele *et al*., 2023). Standardized reporting requirements for digital transactions could streamline tax compliance and enforcement efforts (University of Melbourne, 2020). Policymakers may explore the development of digital tax reporting standards, similar to the Common Reporting Standard (CRS) for financial account information, to facilitate data sharing and improve transparency in digital taxation (Udeh, 2012; Maxwell *et al*., 2024). Tax authorities are increasingly leveraging technology and data analytics to enhance tax administration and enforcement capabilities (Adelakun, 2022). Future policy development may focus on promoting innovation in tax administration, such as the use of artificial intelligence and machine learning algorithms for risk assessment and compliance monitoring (Okogwu *et al*., 2023; Onunka *et al*., 2023).

International organizations such as the OECD and G20 play a crucial role in facilitating multilateral dialogues on digital taxation. Continued collaboration among countries and stakeholders is essential to develop consensus-based solutions to the tax challenges of the digital economy (Joel and Oguanobi, 2024). Collaboration between governments and the private sector can drive innovation and best practices in digital taxation. Public-private partnerships can foster knowledge sharing, capacity building, and joint initiatives to address common tax challenges and promote tax compliance. Civil society organizations, academia, and advocacy groups play a vital role in shaping tax policy debates and ensuring transparency and accountability in tax administration. (Alabi *et al*., 2023) Policymakers should engage with civil society stakeholders to solicit feedback, address concerns, and build public trust in digital tax reforms (Eboigbe *et al*., 2023). Developing countries often lack the resources and expertise to effectively implement digital tax policies. International cooperation and capacity-building initiatives can support developing countries in building institutional capacity, enhancing tax administration capabilities, and participating in global tax governance processes (Udeh *et al*., 2024; Egieya *et al*., 2024). Future trends and emerging issues in digital taxation are shaped by the evolution of digital technologies, the need for policy development and reform, and collaboration among countries and stakeholders. By anticipating these trends and addressing emerging challenges proactively, policymakers can develop effective tax policies that promote tax fairness, compliance, and revenue generation in the digital economy.

8. Conclusion

The digital economy presents unique challenges to traditional tax systems, including difficulties in establishing tax jurisdiction, erosion of the tax base, and compliance challenges. International efforts led by organizations such as the OECD and G20 aim to address digital tax challenges through initiatives like the BEPS project and discussions on digital taxation. Effective digital tax policies must consider nexus and profit allocation rules, thresholds and scope of taxation, data and information sharing mechanisms, compliance and enforcement measures, and flexibility in policy implementation. Case studies of successful digital tax policies in various countries provide valuable insights into policy design and implementation. Compliance challenges for businesses include navigating complex tax rules, data collection and reporting, monitoring regulatory changes, and investing in technology. Digital tax reforms have implications for consumers and the digital economy, impacting consumer prices, innovation, cross-border trade, and regulatory arbitrage.

Addressing the challenges of digital taxation requires ongoing dialogue, collaboration, and adaptation among policymakers, businesses, and other stakeholders. The digital economy is dynamic and constantly evolving, necessitating continuous monitoring of trends and developments in technology, business practices, and regulatory environments. Ongoing dialogue and engagement among countries and stakeholders are essential for developing consensus-based solutions to digital tax challenges, harmonizing tax rules, and preventing harmful tax competition. Policymakers must remain open to feedback, insights, and lessons learned from implementation experiences to refine and improve tax policies over time. Moreover, adaptability and flexibility are critical in responding to emerging issues and unforeseen challenges in digital taxation. Policymakers should be prepared to adjust tax policies and regulatory frameworks in light of technological advancements, changes in business models, and shifts in global economic trends.

Policymakers must prioritize digital tax reforms and engage in multilateral dialogues to develop common tax standards and address cross-border tax challenges effectively. They should also invest in capacity building and technology infrastructure to enhance tax administration capabilities and support compliance efforts. Businesses should proactively adapt to evolving digital tax rules and invest in tax expertise, compliance systems, and technology solutions to navigate compliance challenges effectively. Collaboration with tax authorities and industry associations can help businesses stay informed about regulatory changes and best practices. International organizations such as the OECD, G20, and United Nations have a crucial role in facilitating cooperation and coordination among countries in shaping the future of digital taxation. They should continue to provide guidance, technical assistance, and capacity-building support to help countries implement digital tax reforms effectively. Addressing the challenges of digital taxation requires collective action and collaboration among policymakers, businesses, and international organizations. By embracing ongoing dialogue, adaptation, and cooperation, stakeholders can develop effective tax policies that promote tax fairness, compliance, and revenue generation in the digital economy, ensuring a sustainable and equitable tax system for all.

Compliance with ethical standard

Disclosure of conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest to be disclosed.

References

[1] Adelakun, B.O., 2022. Ethical Considerations in the Use of AI for Auditing: Balancing Innovation and Integrity. *European Journal of Accounting, Auditing and Finance Research*, *10*(12), pp.91-108.

[2] Adelakun, B.O., 2023. How Technology Can Aid Tax Compliance in the Us Economy. *Journal of Knowledge Learning and Science Technology ISSN: 2959-6386 (online)*, *2*(2), pp.491-499.

[3] Adelakun, B.O., 2023. Tax Compliance in the Gig Economy: The Need for Transparency and Accountability. *Journal of Knowledge Learning and Science Technology ISSN: 2959-6386 (online)*, *1*(1), pp.191-198.

[4] Adelakun, B.O., 2024. 1Illinois State University.

[5] Adelakun, B.O., Nembe, J.K., Oguejiofor, B.B., Akpuokwe, C.U. and Bakare, S.S., 2024. Legal frameworks and tax compliance in the digital economy: a finance perspective. *Engineering Science and Technology Journal*, *5*(3), pp.844-853.

[6] Adewumi, A., Oshioste, E.E., Asuzu, O.F., Ndubuisi, N.L., Awonnuga, K.F. and Daraojimba, O.H., 2024. Business intelligence tools in finance: A review of trends in the USA and Africa. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *21*(3), pp.608-616.

[7] Afolabi, S. 2024. PERCEIVED EFFECT OF INSECURITY ON THE PERFORMANCE OF WOMEN ENTREPRENEURS IN NIGERIA. *FUW-International Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, *9*(2). Retrieved from https://fuw-ijmss.com.ng/index.php/fijmss/article/view/100

[8] Ahmad, I. A. I., Akagha, O. V., Dawodu, S. O., Obi, O. C., Anyanwu, A. C., and Onwusinkwue, S. (2024). Innovation management in tech start-ups: A review of strategies for growth and sustainability. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, *11*(1), 807-816.

[9] Ahmad, I. A. I., Anyanwu, A. C., Onwusinkwue, S., Dawodu, S. O., Akagha, O. V., and Ejairu, E. (2024). CYBERSECURITY CHALLENGES IN SMART CITIES: A CASE REVIEW OF AFRICAN METROPOLISES. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, *5*(2), 254-269.

[10] Akindote, O. J., Adegbite, A. O., Dawodu, S. O., Omotosho, A., and Anyanwu, A. (2023). Innovation in data storage technologies: from cloud computing to edge computing. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, *4*(3), 273-299.

[11] Akindote, O. J., Adegbite, A. O., Dawodu, S. O., Omotosho, A., and Anyanwu, A. (2023). Innovation in data storage technologies: from cloud computing to edge computing. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, *4*(3), 273-299.

[12] Alabi, A.M., Oguntoyinbo, F.N., Abioye, K.M. and John-Ladega, A.A. 2023. Risk management in Africa's financial landscape: A review. International Journal of Advanced Economics, 5(8), pp.239-257.

[13] Alahira, J., Mhlongo, N. Z., Ajayi-Nifise, A. O., Olubusola, O., Daraojimba, A. I., and Oguejiofor, B. B. CROSS-BORDER TAX CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS IN GLOBAL FINANCE.

[14] Anjorin, K.F., Raji, M.A. and Olodo, H.B., 2024. A review of strategic decision-making in marketing through big data and analytics. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, *5*(5), pp.1126-1144.

[15] Anjorin, K.F., Raji, M.A. and Olodo, H.B., 2024. The influence of social media marketing on consumer behavior in the retail industry: A comprehensive review. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(5), pp.1547-1580.

[16] Anjorin, K.F., Raji, M.A. and Olodo, H.B., 2024. Voice assistants and US consumer behavior: A comprehensive review: investigating the role and influence of voice-activated technologies on shopping habits and brand loyalty. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(5), pp.861-890.

[17] Anjorin, K.F., Raji, M.A., Olodo, H.B. and Oyeyemi, O.P., 2024. Harnessing artificial intelligence to develop strategic marketing goals. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(5), pp.1625-1650.

[18] Anjorin, K.F., Raji, M.A., Olodo, H.B. and Oyeyemi, O.P., 2024. The influence of consumer behavior on sustainable marketing efforts. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(5), pp.1651-1676.

[19] Anyanwu, A., Dawodu, S. O., Omotosho, A., Akindote, O. J., and Ewuga, S. K. (2023). Review of blockchain technology in government systems: Applications and impacts in the USA.

[20] Anyanwu, A., Olorunsogo, T., Abrahams, T. O., Akindote, O. J., and Reis, O. (2024). DATA CONFIDENTIALITY AND INTEGRITY: A REVIEW OF ACCOUNTING AND CYBERSECURITY CONTROLS IN SUPERANNUATION ORGANIZATIONS. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, *5*(1), 237-253.

[21] Aslam, A., and Shah, A. (2021). Taxing the digital economy. *Corporate Income Taxes under Pressure. Why Reform Is Needed and How It Could Be Designed. Washington, USA: International Monetary Fund*, 189-226.

[22] Asuzu, O.F. 2024. Integrating behavioral science into operational risk management: A new paradigm for the FMCG sector. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(3), pp. 263-273.

[23] Atadoga, A., Asuzu, O.F., Ayinla, B.S., Ndubuisi, N.L., Ike, C.U., Adeleye, R.A. 2024. Blockchain technology in modern accounting: A comprehensive review and its implementation challenges.

[24] Atadoga, J. O., Nembe, J. K., Mhlongo, N. Z., Ajayi-Nifise, A. O., Olubusola, O., Daraojimba, A. I., and Oguejiofor, B. B. (2024). CROSS-BORDER TAX CHALLENGES AND SOLUTIONS IN GLOBAL FINANCE. *Finance and Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(2), 252-261.

[25] Ayinla, B.S., Ndubuisi, N.L., Atadoga, A., Asuzu, O.F., Ike, C.U., Adeleye, R.A. 2024. Enhancing accounting operations through cloud computing: A review and implementation guide. World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews, 21(2), pp. 1935-1949.

[26] Bahtiar, M. Y., and Sudarmanto, E. (2024). DIGITAL PARADISE: NAVIGATING THE COMPLEXITY OF TAXATION IN THE ERA OF TECHNOLOGICAL TRANSFORMATION. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC LITERATURE*, *2*(3), 884-900.

[27] Bahtiar, M. Y., and Sudarmanto, E. (2024). DIGITAL PARADISE: NAVIGATING THE COMPLEXITY OF TAXATION IN THE ERA OF TECHNOLOGICAL TRANSFORMATION. *INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ECONOMIC LITERATURE*, *2*(3), 884-900.

[28] Bello, O.A., Folorunso, A., Onwuchekwa, J. and Ejiofor, O.E., 2023. A Comprehensive Framework for Strengthening USA Financial Cybersecurity: Integrating Machine Learning and AI in Fraud Detection Systems. *European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, *11*(6), pp.62-83.

[29] Bello, O.A., Ogundipe, A., Mohammed, D., Adebola, F. and Alonge, O.A., 2023. AI-Driven Approaches for Real-Time Fraud Detection in US Financial Transactions: Challenges and Opportunities. *European Journal of Computer Science and Information Technology*, *11*(6), pp.84-102.

[30] Benjamin, L.B., Adegbola, A.E., Amajuoyi, P., Adegbola, M.D. and Adeusi, K.B., 2024. Digital transformation in SMEs: Identifying cybersecurity risks and developing effective mitigation strategies. *Global Journal of Engineering and Technology Advances*, *19*(2), pp.134-153.

[31] Benjamin, L.B., Amajuoyi, P. and Adeusi, K.B., 2024. Marketing, communication, banking, and Fintech: personalization in Fintech marketing, enhancing customer communication for financial inclusion. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(5), pp.1687-1701.

[32] Brys, B., Perret, S., Thomas, A., and O'Reilly, P. (2016). Tax design for inclusive economic growth.

[33] Calvin, O. Y., Mustapha , H. A., Afolabi, S. ., and Moriki, B. S. 2024. Abusive leadership, job stress and SMES employees 'turnover intentions in Nigeria: Mediating effect of emotional exhaustion. *International Journal of Intellectual Discourse*, *7*(1), 146–166. Retrieved from https://ijidjournal.org/index.php/ijid/article/view/493

[34] Chawinga, W. D., and Zinn, S. (2019). Global perspectives of research data sharing: A systematic literature review. *Library and Information Science Research*, *41*(2), 109-122.

[35] Chittenden, F., Kauser, S., and Poutziouris, P. (2002). *Regulatory burdens of small business: A literature review*. SBS Research Directorate.

[36] Coker, J. O., Uzougbo, N. S., Oguejiofor, B. B., and Akagha, O. V. (2023). The Role Of Legal Practitioners In Mitigating Corporate Risks In Nigeria: A Comprehensive Review Of Existing Literature On The Strategies And Approaches Adopted By Legal Practitioners In NIGERIA TO MITIGATE CORPORATE RISKS. *Finance and Accounting Research Journal*, *5*(10), 309-332.

[37] Eboigbe, E. O., Farayola, O. A., Olatoye, F. O., Nnabugwu, O. C., and Daraojimba, C. (2023). Business intelligence transformation through AI and data analytics. *Engineering Science and Technology Journal*, *4*(5), 285-307.

[38] Eboigbe, E. O., Farayola, O. A., Olatoye, F. O., Nnabugwu, O. C., and Daraojimba, C. (2023). Business intelligence transformation through AI and data analytics. *Engineering Science and Technology Journal*, *4*(5), 285-307.

[39] Egieya, Z. E., Ewuga, S. K., Omotosho, A., Adegbite, A. O., and Oriekhoe, O. I. (2023). A review of sustainable entrepreneurship practices and their impact on long-term business viability. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *20*(3), 1283-1292.

[40] Egieya, Z.E., Obiki-Osafiele, A.N., Ikwue, U., Eyo-Udo, N.L. and Daraojimba, C. 2024. Comparative analysis of workforce efficiency, customer engagement, and risk management strategies: Lessons from Nigeria and the USA. International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research, 6(2), pp.439-450.

[41] Ejairu, E., Mhlongo, N. Z., Odeyemi, O., Nwankwo, E. E., and Odunaiya, O. G. (2024). Blockchain in global supply chains: A comparative review of USA and African practices. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, *11*(1), 2093-2100.

[42] Elufioye, O.A., Ndubuisi, N.L., Daraojimba, R.E., Awonuga, K.F., Ayanponle, L.O. and Asuzu, O.F., 2024. Reviewing employee well-being and mental health initiatives in contemporary HR Practices. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, *11*(1), pp.828-840.

[43] Familoni, B.T. and Babatunde, S.O., 2024. User experience (UX) design in medical products: theoretical foundations and development best practices. *Engineering Science and Technology Journal*, *5*(3), pp.1125-1148.

[44] Familoni, B.T. and Onyebuchi, N.C., 2024. Advancements and challenges in AI integration for technical literacy: a systematic review. *Engineering Science and Technology Journal*, *5*(4), pp.1415-1430.

[45] Familoni, B.T. and Onyebuchi, N.C., 2024. Augmented and virtual reality in us education: a review: analyzing the impact, effectiveness, and future prospects of ar/vr tools in enhancing learning experiences. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(4), pp.642-663.

[46] Familoni, B.T. and Shoetan, P.O., 2024. Cybersecurity in the financial sector: a comparative analysis of the USA and Nigeria. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, *5*(4), pp.850-877.

[47] Familoni, B.T., 2024. Cybersecurity challenges in the age of AI: theoretical approaches and practical solutions. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, *5*(3), pp.703-724.

[48] González, J. L., and Jouanjean, M. A. (2017). Digital trade: developing a framework for analysis.

[49] Greil, S., Muller, R., and Olbert, M. (2019). Transfer pricing for digital business models: Early evidence of challenges and options for reform. *World Tax J.*, 557.

[50] Hanna, N. (2018). A role for the state in the digital age. *Journal of Innovation and Entrepreneurship*, *7*(1), 5.

[51] Holderness, H. R. (2019). Navigating 21st Century Tax Jurisdiction. *Md. L. Rev.*, *79*, 1.

[52] Ihemereze, K. C., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Egbokhaebho, B. A., Daraojimba, C., Ikwue, U., and Nwankwo, E. E. (2023). IMPACT OF MONETARY INCENTIVES ON EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN THE NIGERIAN AUTOMOTIVE SECTOR: A CASE STUDY. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*, *5*(7), 162-186.

[53] Ikegwu, C., 2017. An appraisal of technological advancement in the Nigerian legal system. *ABUAD Law Students' Society Journal (ALSSJ)*.

[54] Ikegwu, C., 2018. A Critical Appraisal of Cybercrimes in Nigeria*. Afe Babalola University*

[55] Ikegwu, C., 2022. GOVERNANCE CHALLENGES FACED BY THE BITCOIN ECOSYSTEM: THE WAY FORWARD.

[56] Ikwue, U., Ekwezia, A. V., Oguejiofor, B. B., Agho, M. O., and Daraojimba, C. (2023). Sustainable Investment Strategies In Pension Fund Management: A Comparative Review Of Esg Principles Adoption In The US AND NIGERIA. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *5*(9), 652-673.

[57] Joel, O.T. and Oguanobi, V.U., 2024. Data-driven strategies for business expansion: Utilizing predictive analytics for enhanced profitability and opportunity identification. *International Journal of Frontiers in Engineering and Technology Research*, *6*(02), pp.071-081.

[58] Joel, O.T. and Oguanobi, V.U., 2024. Entrepreneurial leadership in startups and SMEs: Critical lessons from building and sustaining growth. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(5), pp.1441-1456.

[59] Joel, O.T. and Oguanobi, V.U., 2024. Future directions in geological research impacting renewable energy and carbon capture: a synthesis of sustainable management techniques. *International Journal of Frontiers in Science and Technology Research*, *6*(02), pp.071-083.

[60] Joel, O.T. and Oguanobi, V.U., 2024. Geological data utilization in renewable energy mapping and volcanic region carbon storage feasibility. *Open Access Research Journal of Engineering and Technology*, *6*(02), pp.063-074.

[61] Joel, O.T. and Oguanobi, V.U., 2024. Geological survey techniques and carbon storage: optimizing renewable energy site selection and carbon sequestration. *Open Access Research Journal of Science and Technology*, *11*(1), pp.039-051.

[62] Joel, O.T. and Oguanobi, V.U., 2024. Geotechnical assessments for renewable energy infrastructure: ensuring stability in wind and solar projects. *Engineering Science and Technology Journal*, *5*(5), pp.1588-1605.

[63] Joel, O.T. and Oguanobi, V.U., 2024. Leadership and management in high-growth environments: effective strategies for the clean energy sector. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(5), pp.1423-1440.

[64] Joel, O.T. and Oguanobi, V.U., 2024. Navigating business transformation and strategic decision-making in multinational energy corporations with geodata. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(5), pp.801-818.

[65] Kerschner, I., and Somare, M. (Eds.). (2017). *Taxation in a Global Digital Economy: Schriftenreihe IStR Band 107* (Vol. 107). Linde Verlag GmbH.

[66] Maxwell, C.D.A., Alabi, A.M., Oguntoyinbo, F.N. and Abioye, K.M. (2024). Banking resilience in Africa: A review of strategies shielding the continent's economy. Economic Growth and Environment Sustainability (EGNES), 3(1), pp.24-30.

[67] Migai, C. O., de Jong, J., and Owens, J. P. (2018). The sharing economy: Turning challenges into compliance opportunities for tax administrations. *eJTR*, *16*, 395.

[68] Mustapha, A. H., Ojeleye, Y. C., and Afolabi, S. 2024. WORKFORCE DIVERSITY AND EMPLOYEE PERFORMANCE IN TELECOMMUNICATION COMPANIES IN NIGERIA: CAN SELF EFFICACY ACCENTUATE THE RELATIONSHIP?. *FUW-International Journal of Management and Social Sciences*, *9*(1), 44–67. Retrieved from https://fuw-ijmss.com.ng/index.php/fijmss/article/view/63

[69] Nembe, J. K., Atadoga, J. O., Mhlongo, N. Z., Falaiye, T., Olubusola, O., Daraojimba, A. I., and Oguejiofor, B. B. (2024). THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ENHANCING TAX COMPLIANCE AND FINANCIAL REGULATION. *Finance and Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(2), 241-251.

[70] Nembe, J.K., Atadoga, J.O., Adelakun, B.O., Odeyemi, O. and Oguejiofor, B.B., 2024. LEGAL IMPLICATIONS OF BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY FOR TAX COMPLIANCE AND FINANCIAL REGULATION. *Finance and Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(2), pp.262-270.

[71] Nembe, J.K., Atadoga, J.O., Mhlongo, N.Z., Falaiye, T., Olubusola, O., Daraojimba, A.I. and Oguejiofor, B.B., 2024. THE ROLE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ENHANCING TAX COMPLIANCE AND FINANCIAL REGULATION. *Finance and Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(2), pp.241-251.

[72] Nwankwo, T. C., Ejairu, E., Awonuga, K. F., Usman, F. O., and Nwankwo, E. E. (2024). Conceptualizing sustainable supply chain resilience: Critical materials manufacturing in Africa as a catalyst for change. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, *11*(1), 2427-2437.

[73] Nwankwo, T. C., Ejairu, E., Awonuga, K. F., Usman, F. O., and Nwankwo, E. E. (2024). Conceptualizing sustainable supply chain resilience: Critical materials manufacturing in Africa as a catalyst for change. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, *11*(1), 2427-2437.

[74] Obi, O. C., Akagha, O. V., Dawodu, S. O., Anyanwu, A. C., Onwusinkwue, S., and Ahmad, I. A. I. (2024). COMPREHENSIVE REVIEW ON CYBERSECURITY: MODERN THREATS AND ADVANCED DEFENSE STRATEGIES. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, *5*(2), 293-310.

[75] Obiki-Osafiele, A.N., Ikwue, U., Eyo-Udo, N.L. and Daraojimba, C. (2023). Journal of Third World Economics (JTWE), 1(2), pp.74-82.

[76] Obiki-Osafiele, C.D.A.N., Ikwue, U. and Eyo-Udo, N.L. 2023. Empowering futures: An in-depth study of life insurance demand in Nigeria. Journal of Third World Economics (JTWE), 1(3), pp.51-59.

[77] Oguejiofor, B. B., Omotosho, A., Abioye, K. M., Alabi, A. M., Oguntoyinbo, F. N., Daraojimba, A. I., and Daraojimba, C. (2023). A review on data-driven regulatory compliance in Nigeria. *International Journal of applied research in social sciences*, *5*(8), 231-243.

[78] Oguejiofor, B. B., Uzougbo, N. S., Kolade, A. O., Raji, A., and Daraojimba, C. (2023). Review of Successful Global Public-Private Partnerships: Extracting key Strategies for Effective US Financial Collaborations. *International Journal of Research and Scientific Innovation*, *10*(8), 312-331.

[79] Ogunjobi, O. A., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Egbokhaebho, B. A., Daraojimba, C., Ikwue, U., and Banso, A. A. (2023). Analyzing historical trade dynamics and contemporary impacts of emerging materials technologies on international exchange and us strategy. *Engineering Science and Technology Journal*, *4*(3), 101-119.

[80] Ojeyinka, O. T., and Omaghomi, T. T. (2024). Climate change and zoonotic diseases: a conceptual framework for predicting and managing health risks in the USA. *GSC Biological and Pharmaceutical Sciences*, *26*(3), 027-036.

[81] Okoduwa, I.O., Ashiwaju, B.I., Arowoogun, J.O., Awonuga, K.F., Asuzu, O.F. and Ndubuisi, N.L., 2024. Reviewing the use of big data in supply chain optimization in the USA. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *21*(2), pp.033-040.

[82] Okogwu, C., Agho, M. O., Adeyinka, M. A., Odulaja, B. A., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Daraojimba, C., and Banso, A. A. (2023). Exploring the integration of sustainable materials in supply chain management for environmental impact. *Engineering Science and Technology Journal*, *4*(3), 49-65.

[83] Okorie, G. N., Udeh, C. A., Adaga, E. M., DaraOjimba, O. D., and Oriekhoe, O. I. (2024). DIGITAL MARKETING IN THE AGE OF IOT: A REVIEW OF TRENDS AND IMPACTS. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(1), 104-131.

[84] Okorie, G. N., Udeh, C. A., Adaga, E. M., DaraOjimba, O. D., and Oriekhoe, O. I. (2024). ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS: A REVIEW: INVESTIGATING ETHICAL PRACTICES AND CHALLENGES IN MODERN DATA COLLECTION AND ANALYSIS. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(1), 1-22.

[85] Okoye, C. C., Ofodile, O. C., Tula, S. T., Nifise, A. O. A., Falaiye, T., Ejairu, E., and Addy, W. A. (2024). Risk management in international supply chains: A review with USA and African Cases. *Magna Scientia Advanced Research and Reviews*, *10*(1), 256-264.

[86] Olbert, M., and Spengel, C. (2017). International taxation in the digital economy: challenge accepted?. *World Tax J.*, 3.

[87] Onunka, O., Alabi, A.M., Okafor, C.M., Obiki-Osafiele, A.N. and Onunka, T. 2023. Cybersecurity in US and Nigeria banking and financial institutions: Review and assessing risks and economic impacts. Advances in Management, 1(2).

[88] Onunka, T.C., Raji, A. and Obiki-Osafiele, A.N. 2013. Banking: A comprehensive review of the evolution and impact of innovative banking services on entrepreneurial growth. Economic Growth and Environment Sustainability (EGNES), 2(2), pp.50-62.

[89] Onyekwelu, N.P., Ezeafulukwe, C., Owolabi, O.R., Asuzu, O.F., Bello, B.G. and Onyekwelu, S.C., 2024. Ethics and corporate social responsibility in HR: A comprehensive review of policies and practices. *International Journal of Science and Research Archive*, *11*(1), pp.1294-1303.

[90] Oriekhoe, O. I., Ashiwaju, B. I., Ihemereze, K. C., Ikwue, U., and Udeh, C. A. (2023). Review of technological advancement in food supply chain management: comparison between USA and Africa. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *20*(3), 1681-1693.

[91] Oriekhoe, O. I., Omotoye, G. B., Oyeyemi, O. P., Tula, S. T., Daraojimba, A. I., and Adefemi, A. (2024). BLOCKCHAIN IN SUPPLY CHAIN MANAGEMENT: A SYSTEMATIC REVIEW: EVALUATING THE IMPLEMENTATION, CHALLENGES, AND FUTURE PROSPECTS OF BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY IN SUPPLY CHAINS. *Engineering Science and Technology Journal*, *5*(1), 128-151.

[92] Prichard, W., Custers, A. L., Dom, R., Davenport, S. R., and Roscitt, M. A. (2019). Innovations in tax compliance: Conceptual framework. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, (9032).

[93] Reis, O., Eneh, N. E., Ehimuan, B., Anyanwu, A., Olorunsogo, T., and Abrahams, T. O. (2024). PRIVACY LAW CHALLENGES IN THE DIGITAL AGE: A GLOBAL REVIEW OF LEGISLATION AND ENFORCEMENT. *International Journal of Applied Research in Social Sciences*, *6*(1), 73-88.

[94] Scott, A.O., Amajuoyi, P. and Adeusi, K.B., 2024. Advanced risk management models for supply chain finance. *Finance and Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(6), pp.868-876.

[95] Scott, A.O., Amajuoyi, P. and Adeusi, K.B., 2024. Theoretical perspectives on risk management strategies in financial markets: Comparative review of African and US approaches. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(6), pp.1804-1812.

[96] Teece, D. J. (2018). Profiting from innovation in the digital economy: Enabling technologies, standards, and licensing models in the wireless world. *Research policy*, *47*(8), 1367-1387.

[97] Udeh, C. A., Orieno, O. H., Daraojimba, O. D., Ndubuisi, N. L., and Oriekhoe, O. I. (2024). BIG DATA ANALYTICS: A REVIEW OF ITS TRANSFORMATIVE ROLE IN MODERN BUSINESS INTELLIGENCE. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, *5*(1), 219-236.

[98] UDEH, E.O., 2012. *EFFECTS OF COMPUTER SERVICES ON ARCHIVE MANAGEMENT: A CASE STUDY OF NNPC AND NATIONAL ARCHIVES, ABUJA* (Doctoral dissertation).

[99] Udeh, E.O., Amajuoyi, P., Adeusi, K.B. and Scott, A.O., 2024. AI-Enhanced Fintech communication: Leveraging Chatbots and NLP for efficient banking support. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(6), pp.1768-1786.

[100] Udeh, E.O., Amajuoyi, P., Adeusi, K.B. and Scott, A.O., 2024. Blockchain-driven communication in banking: Enhancing transparency and trust with distributed ledger technology. *Finance and Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(6), pp.851-867.

[101] Udeh, E.O., Amajuoyi, P., Adeusi, K.B. and Scott, A.O., 2024. Blockchain-driven communication in banking: Enhancing transparency and trust with distributed ledger technology. *Finance and Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(6), pp.851-867.

[102] Udeh, E.O., Amajuoyi, P., Adeusi, K.B. and Scott, A.O., 2024. The integration of artificial intelligence in cybersecurity measures for sustainable finance platforms: An analysis. *Computer Science and IT Research Journal*, *5*(6), pp.1221-1246.

[103] Udeh, E.O., Amajuoyi, P., Adeusi, K.B. and Scott, A.O., 2024. The role of Blockchain technology in enhancing transparency and trust in green finance markets. *Finance and Accounting Research Journal*, *6*(6), pp.825-850.

[104] Udeh, E.O., Amajuoyi, P., Adeusi, K.B. and Scott, A.O., 2024. The role of big data in detecting and preventing financial fraud in digital transactions.

[105] University of Melbourne (2020). Faculty of Arts, BAI Studies

[106] Usman, F. O., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Etukudoh, E. A., Odonkor, B., Ibeh, C. V., and Adegbola, A. (2024). A CRITICAL REVIEW OF AI-DRIVEN STRATEGIES FOR ENTREPRENEURIAL SUCCESS. *International Journal of Management and Entrepreneurship Research*, *6*(1), 200-215.

[107] Uwaoma, P. U., Eboigbe, E. O., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Daraojimba, D. O., and Kaggwa, S. (2023). Space commerce and its economic implications for the US: A review: Delving into the commercialization of space, its prospects, challenges, and potential impact on the US economy. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *20*(3), 952-965.

[108] Uwaoma, P. U., Eboigbe, E. O., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Ijiga, A. C., Kaggwa, S., and Daraojimba, A. I. (2023). Mixed reality in US retail: A review: Analyzing the immersive shopping experiences, customer engagement, and potential economic implications. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *20*(3), 966-981.

[109] Uwaoma, P. U., Eboigbe, E. O., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Ijiga, A. C., Kaggwa, S., and Daraojimba, A. I. (2023). Mixed reality in US retail: A review: Analyzing the immersive shopping experiences, customer engagement, and potential economic implications. *World Journal of Advanced Research and Reviews*, *20*(3), 966-981.

[110] Uwaoma, P. U., Eboigbe, E. O., Eyo-Udo, N. L., Ijiga, A. C., Kaggwa, S., and Daraojimba, D. O. (2023). THE FOURTH INDUSTRIAL REVOLUTION AND ITS IMPACT ON AGRICULTURAL ECONOMICS: PREPARING FOR THE FUTURE IN DEVELOPING COUNTRIES. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*, *5*(9), 258-270.

[111] Uwaoma, P. U., Eboigbe, E. O., Kaggwa, S., Akinwolemiwa, D. I., and Eloghosa, S. O. (2023). ECOLOGICAL ECONOMICS IN THE AGE OF 4IR: SPOTLIGHT ON SUSTAINABILITY INITIATIVES IN THE GLOBAL SOUTH. *International Journal of Advanced Economics*, *5*(9), 271-284.